

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 18(3), Sept-Dec, 2020]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A. S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. A. K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. S. K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. N. S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. K. P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R. S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. R. S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A. K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India
Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India
Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India
Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A
Dr. B. B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India
Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India
Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium
Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Dr. G. K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines
Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India
Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India
Dr. M. P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India
Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom
Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India
Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India
Dr. P. C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India
Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia
Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.
Dr. R. C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India
Dr. R. K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India
Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.
Dr. S. N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India
Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.
Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India
Prof. V. D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India
Dr. V. K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India
Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.
Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S. N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S. D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

| | |
|---|------------|
| Marker assisted selection for aromatic and semi-dwarf segregants in cross of aromatic Katarni rice SUNDARAM BHARTI, P.K. SINGH, KUMARI SUVIDHA, SATYENDRA, S. P. SINGH, ANAND KUMAR and MANKESH KUMAR | 188 |
| D² and principal component analysis for variability studies in <i>Vigna</i> and <i>Phaseolus</i> species PRIYANKA BHARETI, R. K. PANWAR, ANJU ARORA and S. K. VERMA | 193 |
| Assessment of genetic parameters in F₅ recombinants derived from <i>Indica</i> rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) line Pusa 6A PRACHI PRIYA, MANKESH KUMAR, TIRTARTHA CHATTOPADHYAY, BISHUN DEO PRASAD, SWETA SINHA, ANAND KUMAR and SATYENDRA | 198 |
| Genetic diversity analysis by D² clustering of fodder yield and its related traits in forage sorghum HARSH DEEP, INDRANI CHAKRABORTY, SATYAWAN ARYA, PUMMY LAMBA, S. K. PAHUJA and JAYANTI TOKAS | 203 |
| Genetic diversity for morpho-physiological and seed vigour traits in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) PUNEET KUMAR, Y.P.S. SOLANKI, VIKRAM SINGH and ASHISH | 209 |
| <i>In vitro</i> plant regeneration from mature embryo using different plant growth regulators in wheat genotype HD 3059 SWATI SHARMA, ASHWANI KUMAR, ANIL SIROHI, R. S. SENGAR, KAMAL KHILARI, MUKESH KUMAR and MANOJ K. YADAV | 215 |
| Weed management and crop geometry effect on nutrient uptake and yield in aerobic rice VASUNDHRA KAUSHIK, S. P. SINGH, V. P. SINGH, TEJ PRATAP and B. S. MAHAPATRA | 222 |
| Studies on sucker control in natu tobacco (<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.) under rainfed vertisols S. JAFFAR BASHA, P. PULLI BAI, S. KASTURI KRISHNA and C. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO | 228 |
| Seed and oil yield of bidi tobacco (<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.) varieties as influenced by planting geometry and fertilizer levels under rainfed vertisols S. JAFFAR BASHA, P. PULLI BAI, S. KASTURI KRISHNA and C. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO | 232 |
| Comparison of non-linear models on area, production and productivity of sugarcane crop in Uttar Pradesh JHADE SUNIL and ABHISHEK SINGH | 237 |
| Performance of improved varieties of true Cinnamon (<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl.) in Andaman Islands, India AJIT ARUN WAMAN, POOJA BOHRA and R. KARTHIKA DEVI | 243 |
| Changing climate and its effect on rice yield in Meghalaya DEOTREPHY K. DKHAR, SHEIKH MOHAMMAD FEROZE, RAM SINGH and LALA I.P. RAY | 249 |
| Age related changes in morphometrical studies on ductus deferens of guinea fowl (<i>Numida meleagris</i>) TAMILSELVAN S, B. S. DHOTE and MEENA MRIGESH | 257 |

| | |
|--|-----|
| Occurrence of gastrointestinal nematodes in goats slaughtered at Rewa, India D. MARAVI, A. K. DIXIT and POOJA DIXIT | 261 |
| Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia in a dog-A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, MUNISH BATRA and R.S. CHAUHAN | 265 |
| Erythrocytic anaplasmosis with <i>Fasciolosis</i> in a cross-bred cattle: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR and MUNISH BATRA | 269 |
| Modification and evaluation of Pant-ICAR controlled traffic seed-cum-deep fertilizer applicator for multi-crop seeder-cum-deep placement of fertilizers applicator MANISH KUMAR, T.C THAKUR, MANOJ KUMAR and SATYA PRAKASH KUMAR | 272 |
| Drying characteristics of shrimp (<i>Metapenaeus dobsoni</i>) in electrical dryer D.S. ANIESRANI DELFIYA, S. MURALI, P.V. ALFIYA and MANOJ P. SAMUEL | 281 |
| Baur dam breach analysis using various Manning's roughness values MEENAKSHI RAMOLA, JYOTHI PRASAD and H. J. SHIVA PRASAD | 286 |
| Study of constipation and related factors among female students of Pantnagar RITA SINGH RAGHUVANSHI, NIDHI JOSHI, DIKSHA SINGH, SHIKHA SINGH, MEENAL and DASHRATH BHATI | 290 |
| Work -related musculoskeletal disorders among chikankari workers in Lucknow (U.P.) POONAM SINGH and KATYAYNI | 297 |
| Technology adoption and productivity enhancement in groundnut cultivation: An impact assessment of farm women groups K.UMA, T. NIVETHA and S. PRAVEENA | 302 |
| Health hazard and constraints of chikankari worker in Lucknow (U.P.) POONAM SINGH and KATYAYNI | 310 |
| Studies on Indigenous Agricultural Technical Knowledge prevalent among the farmers of Assam for the management of common pests and diseases in major crops DEVAMITRA TARAFDAR and NIRMAL MAZUMDER | 315 |
| Television viewing pattern among students of CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar ANIL KUMAR MALIK, KRISHAN YADAV and SUNIL KUMAR | 325 |
| Media content development and it's standardization for farmers REETA DEVI YADAV, GEETAMATI DEVI and RITA GOAL | 331 |
| Analysis of learning behavior and pattern of online learners on a MOOC platform G.R.K. MURTHY, SEEMA KUJUR, S. SENTHIL VINAYAGAM, YASHAVANTH B.S., CH. SRINIVASA RAO, P. S. PANDEY, VANITA JAIN and INDRADEVI T. | 338 |

Seed and oil yield of bidi tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) varieties as influenced by planting geometry and fertilizer levels under rainfed vertisols

S. JAFFAR BASHA, P. PULLI BAI, S. KASTURI KRISHNA¹ and C. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO¹

Regional Agricultural Research Station, Acharya N. G. Ranga Agricultural University, Nandyal-51850, Kurnool District (Andhra Pradesh), ¹ ICAR - Central Tobacco Research Institute, Rajamahendravaram (Andhra Pradesh)

ABSTRACT: A field experiment was undertaken at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh during 2018-20 on rainfed vertisols to study the effect of different planting geometry, fertilizer levels on seed and oil yield in different bidi tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) varieties. The treatments consisted of two planting geometry (75 cm x 75 cm and 60 cm x 60 cm) as first factor, two fertilizer levels (100 % RDF - 110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O kg ha⁻¹ and 150 % RDF-165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) as second factor and three bidi tobacco varieties (A119, Nandyal Pogaku-1 and ABD 132) as third factor tested in a factorial randomized block design with three replications. Two years pooled data revealed that significantly higher seed yield (444 kg ha⁻¹), oil yield (143.3 kg ha⁻¹), cured leaf yield (2023 kg ha⁻¹), lower leaf length (34.0 cm), leaf width (13.6 cm) and capsules per plant (547) were observed with plant geometry of 60 cm x 60 cm. Higher seed yield (446 kg ha⁻¹), oil yield (141.9 kg ha⁻¹), leaf length (37.1 cm), leaf width (15.4 cm) and cured leaf yield (2078 kg ha⁻¹), capsules per plant (647) were recorded with application of 150% Recommended Dose of Fertilisers (RDF) (165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O kg ha⁻¹) than 100% RDF. Significantly higher seed yield (459 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (148.1 kg ha⁻¹) were recorded with A119 than Nandyal Pogaku 1 and ABD 132. ABD 132 recorded higher plant height (146.3 cm), leaf length (37.5 cm), leaf width (15.9 cm) and cured leaf yield (2116 kg ha⁻¹). Higher number of capsules per plant (675) was observed in Nandyal pogaku 1. A119 recorded higher seed yield (532 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (173.4 kg ha⁻¹) when planted at 60 cm x 60 cm with application of 150% RDF (165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O kg ha⁻¹). ABD 132 recorded lower seed yield (229 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (72.1 kg ha⁻¹) when planted at 75 cm x 75 cm with application of 100% RDF (110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O kg ha⁻¹). The levels of plant geometry and fertilizer levels had non-significant effect on leaf chemical constituents.

Key words: Cured leaf yield, fertilizer levels, oil yield, plant geometry, seed yield

Alternative uses of bidi tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) gained importance in recent times to sustain the crop for non-conventional and economically viable application in food and industries. The research on alternative uses of tobacco is the order of the day, leading to critical examination of potentials of tobacco as ‘an oil seed crop’. Furthermore, the tobacco seed cake, which is an oil extraction by-product, turns out to be a suitable source of amino acids for animal feed formulations (Ouyang *et al.*, 2003). An estimated 1300- 1500 tonnes of tobacco seed oil is expelled and exported from India to other countries for utilization in paint industry (Singh and Rao, 2005). Tobacco varieties can guarantee a good oil yield from 30 to 40 % of seed dry weight, which has been successfully tested as a biodiesel (Usta, 2005). It is used as raw material in coating industries, preparation of printing inks, dyes, production of soaps, shoe polish, varnishes, an alternative to diesel fuel and potential use in food and coating industries (Mukhtar *et al.*, 2007). Tobacco seed oil has wide variation in its fatty acid composition depending on the varieties, climatic condition under which plants are grown (Zlatanov *et al.*, 2007; Abbas *et al.*, 2008). Tobacco seed oil has great promise as edible oil due to dietary effect

of linoleic acid in lowering the serum cholesterol. Tobacco is an industrial crop traditionally used for cigarette and cigar manufacturing. However, applying cold pressing, 93 % of the seed oil can be recovered (Stanisavljevic *et al.*, 2009).

The promising alternative uses of tobacco are seed oil having nutritive, pharmaceutical and industrial uses (Awolola *et al.*, 2010). The tobacco seed contains on an average of 35% oil and linoleic acid is the major fatty acid (66 – 76%) (Sivaraju *et al.*, 2011). In spite of being a promising non-food crop for bioenergy, the industrial use of tobacco oil has been hampered by the low seed productivity. Tobacco plants have also been genetically engineered to enhance their oil content in green tissues for potential biofuel production (Vanhercke *et al.*, 2014). Tobacco can really become a novel industrial crop providing renewable sources for both biofuel and biomass as well with a further optimization of the cultivation protocol to increase the oil yield and to use the by-products (Michelle *et al.*, 2016). However, the tobacco seed oil is not being used for edible purpose in India. The demand for edible oils in India has experienced a growth rate of

4.43% from 2001 to 2011. There has been a significant gap between demand and supply of edible oil because of limited oil seeds and shifting of acreage to other crops, thus making need to search for alternative sources of edible oils. To promote tobacco as an oil seed crop, detailed research and identification of tobacco genotypes with high seed and oil yield potential. In the existing domestic demand - supply gap for edible oils, besides other approaches, tapping the potential of non-conventional sources of vegetable oil assumes greater relevance. Tobacco can fit as an additional oil seed crop, if its cultivation solely for seed oil extraction proves economically viable. It depends primarily on realizing high seed yields, the oil content and quality being satisfactory. The present study therefore was taken up to identify tobacco varieties for higher seed and oil yield potential.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

A field experiment was undertaken at Regional Agriculture Research Station, Nandyal, Andhra Pradesh for two consecutive years *viz.*, 2018-19 and 2019-20 on rainfed vertisols to study the effect of different planting geometry, fertilizer levels on seed and oil yield in different bidi tobacco varieties. The treatments consisted of two plant geometry (75 cm x 75 cm and 60 cm x 60 cm) as first factor, two fertilizer levels (100 % RDF - 110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O ha⁻¹ and 150 % RDF-165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O ha⁻¹) as second factor and three bidi tobacco varieties (A119, Nandyal Pogaku-1 and ABD 132) as third factor in factorial randomized block design with three replications. The soil of experimental site was medium deep black, moderately alkaline (pH-8.2), non saline (EC-0.11 ds m⁻¹), low in nitrogen (152.3 kg ha⁻¹), medium in available P₂O₅ (32.5 kg ha⁻¹) and high in available K₂O (350.9 kg ha⁻¹). The fertilizers were applied through ammonium sulphate for nitrogen, single superphosphate for phosphorus and sulphate of potash for potassium. Half of the nitrogen, total phosphorus and potassium were applied as basal and remaining half nitrogen was applied as top dressing within 30-40 days after planting. An amount of 217.2 mm rainfall was received in 20 rainy days with 65.8 % deficit compared to normal during 2018 whereas 856.0 mm rainfall was received in 39 rain days with 45.1% excess rainfall during 2019. Crop management practices like land preparation, planting, weed control, intercultivation, need based plant protection, de-suckering and sun curing were followed as per recommended practice. The data were recorded for plant height, leaf length, leaf width, cured leaf yield, seed yield and oil yield. The leaf samples were used for estimating chemical quality constituents *viz.*, nicotine, reducing sugars (Harvey *et al.*, 1969) and chlorides (Hanumantha *et al.*, 1980). Three

factor analysis of variance (ANOVA) was carried out for the analysis of growth, yield and leaf chemical constituents gathered data (Panse and Sukhatme, 1985). Critical difference (CD) values were computed at 0.05 level to find out whether statistically significant differences existed within plant geometry, fertilizer and varieties. Interactions between the factors were also studied.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Effect of planting geometry

Plant at 60 cm x 60 cm recorded taller plant (139.1 cm), shorter leaf (34.0 cm), leaf width (13.6 cm), higher cured leaf yield (2023 kg ha⁻¹), lower capsules (547 plant⁻¹), higher seed yield (444 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (143.3 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to plant at 75 cm x 75 cm (133.0 cm; 37.1 cm; 15.6 cm; 1765 kg ha⁻¹; 678 plant⁻¹; 365 kg ha⁻¹; 115.7 kg ha⁻¹, respectively) (Table 1). The number of capsules was more as the crop received sufficient sunlight and nutrients at wider spacing (Kumaresan *et al.*, 2011). The increase in plant population in the closer spacing resulted in increased seed yield due to higher capsules. The wider spacing, 75 x 75 cm recorded a lower seed yield which could be attributed to the lower plant population. The increase in seed yield resulted in increased oil yield. Rangaiah and Nagesh (2009) found that the oil yield of tobacco was significantly associated with seed yield of tobacco. There was no significant effect of plant geometry on leaf chemical constituents. In general bidi tobacco leaf has nicotine of 4.2 – 5.7 %, reducing sugars of 2.7 – 3.9% and chlorides of 1.2 – 2.0 %. However, in present study, leaf chemical constituent analysis indicated poor quality of leaf i.e., lower nicotine (2.27 - 2.34%) and higher chlorides (2.55 - 2.58 %) probably due diversion of nutrients to capsules because of non topping for seed purpose.

Effect of fertilizer levels

Application of 150 % RDF (165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O) recorded taller plant (140.2 cm), higher leaf length (37.1 cm), leaf width (15.4 cm), higher cured leaf yield (2078 kg ha⁻¹), number of capsules (647 plant⁻¹), seed yield (446 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (141.9 kg ha⁻¹) when compared to application of 100 % RDF (110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O) (131.8 cm; 33.9 cm; 13.9 cm; 1711 kg ha⁻¹; 575 plant⁻¹; 364 kg ha⁻¹; 117.0 kg ha⁻¹, respectively). The plant height and yield attributes were more as the crop received sufficient quantity of N. There was no significant effect of fertilizer levels on leaf chemical constituents. However, leaf chemical constituent analysis indicated poor quality of leaf i.e., lower nicotine (2.16 - 2.44%) and higher

Table 1: Effect of different planting geometry and fertilizer levels on growth, cured leaf yield, seed yield, oil yield and leaf chemical constituents of bidi tobacco varieties (pooled data)

| Treatments | Plant height (cm) | Leaf length (cm) | Leaf width (cm) | Cured leaf yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | No of capsules/ Plant | Seed yield (kg/ha) | Oil content (%) | Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | Nicotine (%) | Reducing sugars | Chlorides (%) |
|-------------------|-------------------|------------------|-----------------|---|-----------------------|--------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|--------------|-----------------|---------------|
| Planting geometry | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 75 cm x 75 cm | 133.0 | 37.1 | 15.6 | 1765 | 678 | 365 | 31.70 | 115.7 | 2.34 | 2.69 | 2.55 |
| 60 cm x 60 cm | 139.1 | 34.0 | 13.6 | 2023 | 547 | 444 | 32.26 | 143.3 | 2.27 | 2.84 | 2.58 |
| S.Em± | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 51.0 | 18 | 12.0 | | 3.8 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | 1.9 | 1.4 | 149 | 54 | 35 | | 11.2 | NS | NS | NS |
| Fertilizer levels | | | | | | | | | | | |
| 100 % RDF | 131.8 | 33.9 | 13.9 | 1711 | 575 | 364 | 32.15 | 117.0 | 2.44 | 2.77 | 2.69 |
| 150 % RDF | 140.2 | 37.1 | 15.4 | 2078 | 647 | 446 | 31.81 | 141.9 | 2.16 | 2.76 | 2.44 |
| S.Em± | 3.9 | 0.6 | 0.4 | 51.0 | 18 | 12.0 | | 3.8 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.20 |
| CD (P=0.05) | NS | 1.9 | 1.4 | 149 | 54 | 35 | | 11.2 | NS | NS | NS |
| Varieties | | | | | | | | | | | |
| A119 | 128.5 | 33.4 | 13.2 | 1676 | 539 | 459 | 32.19 | 148.1 | 1.97 | 2.61 | 2.49 |
| Nandyal Pogaku 1 | 133.2 | 35.7 | 14.9 | 1892 | 675 | 414 | 31.91 | 132.1 | 2.10 | 3.00 | 2.29 |
| ABD 132 | 146.3 | 37.5 | 15.9 | 2116 | 620 | 341 | 31.84 | 108.2 | 2.85 | 2.71 | 2.91 |
| S.Em± | 4.8 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 62.7 | 23 | 14.5 | | 4.6 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.26 |
| CD (P=0.05) | 14.7 | 2.4 | 1.7 | 184 | 67 | 43 | | 13.7 | 0.50 | NS | NS |
| Interactions | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | NS | | NS | NS | NS | NS |
| CV (%) | 10.9 | 10.6 | 8.7 | 15.5 | 13.8 | 13.2 | | 12.8 | 9.7 | 8.9 | 9.2 |

Note: 100 % RDF - 110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O kg ha⁻¹; 150 % RDF - 165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O kg ha⁻¹

Table 2: Interaction effect of different planting geometry and fertilizer levels on seed yield and oil yield of bidi tobacco varieties

| Planting geometry | | Seed yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | | Oil yield (kg ha ⁻¹) | | | | |
|-----------------------|------------------|-----------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|------|----------------------------------|----------|---------------|----------|-------|
| | | 75 cm x 75 cm | | 60 cm x 60 cm | | Mean | 75 cm x 75 cm | | 60 cm x 60 cm | | Mean |
| | | 100 % RDF | 150% RDF | 100% RDF | 150% RDF | | 100% RDF | 150% RDF | 100% RDF | 150% RDF | |
| Varieties | A119 | 352 | 426 | 526 | 532 | 459 | 111.1 | 134.8 | 173.2 | 173.4 | 148.1 |
| | Nandyal Pogaku 1 | 364 | 419 | 413 | 460 | 414 | 114.9 | 134.5 | 132.8 | 146.1 | 132.1 |
| | ABD 132 | 229 | 399 | 297 | 439 | 341 | 72.1 | 126.8 | 98.1 | 135.9 | 108.2 |
| | Mean | 365 | | 444 | | | 115.7 | | 143.3 | | |
| | 100 % RDF | | 364 | | | | | 117.0 | | | |
| | 150 % RDF | | 446 | | | | | 141.9 | | | |
| | S.Em± | | | | | | S.Em± | | | | |
| | CD (P=0.05) | | | | | | | | | | |
| Planting geometry (P) | | 12.0 | | 35 | | | 3.8 | | 11.2 | | |
| Fertilizer levels (F) | | 12.0 | | 35 | | | 3.8 | | 11.2 | | |
| P x F | | 16.7 | | NS | | | 5.3 | | NS | | |
| Varieties (V) | | 14.5 | | 43 | | | 4.6 | | 13.7 | | |
| P X V | | 20.6 | | NS | | | 6.5 | | NS | | |
| F X V | | 20.6 | | NS | | | 6.5 | | NS | | |
| P X F X V | | 29.2 | | NS | | | 9.2 | | NS | | |

Note: 100 % RDF - 110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O kg ha⁻¹; 150 % RDF - 165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O kg ha⁻¹

chlorides (2.44 - 2.69 %) probably due diversion of nutrients to capsules because of non topping for seed purpose.

Effect of varieties

ABD 132 produced taller plant (146.3 cm), higher leaf length (37.5 cm), leaf width (15.9 cm) and higher cured

leaf yield (2116 kg ha⁻¹). Nandyal pogaku 1 recorded higher capsules (675 plant⁻¹). A119 recorded higher seed yield (459 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (148.1 kg ha⁻¹). The varieties, in addition to seed, produced 1676 to 2116 kg ha⁻¹ of lower grade cured leaf. Seed oil content has been reported to show high heritability and moderate genetic advance (Lalithadevi *et al.*, 2002) and highly significant correlation with seed weight, emphasizing the possibility

of combining both high seed yield and high oil content. The per cent of oil observed in the present studies was higher than the average of 29.82% reported in three varieties of tobacco grown in Bangladesh (Abbas *et al.*, 2008). The results showed considerable variability among the varieties which may be attributed to genetic variability and helpful for selection of varieties. Sivaraju *et al.* (2015) reported similar results. ABD 132 recorded higher nicotine (2.85%). There was no significant effect of varieties on reducing sugars and chlorides.

Interaction

A119 recorded higher seed yield (532 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (173.4 kg ha⁻¹) when planted at 60 cm x 60 cm with application of 150 % RDF (165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O) whereas ABD 132 recorded lower seed yield (229 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (72.1 kg ha⁻¹) when planted at 75 cm x 75 cm with application of 100 % RDF (110 N+70 P₂O₅+50 K₂O) (Table 2).

CONCLUSION

A119 recorded higher seed yield (532 kg ha⁻¹) and oil yield (173.4 kg ha⁻¹) when planted at 60 cm x 60 cm with application of 150 % RDF (165 N+105 P₂O₅+75 K₂O).

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to All India Network Project on Tobacco (AINPT), ICAR-CTRI, Rajahmundry and Regional Agricultural Research Station, Nandyal, ANGRAU, Andhra Pradesh for providing the facilities for smooth conduct of the experiment.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, A.M., Sayeed, A.M. and Rajesh, K.R. (2008). Comparative study on characteristics of seed oils and nutritional composition of seeds from different varieties of tobacco (*Nicotiana tabacum* L.) cultivated in Bangladesh. *Asian Journal of Biochemistry*, 3: 203-12.
- Awolola, A.G., Ogunniyi, D.S. and Oluwaniy, I.O. (2010). Refining, modification and characterization of tobacco seed oil for its improved potential for industrial use. *Nigerian Journal of Pure and Applied Science*, 23: 2168-74.
- Hanumantha, R. A., Gopalakrishna, C.V.S.S.V. and Satyanarayanamurthy, B.V.V. (1980). Determination of chlorides in tobacco by auto-analyser. *Tobacco Research*, 7: 92-5.
- Harvey, W.R., Stahr, H.M. and Smith, W.C. (1969). Automated determination of reducing sugars and alkaloids in the same extract of tobacco. *Tobacco Science*, 13: 13-5.
- Kumaresan, M., Krishnamurthy, V., Harishu Kumar, P., Swamy, A.V.S.R. and Athinarayanan, R. (2011). Agrotechniques to improve the seed and oil yield of chewing tobacco grown in Tamil Nadu. *Tobacco Research*, 37 (1): 34-36, 2011.
- Lalithadevi, D., Lakhminarayana, R. and Atluri, J.B. (2002). Genetic variability and correlation studies on seed and other quantitative characters in *Nicotiana tabacum* L. *Tobacco Research*, 28: 92-6.
- Michele, B., Simone, G., Rachele, P., Pasquale, Raiola, Stefano, C., Flaminia, V., Francesco, D., Massimo, Zuin, Sergio, T., Renato, M., Francesco, D. and Fulvio, P. (2016). Alternative use of tobacco as a sustainable crop for seed oil, biofuel and biomass. *Agronomy for Sustainable Development*, 36: 55.
- Mukhtar, A., Habib, U. and Mukhta, H. (2007). Fatty acid composition of tobacco seed oil and synthesis of alkyd resins. *Chinese Journal of Chemistry*, 25: 705-8.
- Ouyang, W., Xu, R. and Du, S. (2003). Analysis and application of oriental tobacco seed. *Yancao Keji*, 12:20-23.
- Panse, V.G. and Sukhatme, P.V. (1985). *Statistical Methods for Agricultural workers*. ICAR, New Delhi.
- Rangaiah, S. and Nagesh. (2009). Genetic variability and correlation studies for seed yield and oil content in chewing tobacco genotypes. National Symposium on Tobacco, Central Tobacco Research Institute, Rajahmundry, November 24-26, 22p.
- Singh, D. K. and Rao, N. C.V. (2005). Tobacco seed oil. In: *Alternative Uses of Tobacco* (K.D. Singh and K.C. Jain, Eds). CTRI, Rajahmundry, Pp.56-63.
- Sivaraju, K., Narasimha Rao, C.V. and Krishnamurthy, V. (2011). Comparative study on characteristics of seed oil and nutritional composition of seed cake in different tobacco types cultivated in India. "National Symposium on tobacco-2011", CTRI, Rajahmundry. 20-22, December, 81p.
- Sivaraju, K., Damodar Reddy, D. and Narasimha Rao, C.V. (2015). Comparative study on characteristics of seed oil and nutritional composition of seed cake in different tobacco types cultivated in India. *Tobacco Research*, 41(1): 6-14.
- Stanisavljevic, I.T., Velickovic, D.T., Todorovic, Z.B., Lazic, M.L. and Veljkovic, V.B. (2009).

- Comparison of techniques for the extraction of tobacco seed oil. *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 111:513–518.
- Usta, N. (2005). Use of tobacco seed oil methyl ester in a turbocharged indirect injection diesel engine. *Biomass Bioenergy*, 28:77–86.
- Vanhercke, T., Tahchy, A. and Liu, Q. (2014). Metabolic engineering of biomass for high energy density: oilseed-like triacylglycerol yields from plant leaves. *Plant Biotechnology Journal*, 12:231–239.
- Zlatanov, M., Angelova, M. and Antova, G. (2007). Lipid composition of tobacco seeds. *Bulgarian Journal of Agriculture Science*, 13: 539-44.

Received: October 28, 2020

Accepted: December 22, 2020