Print ISSN: 0972-8813 e-ISSN: 2582-2780

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN: 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 20(1) January-April, 2022

CONTENTS

| Effect of tillage, fertilizer placement and nitrogen levels on green foliage, brix, sucrose, juice and ethanol production of sweet sorghum (Sorghum bicolor L.) in Mollisols of Uttarakhand MANOJ KUMAR, MAHENDRA SINGH PAL and KEWALANAND | 1 |
|--|----|
| Status and distribution of soil available micronutrients along a hill slope in Ekpoma, Edo State, Nigeria AGBOGUN, LUCKY, EDOSOMWAN, N.L. and AGABI, E.J. | 7 |
| Foliar nutrition for higher soybean productivity in north western plain zone of India AJAY KUMAR, D. K. SHUKLA, AMIT BHATNAGAR and R. K. SHARMA | 15 |
| Soil suitability assessment of a humid tropical soil for pineapple (<i>Ananas comosus</i>) and plantain (<i>musa spp</i>) cultivation in Port Harcourt, Nigeria PETER, K.D., ORJI, O.A. and ORIAKPONO, I.O. | 19 |
| Land suitability evaluation for avocado pear (<i>Persea americana</i> Mill.) and pineapple (<i>Ananas comosus</i> L. Merr) in rain forest zone of Edo State, Nigeria OKUNSEBOR F. E., UMWENI A. S., ONAIFO W. and OSAGIE D. | 30 |
| Population studies on various coccinellid beetles from different crop ecosystems of Pantnagar, Uttarakhand M. SREEDHAR, R. P. MAURYA, PARUL DOBHAL and RIYA | 39 |
| Occurrence of leaf feeder <i>Podontia quatuordecimpunctata</i> (Linnaeus, 1767) in <i>Spondias pinnata</i> (L.f.) Kurz at Kamrup district of Assam, India S. PATHAK and B. DEKA | 44 |
| Morphological characterization of $\mathbf{F_i}$ guava hybrids and varieties SHIKHA JAIN, RAJESH KUMAR, NARENDRA KUMAR SINGH, VIJAY PRATAP SINGH and SATISH CHAND | 47 |
| Report on severe infestation of root-knot nematode, <i>Meloidogyne incognita</i> on tuberous <i>Vigna vexillata</i> (L.) ZAKAULLAH KHAN, KULDEEP TRIPATHI, BHARAT H. GAWADE and V. CELIA CHALAM | 53 |
| Selection of exotic germplasm of bread wheat against <i>Puccinia triticina</i> resistance with analysis of Area Under Disease Progress Curve WAGHAMARE MINAL BHUJANGRAO and DEEPSHIKHA | 58 |
| Land suitability assessment for okra (<i>Abelmoscus esculentus L.</i>) and fluted pumpkin (<i>Telferia occidentalis, L.</i>) cultivation in Khana Local Government Area of Rivers State, Southern Nigeria PETER, K.D., UMWENI, A.S. and ORJI, A.O. | 62 |
| A comprehensive study of changed training in SAMETI Uttarakhand mode during Covid-19 pandemic JYOTI KANWAL, B. D. SINGH, ANURADHA DUTTA and ANIL KUMAR SHARMA | 71 |

| Initiation of Farmers University and Farmers Bank in India AVINASH SHARMA, CHOWLANI MANPOONG, SANGEETA SHARMA and MEGHA RAGHAVAN | 76 |
|--|-----|
| Reformation of ration shop for below poverty line people in India AVINASH SHARMA, CHOWLANI MANPOONG, SANGEETA SHARMA and MEGHA RAGHAVAN | 83 |
| An empirical analysis of factors influencing agricultural employment intensity of migrant farm households at Almora district of Uttarakhand BHUMIKA GIRI GOSWAMI and ANIL KUMAR | 90 |
| Consumer attitude and behavior towards purchasing agri food: A case study of tomato crop TAMANNA JOSHI and ASHUTOSH SINGH | 95 |
| Checklist and conservation status of freshwater finfishes of KoleWetlands a Ramsar Site in Kerala, India KITTY FRANCIS C. and M. K. SAJEEVAN | 103 |
| Freshwater fish fauna of Peechi-Vazhani Wildlife Sanctuary, Western Ghats of Kerala, India ELDHO. P. S. and M. K. SAJEEVAN | 110 |
| Study of subclinical mastitis in milch breeds of cattle in Western India BEENU JAIN, ANUJ TEWARI, BHARAT BHANDERI and MAYURDHWAJ JHALA | 117 |
| Prevalent mutations in the Delta variants of SARS CoV2 circulating in India BEENU JAIN, ANUJ TEWARI, ALOK KUMAR and PREMA S GODI | 122 |
| An analysis of selective pressure on Delta variants of SARS CoV2 circulating in India BEENU JAIN, ANUJ TEWARI, ALOK KUMAR, PREMA S GODI and SHRADDHA DWIVEDI | 126 |
| AMR status in Uttarakhand NAWAL KISHOR SINGH, A. K. UPADHYAY, T. K. AMBWANI, MAANSI, HIMANI SHARMA, SOURABH SWAMI and AJAY KUMAR | 131 |
| Awareness of the aspects of sun protection among college going girls BEENU SINGH and MANISHA GAHLOT | 142 |
| Development and evaluation of power weeder for narrow row crops T. SENTHILKUMAR, N.S.CHANDEL, P.S.TIWARI, SYED IMRAN S. and G. MANIKANDAN | 148 |
| Data prediction for calibration of seed drill using multiple linear regression SIMRAN TIWARI, PRAMOD MOHNOT and K.B. JHALA | 156 |
| Mapping of ground water potential zone in complex hydrological system of Suyal basin in the Mid-Himalayan Region using Analytic Hierarchical Process RITESH JOSHI, H.J SHIVA PRASAD, JYOTHI PRASAD, P. S. MAHAR, DEEPAK KUMAR and PANKAJ KUMAR | 166 |
| Water quality assessment of Bageshwar Block Springs using Weighted Arithmetic Water Quality Index (WAWQI)method, Bageshwar District, Uttarakhand, India MOHD AZAM, JYOTHI PRASAD and H. J. SHIVA PRASAD | 173 |

Checklist and conservation status of freshwater finfishes of KoleWetlands a Ramsar Site in Kerala, India

KITTY FRANCIS C. and M. K. SAJEEVAN

Fisheries Resource Management, Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies, Panangad, Kochi, Kerala-682506

ABSTRACT: Checklist of fishes of Kole wetlands, a Ramsar site in Kerala is presented along with their scientific names, IUCN Red List status and endemism. Fifty three freshwater fish species were recorded from the Kole wetlands of Kerala comprising of twelve orders and nineteen families. Majority of the fishes were from order Cypriniformes and family Cyprinidae. As per IUCN Red list category, five fishes are vulnerable and one is in near threatened category list. Among the fifty three fishes, seven species of Kerala region and ten species of Western Ghats region are endemic to the respective places. Two endemic species of Kerala and two from Western Ghats are in threatened category.

Key words: Freshwater fishes, IUCN, Kole Wetlands, Ramsar Site

Wetlands are critical habitats for many plants and animals including numerous threatened species. They provide vital and valuable ecosystem services such as flood control and the maintenance of water quality (Van der Valk, 2006). Wetlands are areas where water is the primary factor controlling the environment and the associated plant and animal life. They mainly occurs where the water table is at or near the surface of the land or where the land is covered by water. Some of the wetlands are among the most productive systems in the planet (Leith, 1975). Wetlands have been described both as the kidneys of the landscape and biological supermarkets. This is because of the functions they perform in the hydrological and chemical cycles and because of the extensive food webs and the rich biodiversity that they support (Mitsch and Gosselink, 1993).

According to Ramsar convention, Kole wetlands of Kerala come under Vembanad-Kol wetland, the largest brackish and humid tropical wetland ecosystem on the southwest coast of India, fed by ten rivers. It is renowned for clams and its the third largest waterfowl population in India during the winter (RCS, 2013). Over ninety species of resident birds and fifty species of migratory birds from different regions comes for nesting and feed (Nameer, 2002).

The Kole lands remains submerged for about six months under flood water in a year and the ecosystem structure and process are determined by both terrestrial and water related properties influenced by the seasonal alteration which in turn give rise to various provisioning services. The Kole lands contains about 233.74 mm³ of water, which is in fact more than Chimmony and Peechi dams which is providing the water for the irrigation of its summer crop. The Kole lands are mainly fed by the flood waters of two rivers Kechery and Karuvannur which finally drains into the sea as the lands lies parallel to the sea.

The major threats to this pristine wetlands are agricultural intensification due to the double cropping, various types of engineering interventions, infrastructural developments, conversion of Kole lands for non-agricultural uses and facilitated mining and other activities (Srinivasan, 2010). Kole wetlands are also facing many other problems like over exploitation, water pollution, flow modification, habitat degradation and invasion by exotic species.

Present study had provided a comprehensive account of finfish of Kole wetland. Information on diversity resources will serve as pre requisite information for conservation and management of this bio-diverse area.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Kole wetlands are vast area of freshwater region spread in both Thrissur and Malappuram districts of Kerala (Fig. 1). This Ramsar site includes mainly paddy fields, associated rivers and canals. Kole lands in Thrissur and Malappuram districts cover an area of about 13,632 hectares (ha) within the Vembanad-Kol wetland ecosystem. 'Kole' is a particular type of rice cultivation in the low lying water logged paddy fields. Kole lands extend from the northern bank of Chalakudy River to the southern bank of Bharatapuzha River in the north. They are low lying tracts located 0.5 to 1 m below mean sea level located between 10° 20' and 10°40' N Latitude and between 75°58' and 76°11' E Longitude.

Collection and preservation of sample

To understand the diversity and distribution of finfishes of Kole wetlands, fish specimens were collected from the selected sites namely Nedupuzha, Konthipulam, Choondal and Uppungalkadavu on weekly basis. Specimens of finfishes were collected during active hours of fish auction. Study was carried out for a period of 12 months from August 2016 to July 2017. Specimens were collected from all the fishing gears operated in the sites. Cast net, gill net of different mesh sizes from 16 to 32 cm, traditional gears like ottal and koodu are the major fishing gears operated in the area. Fishermen used to bring all the fishes and shellfishes to the landing centers. Most of the time they sort their fish catch at shore. This practice makes the fishery a discard free fishery and ensure recording of all fishes caught during fishing operation. After sorting out the catch on group/genus/ species wise, specimens were numbered and weighed to nearest gram. Number and weight of each group/ genus/ species were recorded. Area, date, time and fishing gear used for catching the fish were also recorded to calculate the effort spend for each fishing operation. Photograph of each specimen were taken immediately after collection. The specimens collected from the sampling sites were brought to the laboratory. Various parameters for taxonomic studies of finfish and shell fish were recorded. Specimens collected from the field station were

brought to the Fishery Biology laboratory of Department of Fisheries Resource Management. Specimens were labeled and preserved in 10% formalin for future use. Experimental fishing using cast net were carried out in all locations to ensure the recording of all fish fauna of the wetlands. Data collected through experimental fishing were supplemented to the data collected from the landing centres. The collected specimens were then identified up to species level using standard references (Day, 1878; Munro, 1955; FAO, 1984; Jayram, K.C., 1999; Fricke et al., 2022) and arranged systematically following Nelson (2016). International Union for Conservation of Nature (IUCN) status of the species was checked with IUCN (2021). Endemic nature of the species was checked following Bijukumar et al. (2015) and presented (Table 1).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Present study on diversity of finfishes carried out during 2016-17 identified fifty-three finfish species from Kole wetlands of Kerala (Table 1). As showed in Table 1 finfishes belonging to twelve Orders, nineteen Families, from thirty-seven genera with fifty three species were recorded. Majority of the fishes are from order Cypriniformes and family Cyprinidae. As per IUCN, five fishes are vulnerable and one is in near threatened category (IUCN, 2021). Among the fifty-three fishes recorded, seven species are endemic to Kerala and ten species endemic to Western Ghats region. From Kole wetlands two fishes endemic to Kerala and two endemic to Western Ghats region are in threatened category. This emphasise the importance of conservation of the freshwater fishes and their habitats. Presence of exotic species like Ctenopharyngodon idella, Cyprinus carpio, Oreochromis niloticus in the Kole wetlands is an indication of the vulnerability of the ecosystem to the intruder species.

Results of the present study was compared with the results of earlier workers and presented in Table 2. Kadhar (1993) reported occurrence of thirty-six species from Kole wetlands of Trichur. John Thomas et al. (2003) reported twenty-five species of fishes from Muriyad wetlands. Johny et al. (2012) studied

Table 1: Checklist of fishes of Kole wetlands

| | IUCN STATUS | COMMON NAME | ENDEMISM |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|--------------------------|---------------|
| I. ORDER: ANGUILLIFORMES | | | |
| I.a. FAMILY: ANGUILLIDAE | | | |
| 1. Anguilla bicolor | NT | Shortfin Eel | _ |
| II. ORDER: CLUPEIFORMES | | | |
| II. a. FAMILY: CLUPEIDAE | | | |
| 2. Dayella malabarica | LC | Day's Round Herring | Kerala |
| 3. Ehirava fluviatilis | DD | Malabar sprat | _ |
| III. ORDER: CYPRINIFORMES | | - | |
| III. FAMILY: CYPRINIDAE | | | |
| 4. Puntius mahecola | DD | Mahecola barb | Kerala |
| 5. Puntius chola | LC | Chola Barb | _ |
| 6. Puntius parrah | LC | Parrah Barb | Kerala |
| 7. Puntius vittatus | LC | Green stripe barb | _ |
| 8. Dawkinsia filamentosa | LC | Filament Barb | Western ghats |
| 9. Systomus sarana | LC | Olive barb | Western ghats |
| 10. Amblypharyngodon microlepis | LC | Indian Carplet | = - |
| 11. Amblypharyngodon melettinus | LC | Silver Carplet | - |
| 12. Neochela dadiburjori | LC | Burjor'sBrillance(Dadio) | Kerala |
| 13. Pethia punctata | LC | Dotted sawfin Barb | Western ghats |
| 14. Horadandia brittani | NE | Glass Carplet | - |
| 15. Rasbora dandia | NE | Blacklinerasbora | _ |
| 16. Devario malabaricus | LC | Giant Danio | Western ghats |
| 17. Ctenopharyngodon idella | EXO | Grass crap | = - |
| 18. Cyprinus carpio | EXO | Common Carp | _ |
| 19. Labeo catla | LC | Catla | _ |
| 20. Labeo rohita | LC | Rohu | _ |
| 21. Labeo dussumieri | LC | Malabar Labeo | _ |
| 22. Cirrihinus mrigala | LC | Mrigal | _ |
| IV. ORDER: CYPRINODONTIFORMES | | | |
| IV. a. FAMILY: APLOCHEILIDAE | | | |
| 23. Aplochelius lineatus | NE | Stipedpanchax | _ |
| 24. Aplochelius blockii | NE | Green panchax | _ |
| IV b. FAMILY: COBITIDAE | | - | |
| 25. Lepidocephalichthys thermalis | LC | Common spiny loach | = |
| V. ORDER:SILURIFORMES | | | |
| V. a.FAMILY: SILURIDAE | | | |
| 26. Wallago attu | VU | Helicopter catfish | _ |
| 27. Ompok malabaricus | LC | Butter Catfish | Western ghats |
| V. b.FAMILY: PANGASIIDAE | | | |
| 28. Pangasius pangasius | LC | Pangas catfish | _ |
| V. c. FAMILY: HORABAGRIDAE | | | |
| 29. Horabagrus brachysoma | VU | Günther's Catfish | Western ghats |
| V. d.FAMILY: BAGRIDAE | | | _ |
| 30. Mystus oculatus | LC | Malabar Mystus | Western ghats |
| 31. Mystus vittatus | LC | Striped Mystus | - |
| 32. Mystus gulio | LC | Long whiskered catfish | _ |
| 33. Mystus armatus | LC | Kerala mystus | = |
| V. e. FAMILY: HETEROPNEUSTIDAE | | • | |
| 34. Heteropneustes fossilis | LC | Stinging catfish | - |
| VI. ORDER: GOBIIFORMES | | | |
| VI. a. FAMILY: GOBIIDAE | | | |
| 35. Glossogobius giuris | LC | Bareye Goby | _ |
| VI. b. FAMILY: AMBASSIDAE | | • • | |
| 36. Parambassis dayi | LC | Day's glassy perchlet | - |

Table 2: Comparison of this study with the later studies in Kole wetlands

| Sl.No. | Species | Khadar,1993 | Thomas et al., 2003 | Johny et al., 2012 | Present study |
|--------|-----------------------------|-------------|---------------------|--------------------|---------------|
| 1 | Anguilla bicolor | + | + | = | + |
| 2 | Dayella malabarica | _ | - | + | + |
| 3 | Ehirava fluviatilis | _ | - | - | + |
| 4 | Puntius mahecola | _ | - | - | + |
| 5 | Puntius chola | + | + | + | + |
| 6 | Puntius parrah | _ | + | + | + |
| 7 | Puntius vittatus | + | + | + | + |
| 8 | Dawkinsia filamentosa | + | + | + | + |
| 9 | Systomus sarana | + | - | + | + |
| 10 | Amblypharyngodon microlepis | + | - | - | + |
| 11 | Amblypharyngodon melettinus | + | - | + | + |
| 12 | Neochela dadiburjori | _ | - | - | + |
| 13 | Pethia punctata | - | = | - | + |
| 14 | Horadandia brittani | - | = | - | + |
| 15 | Rasbora dandia | + | + | + | + |
| 16 | Devario malabaricus | _ | - | + | + |
| 17 | Ctenopharyngodon idella | _ | - | - | + |
| 18 | Cyprinus carpio | _ | - | _ | + |
| 19 | Labeo catla | _ | - | + | + |

| 20 | T 1 1 1 | | | | |
|-----|-------------------------------|-----|----|--------|----|
| 20 | Labeo rohita | = | = | + | + |
| 21 | Labeo dussumieri | = | = | = | + |
| 22 | Cirrihinus mrigala | - | - | - | + |
| 23 | Aplochelius lineatus | + | - | + | + |
| 24 | Aplochelius blockii | = | = | = | + |
| 25 | Lepidocephalichthys thermalis | + | = | + | + |
| 26 | Wallago attu | + | = | = | + |
| 27 | Ompok malabaricus | + | = | = | + |
| 28 | Pangasius pangasius | - | = | = | + |
| 29 | Horabagrus brachysoma | + | + | = | + |
| 30 | Mystus oculatus | + | + | + | + |
| 31 | Mystus vittatus | + | - | - | + |
| 32 | Mystus gulio | - | - | - | + |
| 33 | Mystus armatus | - | - | - | + |
| 34 | Heteropneustes fossilis | + | = | + | + |
| 35 | Glossogobius giuris | + | + | + | + |
| 36 | Parambassis dayi | = | = | + | + |
| 37 | Parambassis thomassi | + | + | + | + |
| 38 | Etroplus suratensis | + | + | + | + |
| 39 | Pseudetroplus maculatus | + | + | + | + |
| 40 | Oreochromis niloticus | - | - | - | + |
| 41 | Hyporhamphus xanthopterus | _ | - | - | + |
| 42 | Xenentodon cancila | + | + | + | + |
| 43 | Macrognathus guentheri | + | + | + | + |
| 44 | Mastacembelus armatus | _ | + | - | + |
| 45 | Anabas testudineus | + | + | + | + |
| 46 | Pseudosphromenus cupanus | + | - | + | + |
| 47 | Pseudosphromenus dayi | _ | - | - | + |
| 48 | Channa striata | + | + | - | + |
| 49 | Channa pseudomarulius | _ | + | - | + |
| 50 | Channa gachua | + | = | = | + |
| 51 | Channa punctata | _ | - | - | + |
| 52 | Nandus nandus | + | + | + | + |
| 53 | Carinotetraodon travancoricus | = | + | + | + |
| 54 | Oreochromis mossambicus | + | = | = | = |
| 55 | Mastacembelus malabaricus | = | = | = | = |
| 56 | Hyporhamphus limbatus | + | = | + | _ |
| 57 | Puntius amphibius | + | - | + | _ |
| 58 | Puntius ticto | + | = | + | = |
| 59 | Puntius melanostigma | + | = | - | - |
| 60 | Danio aequipinnatus | + | + | = | = |
| 61 | Esomus danricus | + | + | - | - |
| 62 | Chela labuca | + | = | = | = |
| 63 | Mystus malabaricus | - | = | = | = |
| 64 | Aplocheilus dayi | - | + | = | = |
| 65 | Aplocheilus rubrostigma | + | _ | _ | _ |
| 66 | Mystus montanus | _ | _ | + | _ |
| 67 | Awaous gutum | _ | _ | + | _ |
| 68 | Sicyopterus griseus | _ | _ | + | _ |
| 69 | Liza parsia* | _ | _ | + | _ |
| 70 | Mugil cephalus* | _ | _ | + | _ |
| 71 | Caranx carangus* | = | + | · = | = |
| 72 | Lutjanus argentimaculatus* | = | + | = | = |
| , - | TOTAL | 36, | 25 | 34 | 53 |
| | 101111 | 50, | 23 | JT | 23 |

^{*}Visitors from estuary + Present - Absent



Fig. 1: Map of Kole Wetlands

*Source:Google earth

fish bio-diversity and hydrographical parameters of Kole wetlands reported thirty-four species of fishes. Present study recorded the presence of seventy two species of finfishes (fifty-three recorded during present study and nineteen by earlier workers). Present study is carried out in the sites were the water body is purely freshwater throughout the year. However, when comparing to earlier workers, present study recorded more number of finfishes from Kole wetland.

CONCLUSION

Wetlands are providing shelter to more fauna and flora hence conservation of this ecologically fragile ecosystem is the need of hour. The central and state governments should take necessary steps for the conservation of wetlands. Government and other agencies need to take initiatives to protect the Kole wetlands and preserve the biodiversity of this fragile ecosystem. Conservative measures like banning the ootha fishing a monsoon fishing practice when the brooders migrate to Kole lands for breeding purpose (Shaji and Laladhas, 2013), check on over fishing and unregulated fishing in the freshwater ecosystems. Major steps are to taken against the pollution and land filling in the Kole wetlands by the authorities.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The authors are grateful to the authorities of the Kerala University of Fisheries and Ocean Studies (KUFOS) for infrastructure facilities and the scholarship provided for the research. We are also grateful to Mr. C. J. Francis, Mrs. Sheeba, Mr. Kiran, Mr. Jerin, Dr. M. P. Prabhakaran, Dr. Rajeev Raghavan, Dr. Anvar Ali P.H, Dr. Suresh Kumar, Mr. Sreenesh and Mrs. Swapna Johny for their support during theis study.

REFERENCES

Bijukumar, A. and Raghavan, R. (2015). A checklist

- of fishes of Kerala, India. *Journal of Threatened Taxa*, 7(13): 8036-8080.
- Day, F. (1878). The fishes of India; being a natural history of the fishes known to inhabit the seas and fresh waters of India, Burma and Ceylon. Bernard Quaritech, London, 778 p.
- FAO.(1984). FAO species identification sheets for fishery purposes, Western Indian Ocean (fishing area 51) (eds Fisher. W. and Bianchi. G.). Food and Agriculture Organisation of the United Nations, Rome, Vol. 1-5
- Fricke, R., Eschmeyer, W. N. and Van der Laan, R. (eds) (2022). Eschmeyer's Catalog Of Fishes: Genera, Species (http://researcharchive.calacademy.org/research/ichthyology/catalog/fishcatmain.asp). Electronic version accessed (January 2022)
- IUCN (2021). IUCN Red List of Threatened Species. Version 2021. www.iucnredlist. org. Electronic version accessed (December 2021)
- Jayram, K.C. (1999). The fresh water fishes of the Indian region. Narendra Publishing House, Delhi,551 p.
- John Thomas, K., Sreekumar, S. and Jaya, C. (2003).

 Muriyad Wetlands: Ecological Changes and human consequences, Project report submitted to Kerala Research Programme on Local Development, Centre for Developmental Studies, Thiruvanandapuram, 83 p.
- Johny, S., Inasu, N. D. and Dominic, A. D. (2012). A survey of Kolelands with emphasis on hydrographical parameters and fish biodiversity. *Millennium Zoology*, 13(1): 36– 41.
- Kadhar, A.P.B.(1993) Studies on the fish and

- fisheries of inland waters of Trichur District Dissertation. University of Calicut
- Leith, C.E. (1975). Numerical weather prediction. *Reviews of Geophysics*, 13(3): 681-684.
- Mitsch, W. andGosselinkJ. (1993). Wetlands2nd Edition. Van Nostrand Reinhold, New York, 722p.
- Munro, I.S.R. (1955). The marine and freshwater fishes of Ceylon.Indian reprint 1982.Narendra Publishing House, Delhi, 351p.
- Nameer, P. O. (2002). Kole Wetlands- An Internationally Important Wetland in Peril. Proceedings of the Fourteenth Kerala Science Congress, Kochi, 29-31, January, 2002, Pp 627-630.
- Nelson, J.S. (2016). Fishes of the World, fifth edition, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 752 p.
- RCS(2013). The Ramsar Convention Manual: a guide to the Convention on Wetlands (Ramsar, Iran, 1971), 6th ed. Ramsar Convention Secretariat, Gland, Switzerland, 110p.
- Shaji, C. P.and Laladhas, K. P. (2013). Monsoon flood plain fishery and traditionalfishing methods in Thrissur district, Kerala. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 12(1): 102–108
- Srinivasan, J.T. (2010). Understanding the Kole lands in Kerala as a multiple use wetland ecosystem. Research Unit for Livelihoods and Natural Resources, Hyderabad, 36 p.
- Van der Valk, A.G. (2006). The biology of freshwater wetlands.Oxford University Press, Oxford, UK, 325p.

Received: April 5, 2022 Accepted: April 13, 2022