Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Malobica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 21(3)

September-December 2023

CONTENTS

Studies on genetic diversity and character association analysis in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. em. Thell) P. SINGH, B. PRASAD, J. P. JAISWAL and A. KUMAR	337-344
Study of Genetic Variability for yield and yield contributing characters in Bread Wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum L.</i>) SHIVANI KHATRI, RAKESH SINGH NEGI and SHIVANI NAUTIYAL	345-348
To assessment about the combining ability and heterosis studies in pea [<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. var. <i>hortense</i>] AKASH KUMAR, BANKEY LAL, P. K. TIWARI, PRANJAL SINGH and ASHUTOSH UPADHYAY	349-355
Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield, and quality traits in garden pea (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.) under sub-tropical conditions of Garhwal hills SUMIT CHAUHAN, D. K. RANA and LAXMI RAWAT	356-364
To study of correlation and path coefficients analysis for pod yield in garden pea [<i>Pisum sativum</i> L. var. <i>hortense</i>] CHANDRAMANI KUSWAHA, H. C. SINGH, BANKEY LAL, PRANJAL SINGH and ASHUTOSH UPADHYAY	365-370
Black gram (<i>Vigna mungo L</i> .) response to plant geometry and biofertilizers in western Himalayan Agroecosystem SANDEEPTI RAWAT, HIMANSHU VERMA and J P SINGH	371-375
Integrated effect of natural farming concortions, organic farming practices and different fertilizer doses on productivity and profitability of wheat in western Himalayan zones of India PRERNA NEGI, HIMANSHU VERMA, MOINUDDIN CHISTI, J. P. SINGH, PRIYANKA BANKOTI, ANJANA NAUTIYAL and SHALINI CHAUDHARY	376-382
Economics of paddy cultivation in the salinity affected regions of Alappuzha district, Kerala NITHIN RAJ. K, T. PAUL LAZARUS, ASWATHY VIJAYAN, DURGA A. R, B. APARNA and BRIGIT JOSEPH	383-390
Persistent toxicity of insecticides, fungicides, and their combinations against Spodoptera litura (Fab.) on soybean	391-395

GUNJAN KANDPAL, R.P. SRIVASTAVA and ANKIT UNIYAL

Productive and reproductive performance of dairy animals in district Varanasi of Uttar Pradesh	396-400
RISHABH SINGH, YASHESH SINGH and PUSHP RAJ SHIVAHRE	
Role of nanotechnology in environmental pollution remediation A.K. UPADHYAY, ANUPRIYA MISRA, YASHOVARDHAN MISRA and ANIMESH KUMAR MISHRA	401-408
Effects of chemical industry effluents on humoral immune response in mice SEEMA AGARWAL and D.K. AGRAWAL	409-415
Correlation between sero-conversion and clinical score in Peste des petits ruminants disease in goats AMISHA NETAM, ANUJ TEWARI, RAJESH KUMAR, SAUMYA JOSHI, SURBHI BHARTI and PREETINDER SINGH	416-419
Length weight relationship and condition factor of Bengal corvina, <i>Daysciaena albida</i> (Cuvier, 1830) from Vembanad Lake KITTY FRANCIS C. and M. K. SAJEEVAN	420-424
Temporal changes in per capita consumption of meat in different countries of South East Asia region ABDUL WAHID and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	425-431
Temporal analysis of milk production and consumption in the Central Asian countries ABDUL WAHID and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	432-436
Development and quality evaluation of jackfruit rind incorporated vermicelli <i>Payasam</i> ATHIRA RAJ, SHARON, C.L., SEEJA THOMACHAN PANJIKKARAN., LAKSHMI, P.S., SUMAN, K.T., DELGI JOSEPH C. and SREELAKSHMI A. S	437-443
Optimizing pre-drying treatments of kale leaves for enhanced processing quality BINDVI ARORA, SHRUTI SETHI, ALKA JOSHI and AJAY NAROLA	444-452
Effect of training and visit (T & V) system on fish production (Aquaculture) in Ogun State, Nigeria UWANA G.U. and V.E OGBE	453-459
Use of social media by rural and urban youths: A study in Uttarakhand ANNU PARAGI and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	460-465
Assessment of traditional knowledge of therapeutic potential of native crops among population of Udham Singh Nagar, Uttarakhand A. DUTTA, A. BHATT, S. SINGH and K. JOSHI	466-472
Modernizing dairy operations: A comprehensive case study of mechanization in Bhopal farms M. KUMAR	473-477

Black gram (*Vigna mungo L.*) response to plant geometry and biofertilizers in western Himalayan Agroecosystem

SANDEEPTI RAWAT¹, HIMANSHU VERMA^{2*} and J P SINGH³

^{1&3} Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun (Uttarakhand), ²College of Agriculture and Environmental Technology, Surajmal University, Kichha, Udham Singh Nagar (Uttarakhand)

*Corresponding author's email id: hvhimanshuverma4@gmail.com

Key words: Bio-fertilizer, black gram, Dehradun, plant geometry, Rhizobium

Pulses are the main source of dietary protein for vegetarians and contribute up to 14 % of the total protein of an average Indian diet (Mudryi et al., 2014). Pulses improve the soil health by enriching nitrogen status and also maintain long term fertility and sustainability of the cropping systems. India is the largest producer and consumer of pulses in the world. Pulses contain a high percentage of quality protein and fibre besides they supply micronutrients, low fat and complex carbohydrates. Among the pulses, black gram (Vigna mungo L. Hepper) is the third-most consumed pulse crop in the world (Swaminathan et al., 2021) cultivated in India and Asian countries including Pakistan, Myanmar and parts of Southern Asia. India occupies an area of 48.07 lakh hectare with production of 27.39 lakh tons and yield of 5.70 quintals per hectare (Calles, 2016). Black gram is beneficial in lowering cholesterol levels (Divyani et al., 2020). It also acts as cover crop and its deep root system protects the soil from erosion. The crop also improves soil fertility by symbiotic fixation of atmospheric nitrogen in root nodules. It is grown well in moisture retentive light soil, but loamy and clay loam soils are suitable for the cultivation of Black gram.

Constraints limiting the productivity of black gram in India are non- availability of quality seeds of improved varieties, cultivation on marginal, less fertile soils with low inputs, lack of pest and disease management, cultivation under moisture stress conditions, imbalanced use of nutrients and unscientific post-harvest practices. Plant density can have a major effect on the final yield of most of the legumes and the general response of yield to increasing population is well documented. Increase in spacing decreases the total population, but with more nutrition to the individual plant grows better and yield more. Row spacing is an important factor which ultimately effect nutrient uptake and growth and yield of plant. To realize the maximum yield potential of black gram during summer and rainy season, maintenance of optimum space made available to individual plant is of prime importance. The spacing requirement depends upon the growth behavior of genotype. So, it is required to maintain spacing for obtaining higher yield (Veeramani, 2019).

The main challenge for the researchers in the field is to reduce the use of intensive chemical fertilizers which negatively affect the environment and food quality, nutritional requirement of increasing population as well as soil health. Continuous use of chemical fertilizers increased crop yield in the early stages but had a negative impact on sustainability (Rana and Verma, 2021; Kumari and Verma, 2023; Juyal et al., 2023). The indiscriminate application of major fertilizers resulted in deficiency of nutrients other than those applied and a decrease in soil organic carbon. Furthermore, the imbalance and continuous use of synthetic chemicals harm soil physical, chemical, and biological properties, affecting crop production sustainability and pose health and environmental risks. So, an alternative for chemical fertilizers is the use of bio fertilizers which could potentially play key roles in the productivity and sustainability of soil. Bio-fertilizers are gaining importance as they are eco-friendly, nonhazardous and non-toxic. The use of bio fertilizers improves soil fertility by fixing atmospheric nitrogen, solubilizing insoluble phosphates, producing plant growth-promoting substances in the soil, and promoting nodulation ability. Thus, objective of the present research was to evaluate the influence of plant geometry and biofertilizers on the growth, yield and economics of black gram in western Himalayan regions of Uttarakhand.

Field trial was conducted during kharif 2022 at Crop Research Centre, School of Agricultural Sciences, SGRR University, Dehradun, located in the north western region of Uttarakhand at an altitude of 450 meter above MSL and 29° 58' and 31° 2' 30° North latitude and 77° 34'45'' and 78° 18'30'' east longitudes. Summer temperatures ranged from 36.7 °C to 14.4 °C at their highest and lowest points, respectively, while the winter temperatures ranged from 24.1° C to 5.4° C. The average annual precipitation was 1424 mm. The soil in the experimental field had a sandy loam texture with somewhat neutral pH (7.12), medium in available nitrogen (352.3 kg ha⁻¹) and organic carbon (0.42 %), low in available phosphorous (9.1 kg ha^{-1}), and high in available potassium (236.3 kg ha⁻¹). The experiment was laid out in factorial randomized block design (RBD) with two factors i.e. plant geometry and bio-fertilizers each at 3 different levels making nine treatment combinations. Treatment combinations comprised of 25×10 cm + Rhizobium; $25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{VAM}$; $25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$; 30×10 cm + Rhizobium; 30×10 cm + VAM; 30×10 $cm + Rhizobium + VAM; 35 \times 10 cm + Rhizobium;$ $35 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{VAM}$; $35 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$. The field was thoroughly ploughed twice with a tractor-drawn harrow at an optimum moisture content followed by a single harrowing, and the final land preparation was carried out with a tractor-drawn leveller. The Pant Urd- 31 (PU-31) was sown on 23rd June 2022 using seed rate of 12 kg ha⁻¹. At the time of field preparation, recommended dose of fertilizer 20:40:40 kg NPK ha-1 were applied. As per treatment, different bio-fertilizers viz., Rhizobium and VAM were mixed in the soil at the time of field preparation. After germination of the crop, gap filling and thinning were also done between 20 and 25 days after sowing (DAS) in an order to maintain definite plant populations. Being *kharif* crop, water needs were being met by rain, however depending upon the soil moisture status, irrigations were provided. The first weeding was done at 25 DAS and the second-hand weeding was done at 35 DAS. The crop was harvested with the help of sickle on September 20, 2022, i.e., 90 DAS. The entire produce was then sun dried for about 4-5 days. The process of threshing was done manually by bashing the plants with sticks.

Dry matter accumulation of the crop at different stages was done by keeping in the hot air oven at 65° C for 72 hours followed by recording the dry weight.

Number of pods per plants, number of grains per pod, test weight, grain yield, straw yield and biological yields were calculated by adopting standard procedures.

The findings made it abundantly evident that alteration or changes in plant geometry and application of different bio-fertilizers significantly influenced plant growth, yield and net returns of the black gram (Table 1 and 2). Among the various treatment combinations, maximum plant height, number of branches per plant, dry matter production per meter square and leaf area index was recorded in 35×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM followed by 30×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM and 25×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM respectively. However lowest values of these parameters were seen where only VAM was applied with the relatively closer plant stands confining the geometry of 25×10 cm.

In addition, 35×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM also recorded maximum grain yield, net return and B: C ratio as compared to other treatment combinations. Due to the additive effects of these two bio-fertilizers i.e., Rhizobium and VAM in improving the nutritional environment, the growth in terms of branches and dry matter, leaf area index, and their translocation to reproductive structures were enhanced. This resulted in an increase in the yield attributes and, ultimately, the crop's yield. The significant increase in straw production that resulted

Table 1: Plant height, number of branches per plant, leaf area index and dry matter production of black gram as influenced by plant geometry and bio-fertilizers during <i>kharif</i> 2022	branches pe	r plant, lea	ıf area ind	lex and dr	y matter p	roduction	of black g	ram as inf	luenced by	plant geom	etry and bio	-fertilizers
Treatments	Plant	nt height(cm)	No. 0	No. of Branches (plant ¹)	s (plant ⁻¹)	Leaf	Leaf Area Index (LAI)	x (LAI)	Dry matte	Dry matter production (g m ⁻²)	n (g m ⁻²)
	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS	25 DAS	50 DAS	75 DAS
$25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium}$	18.50	51.34	68.49	2.33	6.50	9.45	0.68	4.75	6.75	3.33	25.16	53.03
$25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{VAM}$	17.12	46.90	66.90	1.92	5.59	8.22	0.58	3.43	5.54	2.14	24.16	51.07
$25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$	20.65	53.27	70.65	2.92	6.83	11.50	0.75	5.73	7.76	4.72	27.17	53.34
30×10 cm + Rhizobium	19.30	52.18	69.40	2.67	6.63	9.83	0.66	4.28	6.21	3.75	26.07	53.55
$30 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{VAM}$	17.45	49.72	67.17	2.17	5.66	8.49	0.55	2.63	5.40	2.58	24.67	51.34
$30 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$	20.81	53.57	72.22	3.08	6.18	11.18	0.71	5.34	7.34	5.11	27.75	54.91
$35 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium}$	19.62	52.72	69.31	2.70	6.73	9.86	0.63	3.85	5.84	3.96	26.51	53.41
$35 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{VAM}$	17.65	50.74	67.61	2.25	5.88	8.75	0.44	2.22	5.13	2.90	24.39	51.62
$35 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$	22.39	55.23	73.57	3.17	6.84	12.05	0.70	5.07	6.21	5.73	28.32	55.50
C.D. (5 %)	1.14	2.11	1.12	0.44	0.51	0.50	0.03	0.18	0.23	0.50	1.11	0.60

	11 4044	
Phane	NILL I	
dining	allinn	
fortilizone		
040		
on notine		
2 + 4	2	0
2		
4		•
s influence	IIIIaciicec	
r anom ac		0
f bloo	5	
000	5	
monooo		
0.00		
world on	VICIU	
1400	n rco.	
4+		
400	, a	
2	נו	
2	-	
ີ	1 0	
3		
E		

Treatments	No. of pods	No. of grains	IS Test	Grain yield	Straw yield	H.I.	Cost of cultivation	ation Gross income	Net return	B:C
	per plant	per pod	weight(g)	(kg/ha)	(kg/ha)	(%)	(Rs. ha ⁻¹)	(Rs. ha ⁻¹)	(Rs. ha ⁻¹)	
25×10 cm + Rhizobium	59.00	6.88	40.62	600.6	1791.8	25.10	39583	70804	31220	0.79
S_1B_2 , 25×10 cm + VAM	62.60	6.11	39.15	592.6	1581.0	27.26	38585	69715	31129	0.80
$S_1B_2 = 25 \times 10 \text{ cm} + \text{Rhizobium} + \text{VAM}$	70.20	7.57	41.74	615.1	1972.3	23.78	41164	82377	40212	1.00
$S_{AB_{1}}$ 30×10 cm + Rhizobium	65.48	7.12	41.03	604.4	1811.6	25.02	39686	71263	31576	0.80
$S_{2}B_{2}$ 30×10 cm + VAM	60.00	6.54	39.67	599.5	1626.7	23.23	38705	69882	31176	0.81
$S_{2B_{3}}$ 30×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM	68.74	7.21	41.48	616.8	2046.2	23.45	41662	82984	40751	1.00
$S_{3}B_{1}$ 35×10 cm + Rhizobium	66.12	7.14	40.11	612.6	1839.8	24.98	40069	71641	31724	0.79
S_{AB}^{2} , 35×10 cm + VAM	60.73	6.64	40.14	606.2	1721.1	25.14	38902	70198	31296	0.80
$S_{3}B_{3}$ 35×10 cm + Rhizobium + VAM	71.37	7.96	42.63	633.1	2092.0	26.93	41774	83863	42088	1.21
C.D. (5 %)	2.89	0.72	1.14	12.4	41.1	1.11	·	841.11	1000.14	0.19

from the bio-fertilizer inoculation may have been caused by the enhanced vegetative growth, which may have been caused by the efficient utilization of nutrients taken through the deep roots and the prolific shoot growth as a result of improved nutrition. These findings were in line with the findings of Tanwar et al. (2003) and Rathore et al. (2010) who stated that application of rhizobium along with VAM shows additive effects resulting in improvement in growth of the crop while providing nutrients in adequate amount for better partitioning of the photosynthates. Again, the increase in yield could be attributed to the fact that phosphorus from the VAM tends to improve the root growth whereby increased growth and development in terms of plant height, branches, and dry matter by enhancing the nutritional environment of the rhizosphere and plant system, which in turn leads to higher plant metabolism and photosynthetic activity (Singh and Sekhon, 2007; Kumawat et al., 2013).

Wider row spacing (35×10 cm) with lesser plant population might have increased all the growth attributes positively due to lesser competition amongst the plants for nutrients, moisture, sunlight and space while narrower row spacing $(25 \times 10 \text{ cm})$ ensured late canopy coverage and minimal light interception owing to lesser growth rate and crop biomass, resulting in decreased growth pattern yielding lesser grain yield and net benefits. Similar results have also been reported by Boydak *et al.*, 2004; Malek *et al.*,2012.

CONCLUSION

Plant geometry and application of different biofertilizers has influenced growth parameters, yield & yield attributes and net revenues of black gram. Sowing of black gram at the plant geometry of 35×10 cm along with soil application of Rhizobium + VAM showed 10 % and 25 % yield advantage and monetary benefit, respectively over 25×10 cm and 30×10 cm with soil application of biofertilizers suggested for enhancing grain yield and advantageous under Doon Valley areas of Uttarakhand.

REFERENCES

- Boydak, E., Simsek, M. and Gercek, S. (2004). Row spacing and irrigation interval effects on yield and yield components of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merrll]. *Pakistan Journal* of Biological Sciences, 7(2): 230-234.
- Calles, T. (2016). The International Year of Pulses: What are they and why are they important. *Agriculture for Development*, 26: 40-42.
- Divyani, B. R., Ganesh, V. and Dhanuka, D. (2020). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield in black gram (*Vigna Mungo* L. Hepper) under Doon valley condition. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and* Phytochemistry, 9 (5): 2928- 2932.
- Juyal, P., Verma, H. and Dhapola, P. (2023). Integrated Nutrient Management for growth and yield enhancement of Wheat (*Tritium aestivum*) under irrigated conditions of Doon Valley, Uttarakhand. *Pantnagar Journal of research*, 21 (1): 27- 31.
- Kumari, A. and Verma, H. (2023). Performance of

Integrated Nutrient management for yield and net income of Lentil (*Lens culinaris* Medik). *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 21 (2):41-144.

- Kumawat, P.K., Tiwari, R.C., Golada, S.L., Garhwal, R.K. and Choudhary, R. (2013). Effect of phosphorus sources, levels and biofertilizers on yield attributes, yield and economics of blackgram (*Phaseolus mungo*). Legume Research, 36: 70-73.
- Melak, M.A., Shafiquzzaman, M., Rahman, M.S. and Razi, M. (2012). Standardization of soybean row spacing based on morphophysiological characters. *Legume Research*, 35(2): 138 -143.
- Mudryi, N. A., Yu, N. and Aukema, M. H. (2014). Nutritional and health benefits of pulses. *Applied Physiology, Nutrition and Metabolism*, 39 (11): 1197- 1204.
- Rana, B. and Verma, H. (2021). Assessment of different nutrient management approaches for grain yield, gluten content and net income of common bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) in Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand. *Pantnagar Journal of Research*, 19 (3): 359- 366.
- Rathore, D.S., Purohit, H.S. and Yadav, B.L. (2010). Integrated phosphorus management on yield and nutrient uptake of urd bean under rainfed conditions of Southern Rajasthan. *Journal of Food Legumes*, 23(2): 128-137.
- Singh, G. and Sekhon, H.S. (2007). Effect of sowing methods and fertilizer application on growth and yield of *kharif* mungbean (In) *National Symposium on Legumes for Ecological Sustainability: Emerging Challenges and Opportunities*, 3-5 Nov. IIPR, Kanpur.
- Swaminathan, C., Surya, R., Subramanian, E. and Arunachalam, P. (2021). Challenges in Pulses Productivity and Agronomic Opportunities for Enhancing Growth and Yield in Black gram [Vigna mungo (L.) Hepper]: A Review. Legume Research, 46 (1): 1-9.
- Tanwar, S.P.S., Sharma, G.L. and Chahar, M.S. (2003). Effect of P and bio-fertilizer on yield, nutrient content and uptake by black

375 Pantnagar Journal of Research

gram (*Vigna mungo*). *Legume Research*, 26 (1): 39-49.

Veeramani, P. (2019). Effect of Plant Spacing on the Growth and Yield of Black gram (*Vigna* mungo). Journal of Krishi Vigyan, 8 (1): 101-104.

Received: October 10, 2023 Accepted: December 5, 2023