Print ISSN : 0972-8813 e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 18(3), Sept-Dec, 2020]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India

Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 18(3)

September-December, 2020

CONTENTS

Marker assisted selection for aromatic and semi-dwarf segregants in cross of aromatic Katarni rice SUNDARAM BHARTI, P.K. SINGH, KUMARI SUVIDHA, SATYENDRA, S. P. SINGH, ANAND KUMAR and MANKESH KUMAR	188
D ² and principal component analysis for variability studies in <i>Vigna</i> and <i>Phaseolus</i> species PRIYANKA BHARETI, R. K. PANWAR, ANJU ARORA and S. K. VERMA	193
Assessment of genetic parameters in F_5 recombinants derived from <i>Indica</i> rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.) line Pusa 6A PRACHI PRIYA, MANKESH KUMAR, TIRTARTHA CHATTOPADHYAY, BISHUN DEO PRASAD, SWETA SINHA, ANAND KUMAR and SATYENDRA	198
Genetic diversity analysis by D ² clustering of fodder yield and its related traits in forage sorghum HARSH DEEP, INDRANI CHAKRABORTY, SATYAWAN ARYA, PUMMY LAMBA, S. K. PAHUJA and JAYANTI TOKAS	203
Genetic diversity for morpho-physiological and seed vigour traits in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) PUNEET KUMAR, Y.P.S. SOLANKI, VIKRAM SINGH and ASHISH	209
<i>In vitro</i> plant regeneration from mature embryo using different plant growth regulators in wheat genotype HD 3059 SWATI SHARMA, ASHWANI KUMAR, ANIL SIROHI, R. S. SENGAR, KAMAL KHILARI, MUKESH KUMAR and MANOJ K. YADAV	215
Weed management and crop geometry effect on nutrient uptake and yield in aerobic rice VASUNDHRA KAUSHIK, S. P. SINGH, V. P. SINGH, TEJ PRATAP and B. S. MAHAPATRA	222
Studies on sucker control in natu tobacco (<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.) under rainfed vertisols S. JAFFAR BASHA, P. PULLI BAI, S. KASTURI KRISHNA and C. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO	228
Seed and oil yield of bidi tobacco (<i>Nicotiana tabacum</i> L.) varieties as influenced by planting geometry and fertilizer levels under rainfed vertisols S. JAFFAR BASHA, P. PULLI BAI, S. KASTURI KRISHNA and C. CHANDRASEKHARA RAO	232
Comparison of non-linear models on area, production and productivity of sugarcane crop in Uttar Pradesh JHADE SUNIL and ABHISHEK SINGH	237
Performance of improved varieties of true Cinnamon (<i>Cinnamomum verum</i> J. Presl.) in Andaman Islands, India AJIT ARUN WAMAN, POOJA BOHRA and R. KARTHIKA DEVI	243
Changing climate and its effect on rice yield in Meghalaya DEOTREPHY K. DKHAR, SHEIKH MOHAMMAD FEROZE, RAM SINGH and LALA I.P. RAY	249
Age related changes in morphometrical studies on ductus deferens of guinea fowl (Numida meleagris) TAMILSELVAN S, B. S. DHOTE and MEENA MRIGESH	257

Occurrence of gastrointestinal nematodes in goats slaughtered at Rewa, India D. MARAVI, A. K. DIXIT and POOJA DIXIT	261
Autoimmune haemolytic anaemia in a dog-A case report NEERAJ KUMAR, MUNISH BATRA and R.S. CHAUHAN	265
Erythrocytic anaplasmosis with <i>Fasciolosis</i> in a cross-bred cattle: A case report NEERAJ KUMAR and MUNISH BATRA	269
Modification and evaluation of Pant-ICAR controlled traffic seed-cum-deep fertilizer applicator for multi-crop seeder-cum-deep placement of fertilizers applicator MANISH KUMAR, T.C THAKUR, MANOJ KUMARand SATYA PRAKASH KUMAR	272
Drying characteristics of shrimp (<i>Metapenaeus dobsoni</i>) in electrical dryer D.S. ANIESRANI DELFIYA, S. MURALI, P.V. ALFIYA and MANOJ P. SAMUEL	281
Baur dam breach analysis using various Manning's roughness values MEENAKSHI RAMOLA, JYOTHI PRASAD and H. J. SHIVA PRASAD	286
Study of constipation and related factors among female students of Pantnagar RITA SINGH RAGHUVANSHI, NIDHI JOSHI, DIKSHA SINGH, SHIKHA SINGH, MEENAL and DASHRATH BHATI	290
Work -related musculoskeletal disorders among chikankari workers in Lucknow (U.P.) POONAM SINGH and KATYAYNI	297
Technology adoption and productivity enhancement in groundnut cultivation: An impact assessment of farm women groups K.UMA, T. NIVETHA and S. PRAVEENA	302
Health hazard and constraints of chikankari worker in Lucknow (U.P.) POONAM SINGHand KATYAYNI	310
Studies on Indigenous Agricultural Technical Knowledge prevalent among the farmers of Assam for the management of common pests and diseases in major crops DEVAMITRA TARAFDAR and NIRMAL MAZUMDER	315
Television viewing pattern among students of CCS Haryana Agricultural University, Hisar ANIL KUMAR MALIK, KRISHAN YADAV and SUNIL KUMAR	325
Media content development and it's standardization for farmers REETA DEVI YADAV, GEETAMATI DEVI and RITA GOAL	331
Analysis of learning behavior and pattern of online learners on a MOOC platform G.R.K. MURTHY, SEEMA KUJUR, S. SENTHIL VINAYAGAM, YASHAVANTH B.S., CH. SRINIVASA RAO, P. S. PANDEY, VANITA JAIN and INDRADEVI T.	338

In vitro plant regeneration from mature embryo using different plant growth regulators in wheat genotype HD 3059

SWATI SHARMA¹, ASHWANI KUMAR¹, ANIL SIROHI², R. S. SENGAR¹, KAMAL KHILARI³, MUKESH KUMAR⁴ and MANOJ K. YADAV¹

¹Department of Agricultural Biotechnology, ²Department of Molecular Biology and Genetic Engineering, ³Department of Plant Pathology, ⁴Department of Genetics and Plant Breeding, Sardar Vallabhbhai Patel University of Agriculture and Technology, Meerut (Uttar Pradesh)

ABSTRACT: Genetic transformation and many other genome engineering processes in wheat still lags behind due to non availability of a better callus induction and regeneration systems. In the present study, an efficient embryogenic based regeneration protocol is using different combinations of plant growth hormones. The combinations of commercial bleach along with mercuric chloride reported for effective sterilization of the explants (mature embryos) used for *in vitro* culture. The combinations of two auxin *viz.*, 2, 4-D and NAA were taken for an efficient callus culture for callus induction, while cytokinin *viz.*, kinetin and BAP were used to achieve the efficient regeneration capacity of the mature explants in wheat genotypes HD3059. A significant callus induction rate was reported among the callus cultured on MS media supplemented with concentration combinations of 4.0 mg L⁻¹ 2, 4-D, 1.0 mg L⁻¹ NAA and 1.0 mg L⁻¹ ABA. Similarly, the concentration combination of 2.0 mg L⁻¹ BAP; 1.0 mg L⁻¹ NAA gave the maximum regeneration capacity along with maximum number of shoots. Further, MS media supplemented with 1.5 mg L⁻¹ NAA in combination with 1.0 mg L⁻¹ IAA produced better roots in regenerated shoots. The present study would be useful in ameliorating Indian wheat cultivars and would probably get over the problems regarding developing a new plant with a modification of a targeted gene using various recent technologies such CRISPR-Cas9 and gene engineering approaches. Therefore, efficient regeneration system has been achieved in the wheat genotype HD 3059.

Key words: CRISPR-Cas9, mature embryo, plant growth hormone, regeneration, transgenic plant, wheat

ABBREVIATIONS

2, 4-D (2, 4-Dichlorophenoxyacetic acid), NAA (Napthalene acetic acid), ABA (Abscisic acid), IAA (Indole-3-acetic acid), BAP (6-Benzylaminopurine).

India is the second largest wheat producing country after China (Wani et al., 2017). The production of wheat during 2019-20 is pegged at 106.21 million tonnes. Though it is higher by 2.61 million tonnes in compare to the production during 2018-19 and is higher by 11.60 million tonnes than the average wheat production of 94.61 million tonnes (Anonymous, 2020). It was observed that the decrease in production continuously during the last few years due to climate change intensified by various biotic as well as abiotic factors is alarming (Parmar et al., 2012; Krishnaveni et al., 2019). Due to the low frequency of meiotic recombination in the crop leading to unwanted linkage drag, conventional breeding did not achieved much success, especially against different types of rust and drought conditions (Adero et al., 2019). Genetic transformation as a result of genetic engineering is a more precise and efficient method to improve the biotic and abiotic resistance among wheat genotypes. The method depends upon the callus induction

and plant regeneration system influenced by genotype, explants source, geographical origin, culture medium and the interactions between them (Dargahlou et al., 2017). Various parts of the plant could be used as explants for tissue culture of wheat plant such as shoot tips, embryos, leaf basis, anthers, microspores and inflorescences. The use of mature embryos is recommended because of its low cost and round the year easy availability. It has been observed that the plant regeneration frequency using mature embryos is relatively lower than immature embryos. Therefore, some limiting factors interfere with the use of immature embryos such as the need to grow donor plants for round the year to supply the explants continuously, therefore, requiring greenhouse space as well as demanding extra labour works and cost. Further, finding the most suitable developmental stage of immature embryos for successful culture has been observed as another limiting factor (Murin et al., 2012). In the present study, wheat genotype HD3059 is chosen in order to standardize the protocol for callus induction and in vitro regeneration that would be useful to study the developmental behaviour of the somatic embryos and its different developmental stages while somatic embryogenesis. In addition, the protocol would be useful in development of improved wheat genotypes in future.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The seeds of high yielding wheat genotype HD3059 were used for the present study. Seeds were procured from the Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Nagina, Uttar Pradesh. The assessment of *in vitro* callus induction and plant regeneration capacity was done on mature embryos culture, using different plant growth regulators with different concentration combinations.

Explants sterilization and in vitro germination

Mature embryos of the seeds were used as an explants source. The seeds were washed in running tap water first and sterilized with Tween20 detergent followed by Bavistin solution for 5-8 minutes. The seeds were then soaked in 4% (v/v) sodium hypochlorite solution with continuous shaking for 20 minutes. Thereafter, disinfection of seeds was done using 0.1% solution of mercuric chloride for 30 seconds. The seeds were submerged in distilled water and allowed to incubate overnight in a 250 ml beaker. Mature embryos were excised from the imbibed seeds carefully with the help of blade and forceps. Mature embryos were wounded with the help of a sterile needle and put it on the MS culture medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) with scutellum in contact with the medium to start initiation of callus formation. All the operations were done strictly aseptically under horizontal laminar air flow hood.

Callus induction

Murashige and Skoog (1962) medium was supplemented with 33mg L⁻¹ sucrose (Yadav, 2003) and used for different media preparations along with different concentration combinations of plant growth regulators and solidified by adding agar (HI-MEDIA^R) (8 mgL⁻¹). The pH of the media was set at 5.6±1. About 10 excised embryos were placed on each petri-dish for initiation of embryogenic callus induction using different concentration combinations of auxin (2, 4-D and NAA) with ABA (1.0 mgL⁻¹ to 4.0 mgL⁻¹) for regulation of precocious germination among the explants (Table 1). The culture was incubated for 30 days at 24±2°C followed by covering the parafilm to avoid any contamination. The experiment was repeated thrice and the callus induction was recorded on weekly basis.

In vitro regeneration and root initiation

The induced callus was sub-cultured on regeneration medium consisting of eight different concentration combinations of auxin (NAA) along with cytokinin (Kinetin and BAP) ranging from 1.0mgL⁻¹ to 3.0 mg L⁻¹ (Table 1) along with control were placed in the slanted

test tubes. The experiment was repeated thrice and the shoot regeneration percentage and the number of shoots developed per plant were recorded. Similar pattern was applied to test the root initiation efficiency of the explants. The well-developed shoots were separated aseptically and cultured on separate MS media supplemented with six different concentration of auxin (NAA) and a control. The cultures were kept at 24 ± 2 °C and relative humidity of 60–70% under fluorescent light intensity of 24μ mol m⁻²s⁻¹ with 16-h photoperiod for 30 days.

Hardening of regenerated plantlets

The *in vitro* generated plantlets are generally difficult to acclimatize as their stems and leaves got succulent due to the high humidity in the culture vessel (Grout and Aston, 1978). The reason of concern is the difference of nutrition in the culture medium and the soil environment. The in vitro rooted shoot plants are removed from the culture medium and washed with double distilled water to separate the traces of agar from it. Plants are transferred to the perforated plastic containers containing 1:1 (v/v) of cocopeat: vermi-compost at 25°C under a 16/8 h. Then plantlets were given 50 ml Hoagland solution and covered with the polythene bag with three or four holes in it in order to maintain the humidity. After two weeks of continuous observation, the covers were removed and plants transferred to the greenhouse and were allowed to grow for few more weeks.

Data analysis

A completely randomized design (CRD) with three replications per treatment was used to determine the actual efficiency of callus induction as well as *in vitro* regeneration. The efficiency of callus induction is calculated as number of explants generated callus divided by total number of explants cultured multiplied by hundred. The statistical analysis was done using one way analysis of variance (ANOVA) using SPSS software version 19.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Explants sterilization

A reproducible and independent regeneration system is pre-requisite for wheat improvement for development of successful transgenic crops. The present investigation was designed in order to overcome the problem by suitably generating an *in vitro* plant regeneration system in wheat genotype HD 3059, which is also known as *Pusa Pachheti*. This genotype was released by Indian Agricultural Research Institute; New Delhi is being used widely by farmers of Western Uttar Pradesh and very much popular among the farmers. It was chosen due to its higher achieved production, resistance to yellow and brown rust and its superior quality parameters like protein content (13.6%), high sedimentation value (52 ml), best Glu-1 Score (10/ 10) and good extraction rate (70.1%) (Singh et al., 2014). The present study emphasizes the effect of plant growth regulators on callus induction and in vitro plant regeneration to determine the optimum concentration of efficient plant growth regulators. The dose and different duration of sterilizing agents has a direct influence on the viability and regeneration efficiency of explants. The standardization of seed sterilization and disinfection method was done at first in the present investigation by using 0.1% of mercuric chloride for 30 s and 4% v/v sodium hypochlorite for 20 minutes as the optimum concentration. The blackening of the seed coat cover occurred on exceeding the dose of mercuric chloride for longer durations such as for 60 seconds, 120 seconds and 180 seconds and the explants when cultured was observed to lose their viability resulting into no growth and division

Table 1: Composition of different MS medium used for callus induction, regeneration and root induction in wheat genotype HD 3059

Callus I	Induction medium PGRs (mg L ⁻¹)
(33mgL	⁻¹ sucrose+8mgL ⁻¹ Agar+pH 5.6±1)
CI0	(control)CI medium (without PGRs)
CI1	2.5 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA+0.5 mg L ⁻¹ ABA
CI2	3.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+0.5 mg L ⁻¹ NAA+0.5 mg L ⁻¹ ABA
CI3	4.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+0.5 mg L ⁻¹ NAA+0.5 mg L ⁻¹ ABA
CI4	4.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ ABA
CI5	4.0 mg L $^{\text{-1}}$ 2, 4-D+1.5 mg L $^{\text{-1}}$ NAA+1.5 mg L $^{\text{-1}}$ ABA
CI6	2.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
CI7	3.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
CI8	4.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
CI9	4.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2, 4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
Regene	ration Medium PGRs (mg L ⁻¹)
(30 mgI	L^{-1} sucrose+8 mgL ⁻¹ Agar+pH 5.6±1)
RM0	RM (without any PGRs)
RM1	$1.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ Kin} + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$
RM2	$1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ Kin} + 0.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$
RM3	2.0 mg L ⁻¹ Kin+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RM4	$2.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ Kin}+1.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1} \text{ NAA}$
RM7	2.0 mg L ⁻¹ BAP+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RM9	3.0 mg L ⁻¹ BAP+ 1.5 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
Rooting	g Medium (30 mg L ⁻¹ sucrose+8 mg L ⁻¹ Agar+pH 5.6±1)
RTM0	RTM 0 (without any PGRs)
RTM1	0.5 mg L ⁻¹ 2,4-D+1.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RTM2	1.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2,4-D+2.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RTM3	1.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2,4-D+2.5 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RTM4	1.0 mg L ⁻¹ 2,4-D+3.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RTM-7	$1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ IAA+ $1.5 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$ NAA
RTM-8	1.0 mg L ⁻¹ IAA+2.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA
RTM-9	1.5 mg L ⁻¹ IAA+2.0 mg L ⁻¹ NAA

(Data not shown) However, seed sterilization is the common process to sterilize the explants but only embryo sterilization is also possible, in case where explants source is embryo, as reported by Parmar *et al.*, 2012 by using 0.1% HgCl₂ and 70% ethanol for 20 seconds followed by washing with sterile distilled water. Our study also indicate that the mature embryos obtained from the imbibed seeds

 Table 2: Callus induction response in genotype HD 3059
 using different plant growth regulators at second, third and fourth week.

Treatments	Callus Induction (per cent)		
(Callus	After 14	After 21	After 28
Induction)	days	days	days
0	0.00ª	0.00ª	0.00ª
1	90.00 ^{ef}	90.00°	93.33°
2	80.00^{def}	90.00°	93.33°
3	83.33 ^{ef}	83.33°	83.33°
4	96.67 ^f	96.67°	96.67°
5	73.33 ^{cde}	80.00 ^c	83.33°
6	80.00^{def}	83.33°	83.33°
7	60.00 ^{bc}	63.33 ^b	63.33 ^b
8	63.33 ^{bcd}	63.33 ^b	63.33 ^b
9	53.33 ^b	60.00 ^b	60.00 ^b

Percentage callus induction = Calli with visible mass of tissue/ total embryos cultured x 100

 Table 3: Precocious germination response by using plant growth regulators with or without ABA

Treatments	Precocious germination percentage		
CI	After 14 days	After 21 days	After 28 days
0	100.00^{f}	100.00^{d}	100.00 ^d
1	13.33 ^{cd}	20.00 ^b	20.00ª
2	3.33 ^{ab}	3.33ª	16.67ª
3	0.00ª	10.00^{ab}	13.33ª
4	0.00^{a}	00.00^{ab}	10.00ª
5	10.00 ^{bc}	10.00^{ab}	6.67ª
6	16.67 ^{cd}	10.00^{ab}	10.00ª
7	33.33°	43.33°	43.33 ^{bc}
8	46.67 ^f	50.00°	50.00°
9	20.00^{d}	20.00 ^b	23.33 ^{ab}

 Table 4: Effect of different PGRs (auxin and cytokinin) on regeneration percentage in 30 days old culture

$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	0	· ·	·	
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	Treatment	Regeneration	Plant height	No. of shoots
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	(RM)	percentage	(cm)	
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	0	30.55ª	1.00ª	1.33ª
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1	41.66ª	5.50 ^{ab}	1.00 ^a
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	2	38.88ª	3.16 ^{ab}	1.33ª
$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 4 & 66.66^{ab} & 8.50^{b} & 2.00^{a} \\ 7 & 88.88^{b} & 10.33^{b} & 2.67^{a} \\ 9 & 38.88^{a} & 4.96^{ab} & 3.00^{a} \end{array}$	3	41.66ª	5.40 ^{ab}	1.67ª
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	4	66.66 ^{ab}	8.50 ^b	2.00ª
9 38.88^{a} 4.96^{ab} 3.00^{a}	7	88.88^{b}	10.33 ^b	2.67ª
	9	38.88ª	4.96 ^{ab}	3.00 ^a

Percentage regeneration = Numbers of compact calli with green spots /total number of calli×100.

Treatment(RTM)	No. of roots developed per plant	
0	1.33ª	
1	2.00ª	
2	2.00ª	
3	1.33ª	
4	3.33ª	
7	3.67ª	
9	1.00^{a}	

 Table 5: Effect of different PGRs (auxin) on root initiation in two weeks old culture

Data of each trait represents the average values of three replications of each respective treatment.

in a petri-plate for 16 hours were easy excised from the seeds in compare to seeds that seeds were submerged completely overnight. The sterilized mature seeds were wounded and excised by using a sterilized needle blade necessary for plant regeneration. The effect of different sterilizing agents like calcium hypochlorite, sodium hypochlorite, mercuric chloride, ethanol has been studied to determine the regeneration efficiency of the explants by Filippov *et al.* (2006), Ahmadpour *et al.*, (2016). Tissue damage for plant regeneration is called *de novo* organogenesis where shoots and roots are regenerated from the wound locations and detached organs (Bidabadi and Jain, 2020).

Callus induction

In the present study, MS medium (Murashige and Skoog, 1962) enriched with sucrose 33gL⁻¹ (Yadav, 2003) as a carbon source and 8gL⁻¹ agar used as a gelling agent with pH 5.6±1 supplemented with different concentrations of auxin, cytokinin and ABA has been used to culture the explants for initiating formation of callus induction (Bhushan et al., 2017). In the present investigation, the maximum callus induction response was achieved (96.67f) with callus induction medium 4 (CI4) after 28 days when supplemented with 4.0 mg L⁻¹ 2,4-D; 1.0 mg L⁻¹ NAA and 1.0 mg L⁻¹ABA in the medium with almost no precocious germination, while callus induction medium, CI1 and CI2 gave the second best response for callus induction when compared to control at different time intervals (Table 2, Fig. 1a-c), Many other researchers (Xhulaj, 2019), reported that the combination of BAP ($2.0 \text{ mg } \text{L}^{-1}$) and 2, 4-D (0.6 mg L^{-1}) was the best auxin/cytokinin ratio for callus induction and development. Dicamba is also an auxin that has been reported in several studies known to provide better callus induction results but not used in the present study. Therefore, it is clear that for callus induction response in wheat genotype HD 3059 required high concentration of 2,4-D (4 mgL⁻¹⁾ and optimum amount of NAA and ABA (1 mgL⁻¹). Although, sugar (33 gL⁻¹) (Table 1) also played a key role as a carbon sources used mostly

in wheat tissue culture and acts as an important factor in callus induction and development (Jabeen et al., 2016). It was reported by Kowalska and Arsenuik, 2016 that maltose as compared to sucrose gave better results in embryonic callogenesis. Sucrose when used as a carbon source in MS media is correlated with the rooting percentage and number of roots per plant (Khan et al., 2015). ABA concentration (1.0 mgL^{-1}) when supplemented with 2, 4-D and NAA in CI4 reduced the precocious germination up to 90 percent (Only 10 percent precocious germination was reported with this concentration combination) (Table 3). In the present study, we also observed that ABA which is a stress hormone played an important role to check the precocious germination. Precocious germination is a major hurdle in the wheat tissue culture and it affects the regeneration process greatly during in vitro culture of wheat.

In vitro regeneration and root initiation

A total number of six growth hormone concentration combinations were assessed for regeneration of explants including NAA, kinetin and BAP. In fact, the ratio between auxin and cytokinins plays an important role regeneration of shoots during *in vitro* culture. By the previous studies 2,4-D and NAA used for callus induction, are pervasive in nature and ABA is used to regulate precocious germination, as discussed in the previous section, while cytokinin *viz.*, BAP and kinetin with auxin is generally used for regeneration of shoots (Caraballo *et al.*, 2010). In the present study, we used kinetin and NAA in different concentration combinations for regeneration purpose. We



Figure 1: Schematic representation of the standardized protocol for, (a) callus induction in treatment CI4 with no precocious germination after (a) second, (b) third and (c) fourth week, (d) regeneration shown by RM 7 with maximum plant height (in cm) and number of shoots after 30 days and (e) rooting after two weeks of sub-culturing



Figure 2: Removal of *in vitro* grown wheat genotype HD 3059 plantlets with (a) well developed rooting system for acclimatization purpose, (b) transferred in a small plastic cup containing cocopeat and soil mixture and (c) maintaining the humidity for hardening process

observed that out of all treatments used in the present study, RM7 (2.0 mg L⁻¹ BAP with 1.0 mg L⁻¹ NAA) showed the highest regeneration percentage 88.88^b and produced the highest average number of shoots per plant viz., 3.00^a (Table 4; Fig 1d) It was also reported from the present study that more plantlets were regenerated with this concentration combination and all the plantlets were healthy when compared to the other ones. Moreover, the plantlets recovered from the RM1 medium (1.0 mgL⁻¹ kin+0.5 mg L-1 NAA) showed the least number of shoots per plant (Table 4). Hence, it is assumed that kinetin and NAA was the optimum combination to obtain the best regeneration capacity in the selected genotype during in vitro culture. Dargahlou et al., 2017 also did the similar study in wheat where they observed that 2,4-D is not desirable for regeneration, while it is good to generate somaclonal variations. The developed shoots gave successful rooting on half MS medium supplemented with concentrations of NAA and IBA (Kumar et al., 2019). The higher auxin to cytokinin ratio promotes rooting and higher cytokinin to auxin ratio promotes shooting in the plant (Kurepa et al., 2019). The similar study was proposed by Jasdeep et al., 2019 and Kumar et al., 2017. Further, Hesami et al., 2018 reported that the MS medium comprising of 0.5 mg L^{-1} 2,4-D and 0.05 mg L^{-1} BAP (10:1) was the best treatment for callus induction. Iqbal et al., 2016 reported an excellent (90 %) quality of callus induction using 4.0 mg L⁻¹ and 6.0 mg L⁻¹ of 2,4-D and higher regeneration among genotypes by using 1.5mg L⁻¹ BAP. Saha et al., 2017 found that MS media supplemented with 2.0 mg L^{-1} BAP + 1.0 mg L^{-1} IAA considered the best concentration for plant regeneration by the wheat genotype Pavon 76.

The optimized concentration of sterilization, callus induction and regeneration observed in the present study is a suitable observation toward development of a reproducible protocol for callus induction and better regeneration capacity with less precocious germination. The regenerated shoots were sub-cultured on rooting MS media comprising of different auxin concentration combinations. Good rooting was achieved in the rooting media RTM7 which was supplemented with IAA (0.5 mg L⁻¹) and NAA (1.5mg L⁻¹) i.e. 3.67^{a} (average number of roots per plants (Table 5; Fig. 1e). The treatment RTM 5, RTM6 and RTM 8 got contamination during optimum laboratory conditions (Data not shown).

Hardening and acclimatization

The rooted plantlets were hardened using 50 ml of Hoagland solution. The plants recovered from the culture media were grown in controlled conditions. The morphologically healthy plantlets with well developed roots were transferred into sterilized coco-peat: soil (1:1) mixture (Fig 2 a-c). About 70 per cent of plants survived well after acclimatization.

CONCLUSION

In the present study, it is clear that the interaction between the genotype source, concentration of carbon source and gelling agent, different growth hormones are crucial for a callus induction and regeneration in plants. An appropriate explants sterilization protocol is standardized on priority to avoid any type of contamination in further experiments. The concentration of carbon source also affects the rate of callus induction. It was observed that 30 mg L⁻¹ of sucrose was found effective in embryogenic callus induction. Among all the tested concentrations of auxin and cytokinin used in the present study, we optimised the 2, 4-D; NAA and ABA concentrations for callus induction when MS media supplemented with $2.0 \text{ mgL}^{-1} \text{BAP} + 1.0$ mgL⁻¹ NAA and maximum plant height along with maximum number of shoots per plant while, 1.5 mgL⁻¹ IAA + 1.5 mgL⁻¹ proved good for regeneration capacity

(88%). NAA has been found appropriate concentration to generate good rooting in *in vitro* regenerated plantlets in the present study. The standardized concentration may help to decide the further concentrations to study the somatic embryogenesis using mature embryos of wheat plant as an explants source. Further, the present protocol would help in developing transgenic and CRISPR-Cas9 based approach to develop improved genotypes with desired traits.

REFERENCES

- Adero, M. O., Syombua, E. D., Asande, L. K., Amugune, N. O., Mulanda, E. S., and Macharia, G. (2019). Somatic embryogenesis and regeneration of Kenyan wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes from mature embryo explants. *African J. Biotech*, 18(27): 689-694.
- Ahmadpour, R., Zare, N., Asghari-Zakarta, R., and Sheikhzadeh, P. (2016). Efficient *in vitro* somatic embryogenesis and plant regeneration from mature and immature embryos of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Brazilian Archives Biol. Tech.*, 59: 1-12.
- Anonymous (2020). India's 2019-20 food grain production to hit a high record of 291.9 million tonnes. *The Economic Times*.
- Bhushan, S., Kumar, R., Kumar, H., and Shamim, M. Z. (2017). Culture media induced *in vitro* regeneration responses for mature embryo of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) culture. *The Bioscan*, 12 (3):1379-1384.
- Bidabadi, S. S., and Jain, S. M. (2020). Cellular, molecular and physiological aspects of *in vitro* plant regeneration. *Plants*, 9(6):1-20.
- Caraballo, M. G., Oramas, G. G., García, S. A., Cruz, E. A., Bravo, K. Q., Caligari, P. D., and García-Gonzsález, R. (2010). Management of Auxin-Cytokinin inter-actions to improve micropropagation protocol of Henequen (Agave fourcroydes Lem.). Chi-lean J. Agri. Res., 70(4): 545-551.
- Dargahlou, S. A., Uliaie, E. D., and Bandehagh, A. (2017). Callus induction and plant regeneration from mature embryos of some Iranian wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) genotypes. *J. Bio. Env. Sci.*, 10(5): 275-283.
- Filippov, M., Miroshnichenko, D., Vernikovskaya, D., and Dolgov, S. (2006). The effect of auxins, time exposure to auxin and genotypes on somatic embryogenesis from mature embryos of wheat. *Plant Cell, Tissue Organ Cult.*, 84(2):213-222.

- Grout, B. W., and Aston, M. J. (1978). Transplanting of cauliflower plants regenerated from meristem culture. II. Carbon dioxide fixation and the development of photosynthetic ability. *Hort. Res.*, 7(2):65-71.
- Hesami, M., Daneshvar, M. H., Yoosefzadeh-Najafabadi, M., and Alizadeh, M. (2018). Effect of plant growth regulators on indirect shoot organogenesis of Ficus religiosa through seedling derived petiole segments. J. Genet. Eng. Biotech., 16(1):175-180.
- Iqbal, M., Raja, N.I., Asif, S., Ilyas, N., Hussain, M., Yasmeen, F., Ejaz, M., Sultan, MA., Sumaira, A and Javed, H. (2016). *In vitro* study of callogenesis and regeneration potential of elite wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) cultivars. *American J. Plant Sci.*, 7: 2515-2526.
- Jabeen, S., Khaliq, I., Togo, J., Sajjad, M., and Malook, S. (2016). Tissue culture technology is a breeding approach in wheat: An overview. *Molecular Plant Breeding*, 7(13):1-18.
- Jasdeep, P., Avijit, T., Varsha, S., Harinder, V., and Sanjay, S. (2019). Cultivar specific response of callus induction and plant regeneration from mature embryos in different elite Indian wheat. *Research J. Biotech.*, 14(2): 1-8.
- Khan, U. W., Ahmed, R., Shahzadi, I., and Shah, M. M. (2015). Some important factors influencing tissue culture response in wheat. Sarhad J. Agric., 31(4):199-209.
- Krishnaveni, R., Biradar, D.P., Katageri, I.S and Shubha, S. (2019). Optimization of an efficient rapid regeneration of Indian wheat cultivars by callus induction and multiple shoot induction using mature embryos. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(12): 1685-1692.
- Kowalska, L., and Arseniuk, E. (2016). The effect of medium composition on callus induction and plant regeneration frequencies from mature embryos of wheat cultivars with various resistances to *Parastagonospora nodorum. Indian Res. Genet. Biotech.*, 8(3): 183-189.
- Kumar, A., Sharma, S., Rai, N.L., Tomar, A., Kumar, P., Sengar, R.S., Singh, S.K., Kumar, M. and Yadav, M. K. (2019). Callus induction and efficient plant regeneration in wheat (*T. aestivum* L.) through mature embryo culture. *Int. J. Chem. Studies*, 7(4): 1445-1450.
- Kumar, R., Mamrutha, H. M., Kaur, A., Venkatesh, K., Grewal, A., Kumar, R., and Tiwari, V. (2017). Development of an efficient and reproducible

regeneration system in wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *Physiol. Mol. Biol. Plants*, 23(4): 945-954.

Kurepa, J., Shull, T. E., and Smalle, J. A. (2019). Antagonistic activity of auxin and cytokinin in shoot and root organs. *Plant direct*, 3(2): 121. Murashige, T. and F. Skoog. (1962). A revised medium for rapid growth and bioassays with tobacco

Received: September 07, 2020 Accepted: December 15, 2020