Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Tej Partap, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. A.K. Sharma, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. N.S. Jadon, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Chauhan, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadaun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community/Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A& M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 19(3)

September-December, 2021

CONTENTS

Unrevealing the role of epistasis through Triple Test Cross in Indian mustard NARENDER SINGH, USHA PANT, NEHA DAHIYA, SHARAD PANDEY, A. K. PANDEY and SAMEER CHATURVEDI	330
Testing of InfoCrop model to optimize farm resources for mustard crop under <i>tarai</i> region of Uttarakhand	335
MANISHA TAMTA, RAVI KIRAN, ANIL SHUKLA, A. S. NAIN and RAJEEV RANJAN	
<i>In vitro</i> evaluation of endophytes and consortium for their plant growth promoting activities on rice seeds DAS, J., DEVI, R.K.T. and BARUAH, J.J.	342
Effect of subsurface placement of vermicompost manure on growth and yield of wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L. Var. UP 2526) ABHISHEK KUMAR and JAYANT SINGH	348
Assessment of different nutrient management approaches for grain yield, gluten content and net income of common bread wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> l.) in Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand BHAWANA RANA and HIMANSHU VERMA	359
Suitability assessment of land resources forc assava(<i>Manihot esculentus</i> L.) and yam (<i>Dioscorea spp L.</i>) cultivation in Khana LGA, Rivers State, Southern Nigeria PETER, K.D., UMWENI, A.S. and BAKARE, A.O.	367
Biophysical and biochemical characters conferring resistance against pod borers in pigeonpea PARUL DOBHAL, R. P. MAURYA, PARUL SUYAL and S.K. VERMA	375
Population dynamics of major insect pest fauna and their natural enemies in Soybean SUDHA MATHPAL, NEETA GAUR, RASHMI JOSHI and KAMAL KISHOR	385
Fumigant toxicity of some essential oils and their combinations against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fabricius) and <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus) NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	389
Long term efficacy of some essential oils against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fabricius) and <i>Sitophilus oryzae</i> (Linnaeus) NIDHI TEWARI and S. N. TIWARI	400
Management strategies under chemicals, liquid organic amendments and plant extracts against black scurf of potato caused by <i>Rhizoctonia solani</i> Kühn in <i>tarai</i> regions of Uttarakhand SURAJ ADHIKARI, SHAILBALA SHARMA, R. P. SINGH, SUNITA T. PANDEY and VIVEK SINGH	408
Effective management strategies against ginger rhizome rot caused by <i>Fusarium solani</i> by the application of chemicals, bioagents and Herbal <i>Kunapajala</i> in mid hills of Uttarakhand SONAM BHATT, LAXMI RAWAT and T. S. BISHT	417

Distribution and morphological characterisation of isolates of <i>Fusarium moniliforme</i> fsp. <i>subglutinans</i> causing Pokkah Boeng disease of sugarcane in different sugarcane growing areas of Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand HINA KAUSAR, BHAGYASHREE BHATT and GEETA SHARMA	429
Biointensive management of <i>Meloidogyne enterolobii</i> in tomato under glasshouse conditions SHUBHAM KUMAR, ROOPALI SHARMA, SATYA KUMAR and BHUPESH CHANDRA KABDWAL	435
Effect of pre-harvest application of eco-friendly chemicals and fruit bagging on yield and fruit quality of mango KIRAN KOTHIYAL, A. K. SINGH, K. P. SINGH and PRATIBHA	447
A valid and reliable nutrition knowledge questionnaire: an aid to assess the nutrition friendliness of schools of Dehradun, Uttarakhand EKTA BELWAL, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, SARITA SRIVASTAVA, C.S. CHOPRA and ANIL KUMAR SHUKLA	452
Potential of common leaves of India as a source of Leaf Protein Concentrate RUSHDA ANAM MALIK, SHAYANI BOSE, ANURADHA DUTTA, DEEPA JOSHI, NIVEDITA, N.C. SHAHI, RAMAN MANOHARLALand G.V.S. SAIPRASAD	460
Job strain and muscle fatigue in small scale unorganized agri enterprises DEEPA VINAY, SEEMA KWATRA, SUNEETA SHARMA and KANCHAN SHILLA	466
Drudgery reduction of farm women involved in weeding of soybean crop SHALINI CHAKRABORTY	475
Childhood obesity and its association with hypertension among school-going children of Dehradun, Uttarakhand EKTA BELWAL, K. UMA DEVI and APARNA KUNA	482
Spring water and it's quality assessment for drinking purpose: A review SURABHI CHAND, H.J. PRASAD and JYOTHI PRASAD	489
Spatial distribution of water quality for Indo-Gangetic alluvial plain using Q-GIS SONALI KUMARA, VINOD KUMAR and ARVIND SINGH TOMAR	497
Application of geospatial techniques in morphometric analysis of sub-watersheds of Nanak Sagar Catchment AISHWARYA AWARI, DHEERAJ KUMAR, PANKAJ KUMAR, R. P. SINGH and YOGENDRA KUMAR	505
Evaluation of selected carbon sources in biofloc production and carps growth performance HAZIQ QAYOOM LONE, ASHUTOSH MISHRA, HEMA TEWARI, R.N. RAM and N.N. PANDEY	516
Calcium phosphate nanoparticles: a potential vaccine adjuvant YASHPAL SINGH and MUMTESH KUMAR SAXENA	523
Factors affecting some economic traits in Sahiwal Cattle DEVESH SINGH, C. B. SINGH, SHIVE KUMAR, B.N. SHAHI, BALVIR SINGH KHADDA, S. B. BHARDWAJ and SHIWANSHU TIWARI	528
The effect of probiotics and growth stimulants on growth performance of Murrah Buffalo SAMEER PANDEY, RAJ KUMAR, D.S. SAHU, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, PAWAN KUMAR, ATUL SHARMAand KARTIK TOMAR	532

Assessment of different nutrient management approaches for grain yield, gluten content and net income of common bread wheat (*Triticum aestivum* l.) in Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand

BHAWANA RANA and HIMANSHU VERMA

Department of Agronomy, School of Agricultural Sciences, Shri Guru Ram Rai University, (SAS-SGRRU), Dehradun, Uttarakhand

ABSTRACT: The field experiment was carried out during *Rabi* season of 2020-2021 at experimental block of School of Agricultural Sciences of the Shri Guru Ram Rai University (SAS-SGRRU), Pathribagh, Dehradun, Uttarakhand to study the effect of various nutrient management approaches on growth, yield, quality and net profit of the wheat crop. The experiment was laid out in Randomized Complete Block Design (RCBD) with eight treatments *viz.*, Control (T₁), 100 % RDF (T₂), 0 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ (T₃), 0 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB (T₄), 50 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ (T₅), 50 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB (T₆), 75 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ (T₇) and 75 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB (T₈) which were replicated three times. The soil of the experimental field was sandy loam with low available nitrogen & organic carbon, medium available phosphorous and available potassium. Application of 50 % RDF + FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ recorded 74.3 % more wheat grain yield; 34.2 and 79.7 % more wet and dry gluten per cent respectively, compared to 100 % RDF, which is significantly higher than other nutrient management approaches. Substitution of 50 % inorganic fertilizers with FYM can be adopted for economical viable and sustainable wheat production with improved yield and quality in Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand.

Key words: FYM, gluten content, nutrient management, PSB, Western Himalayan zone, wheat

Wheat has been described as "Staff of life and king of cereals" and is one of most important staple food crop which has its own importance as a human food due to presence of rich carbohydrates and protein content. Wheat is grown in at least 43 nations throughout the world with China, India, Thailand, Indonesia and the United States of America being the top producers. India ranks second among wheat producing country in the world meeting 61 % of the protein requirement (Majumdar *et al.*, 2013). In India, the wheat is grown over an area of 31.4 million hectares (m ha) which is about 24.65 % of the total food grains with a production of 107.9 million tonnes (m t) and productivity of 3.44 t ha⁻¹ (Indiastat, 2021).

Continuous use of synthetic fertilizers create issues *viz.*, nutrient deficiency in plants, heavy metal accumulation, which further leads to the food contamination besides deterioration of soil health, reduced productivity and sustainability. The deteriorating soil health, declining soil organic matter and increase of micronutrient deficiencies has put a big question mark on the sustainability of wheat production (Verma, 2021; Verma *et al.*, 2021b). For

this, use of indigenous sources like farm yard manure (FYM) should be encouraged as it supplies plant nutrient, improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil and thereby increase the fertility and productivity of the soil while maintaining the ecological balance. To address these, inclusion of inexpensive organic fertilizers either sole or with other organic resources in combination with inorganic fertilizers enhance the soil health, soil fertility and bring about sustainable crop yields without any negative impact on the environment (Verma, 2019a).

The use of organic and inorganic fertilizers offers many advantages, including minimizing the dependency on inorganic sources, reducing potential environmental risks, and utilizing favorable impacts on soil characteristics to improve the efficiency of applied inorganic sources. This is seen as a key practice in the eco-friendly system to ensure sustainable and safe production. Yield attributing parameters like dry matter accumulation, no. of effective tillers, grains spike⁻¹ and the test weight were shown to be influenced by the integrated use of inorganic and organic fertilizer (Mary *et al.*, 2018). Therefore, an integrated approach to plant nutrient management gained momentum and importance in recent years. The objective of this approach is efficient, judicious and economic use of all major sources of plant nutrients in an integrated manner so as to maximize/ optimize yield of a crop or a cropping system without any adverse effect on the agro- ecosystem.

Quality for bread wheat is mainly determined based on gluten proteins, the concentration and composition of which is found to affect the quality of baked products (Johansson, 2001). Unfortunately, organic farmers find it difficult to meet the quality standards for wheat (especially protein and gluten content), although they are paid a much higher price for organically produced grain. The supplementary and complementary use of organic nutrients and inorganic chemical fertilizers maintain a high level of quality of wheat grains in terms of gluten content. An integrated use of organic manure with inorganic fertilizer resulted in build-up of available nutrients in soil much more effectively than that of chemical fertilizer alone as reported by Bhatt et al. (2017). Therefore; the primary purpose of this field experiment was to find out the economical viable and sustainable nutrient management practices for achieving higher yield of wheat crop with appreciable chapatti making quality parameters under assured irrigated conditions of Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand, India.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The field experiment was conducted during *Rabi* season of 2020-2021 in the Experiment block of the School of Agricultural Sciences of the Shri Guru Ram Rai University (SAS-SGRRU), Pathribagh Dehradun, Uttarakhand which is located in the north western region of Uttarakhand at an altitude of 450m above mean sea level (MSL) and in between 29^o 58' and 31° 2' 30 North latitude and 77° 34'45' and 78° 18'30'' east longitudes. During summer of the experimental year, maximum & minimum temperature was ranged between 36° C and 16° C while winter temperature varied between 23.4° Cand

5.2°C. The average annual precipitation was of 1440 mm. The month withthe lowest relative humidity was April (39.28%) while lowest number of rainy days was being November (1.17 days). Lowest number of average daily sunshine hours was confined in the month of January with 8.86 hours per day making total of 274.81 hours.

The soil of experimental site was sandy loam in texture, low in available nitrogen (225.3 kg ha⁻¹) and organic carbon (0.42 %), medium in available phosphorous (16.1 kg ha⁻¹), available potassium $(236.3 \text{ kg ha}^{-1})$ with slightly neutral pH of 7.26. The experiment was laid out in Randomized complete block design (RCBD) with three replications and eight treatments. The allocated treatmentswereT₁-Control, T₂-100 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹, T₂-0 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹+ FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹, T₄ - 0 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: $P_2O_5:K_2O$ kg ha⁻¹+ FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T_5 - 50 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹+ FYM @ 3 t ha⁻¹, T₆- 50 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: P₂O₅:K₂O kg ha⁻¹⁺ FYM (a) 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB, T₇- 75 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: P_2O_3 : K_2O kg ha⁻¹+ FYM (a) 3 t ha⁻¹ and T_{o} - 75 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: $P_{o}O_{c}$:K₀O kg ha⁻¹+ FYM (a) 3 t ha⁻¹ + PSB. At optimum moisture condition, the land of the experimental site was ploughed thoroughly cross wise for two times with tractor drawn harrow and final land preparation was done with mould board plough followed by proper leveling with the help of tractor drawn leveler. The wheat variety PBW- 75 was sown during first fortnight of November 2020 by line sowing method in the open furrows at a row-to-row distance of 22.5 cm by throwing the seeds in continuous fashion maintaining 5 cm plant to plant distance with the seed rate of 100 kg ha⁻¹. Fertilizers and farm yard manure were applied as per the treatments given in different blocks of the design at the time of field preparation by incorporating and mixing it in the soil. Half of the total nitrogen and full P₂O₅ and K₂O were applied as basal and the remaining half nitrogen was top dressed in two splits at 21 DAS and 50 DAS. Inoculants of phosphorus solubilizing bacteria (PSB) (a) 100 g per kg of the well rotten FYM were applied at the time of ploughing. First irrigation was given at crown root initiation (CRI) stage usually 21-25

days after sowing. The crop was harvested when the grain became hard with a moisture content of 19-20 %. Harvesting was done manually by the sickle and harvested produce was allowed to sundry for 3-4 days and threshed to separate grain and straw.

The observation of growth and yield attributes were taken on the basis of randomly selected 5 plants from each plot. Plants from the randomly selected places using a one- meter row length in each plot was cut close to the ground to record dry weight at 30, 60, 90 DAS and at harvest. Samples were first dried in sun and then oven dried at $65\pm 2^{\circ}$ C till constant weight was achieved. After drying, the samples were weighed for recording dry weight. CGR, RGR and NAR were analyzed by using following formula:

$$CGR = \frac{W_2 - W_1}{t_2 - t_1} RGR = \frac{\log_e W_2 - \log_e W}{t_2 - t_1}$$

Where,

 $W_1 = dry$ weight per unit area at t_1 , $W_2 = dry$ weight per unit area at t₂

 t_1 = first sampling, t_2 = second sampling

NAR =
$$\frac{(W_2 - W_1) (Log_e LA_2 - Log_e LA_1)}{(t_2 - t_1) (LA_2 - LA_1)}$$

Where,

 $W_1 = dry$ weight per unit area at $t_1 W_2 = dry$ weight per unit area at t,

 $LA_1 = \text{leaf area at } t_1, LA_2 = \text{leaf area at } t_2$ t_1 = first sampling, t_2 = second sampling

For estimation of gluten content, 25 g of wheat flour of the harvested grains from each treatment was taken in a plastic bowl followed by adding 15 ml of water so as to make dough and then immersing it in water for one hour. Starch was washed out by kneading gently over a fine sieve. Washed water was squeezed into clean water, andthen cohesive mass was obtained so called wet gluten. After pressing the content to make it dry, it was placed in petri dish containing small piece of aluminum foil, the wet gluten obtained was dried in a hot air oven at 100p C for 24 hrs (Imran et al., 2013). The dried gluten obtained during this process is called as dry gluten. Following formulas were used to calculate the wet and dry per cent gluten as describe under:

Wet gluten (%) =
$$\frac{W_2 - W_1}{25} \times (100 - \text{ A})$$

Dry gluten (%) =
$$\frac{W_3 - W_1}{25} \times (100 - B)$$

Where,

Weight of flour taken = 25 g Weight of empty petri dish wash $=W_1$ Weight of petri dish+wet gluten (before drying)=W₂ Weight of petri dish+dry gluten (After drying) = W_3 Wet gluten content = A; Dry gluten content = B

Net return was calculated by subtracting respective values of cost of cultivation from gross return.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Crop growth rate (CGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) of wheat crop was significantly influenced by various nutrient management approaches being maximum in T_5 and lowest found from the T_2 . Various nutrient management sources had significantly influenced growth of the wheat crop during all the stages (Table 1). The maximum net assimilation rate at 30 DAS was recorded from T₃ which was at par with T_{τ} while lowest value from treatment T_2 among the fertilizer treatment. At 60 DAS, the maximum value of NAR was observed in treatment T_6 which was at par with T_5 and the lowest value from the treatment T₂. Laghari et al. (2010) also observed the similar findings and conceptualized that nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium had an important role in various physiological and metabolical processes which increase the net assimilation rate of wheat. However, application of organic manure to the soils is well known to increase microbial populations and their activities for nutrient cycling and production of plant growth influencing materials resulting in enhanced growth of the crop (Arancon et al., 2006; Verma, 2019c; Verma, 2019d; Verma, 2019e; Verma, 2019f) Yield attributing characteristics of the wheat crop viz. spike length, spikelet per spike, number of grains per spike and grain yield were also influenced significantly by various nutrient management approaches (Table 2). The highest values of these parameters were recorded from treatment T₅ which was on a par with T₆ while the lowest was obtained in the control treatment where no nutrient sources were applied (T_1) . Plots treated with 100 % RDF

Treatments	CGR (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹)			RG	GR (mg g ⁻¹ da	ny-1)	NAR (g m ⁻² day ⁻¹)			
	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	30 DAS	60 DAS	90 DAS	
T ₁	0.235	0.306	0.798	0.037	0.041	0.056	0.442	0.570	1.373	
T ₂	0.256	0.276	0.611	0.039	0.046	0.061	0.440	0.568	1.077	
T ₂	0.312	0.329	0.691	0.043	0.049	0.066	0.540	0.676	1.185	
T ₄	0.313	0.341	0.758	0.047	0.055	0.071	0.517	0.711	1.249	
T ₅	0.320	0.343	0.859	0.059	0.061	0.075	0.465	0.734	1.156	
T ₆	0.316	0.360	0.804	0.044	0.051	0.063	0.492	0.753	1.246	
T ₇	0.317	0.336	0.850	0.046	0.042	0.066	0.518	0.634	1.345	
T ₈	0.318	0.366	0.835	0.038	0.045	0.067	0.490	0.684	1.217	
SĚm±	0.010	0.014	0.036	0.001	0.001	0.001	0.019	0.039	0.057	
CD at 5 %	0.029	0.044	0.111	0.003	0.004	0.006	0.058	0.119	0.174	

Table 1: Crop growth rate, relative growth rate and net assimilation rate of wheat at different stages as influenced by different nutrient management approaches

 $\begin{array}{c} T_1 \text{-} \text{Control}, \ T_2 \text{-} 100 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ (120: 60: 40) \ \text{N:} \ P_2 O_5 \text{:} K_2 O \ \text{kg} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, \ T_3 \text{-} 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, \ T_4 \text{-} 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{ha$

Table 2: Yield attributes, yield, gluten content and net return of wheat as influenced by different nutrient management approaches

Treatments	Spike length (cm)	No. of Spikelets per spike	No. of grains per spike	Grain Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Straw Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Biological Yield (t ha ⁻¹)	Harvest Index (%)	Wet gluten (%)	Dry gluten (%)	Net Return (Rs. ha ⁻¹)
T ₁	7.91	63.00	27.90	1.83	2.63	4.53	41.1	25.8	6.1	23,766
T,	8.25	69.24	28.69	3.04	3.32	6.01	43.3	27.8	7.9	38,663
T,	8.63	75.23	31.45	4.09	4.09	7.71	46.3	32.1	11.2	53,833
T ₄	9.40	66.31	32.20	4.29	4.32	8.12	46.0	35.1	12.2	56,343
T_5^4	10.26	87.23	36.57	5.30	4.89	9.20	46.6	37.3	14.2	73,515
T ₆	9.77	82.59	35.21	5.07	4.81	9.06	46.2	36.0	12.7	67,291
T ₂	8.85	79.61	34.20	4.15	3.60	6.47	44.1	34.1	12.1	48,189
T _°	8.39	79.60	29.76	4.05	3.35	6.07	43.7	33.0	9.1	44,633
SĚm±	0.46	3.99	1.92	0.05	0.01	0.15	0.73	0.4	0.3	939.2
CD at 5 %	1.36	12.08	5.69	0.144	0.30	0.48	2.28	1.1	0.8	2,876.4

 $\begin{array}{l} T_1 \text{- Control}, T_2 \text{- } 100 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ (120: 60: 40) \ \text{N: } P_2 \text{O}_5: \text{K}_2 \text{O} \ \text{kg} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_3 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_4 \text{- } 0 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1}, T_6 \text{- } 50 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ + \ \text{PSB}, T_7 \text{- } 75 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{and} \ T_8 \text{- } 75 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{rad} \ T_8 \text{- } 75 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{rad} \ T_8 \text{- } 75 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{rad} \ T_8 \text{- } 75 \ \% \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{ha}^{-1} \ \text{rad} \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{rad} \ \text{rad} \ \text{rad} \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{rad} \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{t} \ \text{rad} \ \text{rad} \ \text{rad} \ \text{RDF} \ + \ \text{FYM} \ @ \ 3 \ \text{rad} \ \text{RDF} \ \text{rad} \ \text{ra$

alone (T_2) showed significantly lesser values of yield attributing characteristics as compared to plots treated with 50 % RDF along with FYM (T_5). Abebe and Abede (2016) also reported that fertilizer application showed increment in length of spike as compare to control treatment. Similar trends were also observed by Siavoshi *et al.* (2011) who reported that application of inorganic fertilizers along with manure increase the panicle length (Arif *et al.*, 2017 and Narkhede *et al.*,2015). In a study it is well documented that numbers of grains per spike is generally increased if farm yard manure or other organic fertilizers are added with NPK (Arif *et al.*, 2017). Kumar *et al.*(2017) also observed similar findings and reported that the maximum no. of grains increased by integrating FYM with NPK.

The maximum grain yield of 5.30 t ha⁻¹ was recorded from the treatment T₅ which was followed by T₆ (4.26 t ha⁻¹) and the lowest grain yield was recorded from the treatment T₂ (2.60 t ha⁻¹) and the lowest value of grain yield followed by T₂ (2.60 t ha⁻¹) among the fertilizer treatments. The maximum grain yield in T₅ and T₆ is due the 50% RDF of N, P₂O₅ and K₂O application along with incorporation of FYM. Ayoub (1994) also reported the similar findings that grain yield may be increased with application of nitrogen fertilizer or the application of organic matter along with chemical fertilizers (Bandyopadhyay *et al.* 2010; Bharati *et al.* 2017; Khan *et al.*, 2007; Mahapatra *et al.*, 2017; Siavoshi *et al.*, 2011; Verma, 2019b; Verma *et al.*, 2018a; Verma *et al.*, 2018b; Verma *et al.*, 2018c; Verma *et al.*, 2019a; Verma *et al.*, 2019b and Verma *et al.*, 2021a).

The maximum straw yield of 4.89 t ha⁻¹ was recorded from the treatment T_5 which was at par with T_6 (4.81t ha⁻¹) might be due to the effect of FYM and PSB in combination with inorganic fertilizer which led in higher straw yield of the crop. These findings were in close conformity with the findings of Khanna *et al.* (2019); Roy *et al.* (2017); Dubey *et al.* (2017). For improvement in growth and yield attributes of wheat, the combination of FYM and other nutrient sources seems to be better than FYM alone (Davari *et al.*, 2012). Addition of FYM and N fertilizer increase the biological yield, harvest index of the crop (Singh *et al.*, 2018; Arif *et al.*, 2016)

The wet gluten content of wheat was found maximum from the treatment T_5 , lower value was observed from the treatment T_2 followed by control (Table 2). A maximum dry gluten content of wheat was observed from T_5 and lower value found from the T_2 . Singh *et al.* (2002) observed that the protein content of wheat grain increase with the application of FYM over absolute control. Blecharczyk and Malecka (2004) also reported that the application of FYM along with NPK on the protein and gluten content of grain. However, Dwivedi *et al.* (2002) foundthat the protein content may be increased by zinc and sulphur which might have been supplied by farm yard manure.

Highest net returns of Rs. 73,515 was recorded in T_5 where 50 % of recommended dose of fertilizers along with 3 tone per hectare of farm yard manure (T_5) were applied followed by T_6 (Rs. 67,291) where PSB was added with 50 % RDF + FYM (T_6). Saving of Rs. 30,230were found in T_5 over T_1 control.

CONCLUSION

On the basis of one season study, it can be concluded that the growth, yield, quality and net benefit of the wheat crop was influenced greatly by various nutrient management approaches. Application of FYM (a) 3 t ha⁻¹ along with 50 % RDF (120: 60: 40) N: $P_2O_5:K_2O$ kg ha⁻¹may be suggested for enhancing grain yield and net returns with appreciable amount of gluten under irrigated conditions of Western Himalayan region of Uttarakhand.

REFERENCES

- Abebe, B. and Abebe, A. (2016). Effect of the time of N- Fertilizer Application on Growth and Yield of Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) at Gamo- Gofa Zone, Southern Ethiopia. *Canadian Journal of Agriculture and Crops*, 1(2): 60-69
- Arancon, N. Q., Edwards, C. A. and Bierman, P (2006). Influences of vermicomposts on filed strawberries: Part 2. Effects on soil microbiological and chemical properties. *Bio resource Technology*, 97: 831-840
- Arif, M., Ali, K., Jan, M. T., Shah, Z., Jones, D. L. and Quillliams, R. S. (2016). Integration of biochar with animal manure and nitrogen for improving maize yields and soil properties in calcareous semi-arid agroecosystems. *Field Crops Research*, 195: 28-35
- Arif, M., Tasneem, M., Bashir, F., Yaseen, G. and Anwar, A. (2017). Evaluation of different levels of potassium and zinc fertilizer on the growth and yield of wheat, *International Journal of Biosen Bioelectron*, 3(2): 57
- Ayoub, M., Guertin, S., Lussier, S., Smith, D. l. (1994). Timing and level of nitrogen fertility effects on spring wheat yield in eastern Canada. *Crop Science*, 34(3): 748-756
- Bandyopadhyay, K. K., Mishra, A. K., Gosh, P. K. and Hati, K. M. (2010). Effect of integrated use of farmyard manure and chemical fertilizers on soil physical properties and productivity of soyabean. *Soil Tillage Research* 110: 115- 125
- Bharati, A., Baruah, K., Bhattacharya, P., Gorh, D. (2017). Integrated Nutrient Management in wheat grown in northeast India soil: Impacts on soil organic carbon fractions in relation to grain yield. *Soil Tillage Research*, 168: 81-91
- Bhatt, B., Chandra R., Ram S. and Pareek, N. (2016).

Long-term effects of fertilization and manuring on productivity under rice-wheat sequence in Mollisols. *Archives of Agronomy and Soil Sciences*, 62: 1109-1122

- Bhatt, M. K., Labanya, R., Joshi, H. C., Pareek, N., Chandra, R., and Raverkar, K. P. (2017).
 Long-term effects of inorganic fertilizers and FYM on soil chemical properties and yield of wheat under rice-wheat cropping system.*ENVIS Bulletin Himalayan Ecology*, 25
- Blecharczyk, A. and Malecka, I. (2004). Effect of long-term fertilization on grain quality of winter wheat grown. *Works Scope of Agricultural Sciences*, 97: 25-31
- Davari, M.R., Sharma, S. N. and Mirzakhani, M. (2012). Effect of combination of organic materials and biofertilizers on productivity, grain quality, nutrient uptake and economics in organic farming of wheat. *Journal of Organic Systems*, 7(2): 26-35
- Dubey, D., Shukla, R.D. and Pathak, S.V.R. (2017). Yield and quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) influenced by NPK levels, Sulphur levels and FYM. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 5(6): 806-808
- Dwivedi, S. K., Singh R. S. and Dwivedi, K. N. (2002). Effect of sulphur and zinc nutrition on yield and quality of maize in *Typic Ustochrept soil of Kanpur. Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 50: 70- 74
- Imran, S., Hussain, Z., Ghafoor,F., Ahmad Nagra, S., AshbealZiai, N. (2013).Comparative efficiency of different methods of gluten extraction in indigenous varieties of wheat. *Alan Latin American Nutrition Archives*, 63 (2): 180-187.
- Indiastat. (2021). State-wise area, production, and productivity of wheat in India (2019-20).https://www.indiastat.com/data/ agriculture/wheat-17195/data-year/2020
- Johansson, E., Prieto-Linde, M. L. and Jonsspn, J. O. (2001). Effects of Wheat Cultivar and Nitrogen Application on Storage Protein Composition and Bread making Quality. *Cereal Chemistry*, 78:19-25
- Khan, M.U., Qasim, M. and Khan, I. U. (2007).

Effect of integrated nutrient management on crop yields in rice - wheat cropping system. *Sarhad Journal of Agriculture*,23(4): 1019-1025

- Khanna, R., Pawar, J., Gupta, S., Verma, H., Trivedi,
 H., Kumar, P and Kumar, R. (2019).
 Efficiency of biofertilizers in increasing the production potential of cereals and pulses:
 a review. *Journal of Pharmacognosy and Phytochemistry*,8(2): 183-188
- Kumar, D., Prakash, V., Singh, P., Kumar, S., Kumar, A. and Kumar, C. (2017). Effects of nutrient management modules on growth yield attributes and yields of wheat. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6(12): 366-369
- Laghari, G. M., Oad, F. C., Tunio, S. S., Gandhi, A., Siddiqui, M. H., Jagirani, A. W. and Oad, S. M. (2010). Growth, yield and nutrient uptake of various wheat cultivars under different fertilizer regimes *Sarhad Journal Agriculture*, 26(4): 489
- Mahapatra, B. S., Negi, M. S., Shukla, A., Chaudhary, S and Verma, H. (2017). Long term assessment of mustard seed yield through integrated application of nutrients under maize-mustard-green gram cropping system" *International seminar on oilseed brassica* February 23-27, 2017 at SIAM, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India, 78p.
- Majumdar, K., Jat, M.L., Pampolino, M., Satyanarayana, T., Dutta, S. and Kumar, A. (2013). Nutrient management in wheat: current scenario, improved strategies and future research needs in India. *Journal of Wheat Research*, 4(1):1-10.
- Mary, J. M. J., Ravinder, J., Rakesh, S. and Somashekar, G. (2018). A review article on INM in wheat crop. *International Journal* of Chemical Studies, 6(4): 697-709
- Narkhede, W.N., Khazi, G.S. and Nayak, S.K. (2015). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth and yield of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.). *International Journal of Tropical Agriculture*, 33(2): 443-446
- Roy, M. De., Sarkar, G. K., Das, I., Karnarkar, R.

and Saha T. (2017). Integrated use of Inorganic biological and organic manure on rice productivity, nitrogen uptake and soil health in Genetic alluvial soils of West Bengal, *Journal of the Indian Society of Soil Science*, 65(1): 72-79

- Siavoshi, M., Laware, S. and Laware, S. L. (2011). Effect of organic fertilizer on growth and yield components in rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 3(3): 217-224
- Singh, R., Agarwal S. K. and Jat, M. L. (2002). Quality of wheat (*Triticum aestivum*) and nutrient status in soil as influenced by organic and inorganic sources of nutrients. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 72:456-460
- Singh, S. P., Aditya, S. and Choudhary, M. (2018). Response of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of Indian mustard (*Brassica juncea L.*) in Chhattisgarh plains. *International Journal* of Current Microbiology and Applied Science, 7(12): 135-140
- Verma, H. (2019a). "Bio-fertilizers: Sustainable approach of nutrient management in rice" *Agrobios Newsletter: A Monthly Magazine* of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 18 (4): 6
- Verma, H. (2019b). "Nutrient Management in Kalmegh" Agrobios Newsletter: a Monthly Magazine of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 18(7): 9- 10
- Verma, H. (2019c). "Organic Cultivation of Sugarcane" Advances in Agronomy, AkiNik Publications, New Delhi. Paperback, 5: 31-43
- Verma, H. (2019d). "Organic Farming: Prospects and Constraints in Indian Scenario" AGRICULTURE & FOOD: e-Newsletter, June, 2019. 1(6): 265-268
- Verma, H. (2019e). "Organic Matter: An Astonishing Way for Improving Soil Properties" Agrobios Newsletter: A Monthly Magazine of Agriculture and Biological Sciences, 18(6): 12
- Verma, H. (2019f). "Vermicompost: an eco-friendly

approach of nutrient management in field crops" AGRICULTURE & FOOD: e-Newsletter, May 2019 1(5): 85-89

- Verma, H. (2021). Conservation agriculture practices to improve soil fertility', In: Jatav, H. S. *et al*, Sustainable Soil Fertility Management. *Nova Science Publishers, New York. (U. S. A)*. Inc., Pp: 101-128
- Verma, H., Negi, M. S. and Mahapatra, B. S. (2019a).
 "Crop growth rate (CGR) and relative growth rate (RGR) of Kalmegh [Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees] as influenced by Integrated application of organic manures and fertility levels in Tarai conditions of Uttarakhand" International conference on Global perspective in Agriculture & Applied Sciences for Food and Environmental Security on Dec 1-2, 2019 at UGC- HRDC Hall, Kumaun University, Nainital, Uttarakhand, India ,98p.
- Verma, H., Negi, M. S., Joshi, A., Belal, B., Shukla, A., Mahapatra, B. S. and Jaipaul (2018a).
 "Growth Attributes of Kalmegh [Andrographis paniculata (Burm. F.) Wall Ness] as influenced by integrated nutrient management under tarai conditions of Uttarakhand. International Journal of Chemical Studies, 6(5): 2947-2949.
- Verma, H., Negi, M. S., Mahapatra, B. S., Shukla, A., Jaipaul and Omprakash (2019b). "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on dry herbage and andrographolide yields of *Kalmegh* [Andrographis paniculata (Burm. f.) Wall. ex Nees] in Indo-Gangetic plains" 3rd International Conference on Global Initiatives in Agricultural and Applied Science for Eco Friendly Environment on June 16-18, 2019 at Tirubhuvan University, Kathmandu, Nepal, 183p.
- Verma, H., Negi, M. S., Shukla, A., Mahapatra, B. S. and Jaipaul (2018c). "Effect of Integrated Nutrient Management on growth attributes of Kalmegh [Andrographis paniculata (Burm. F.) Wall Nees]" Second International Conference on Advances in Agricultural, Biological and Applied

Sciences for Sustainable Future on Oct 20-22, 2018 at Meerut, U. P., Pp 157-158.

- Verma, H., Singh, J P., Rawat, P B. and Negi, P (2021b). Conservation Agriculture: a sustainable approach for natural resource conservation" "5th National Convention of Agrivision on Agriculture & Atmanirbhar Bharat organized by AGRIVISION *A Forum* of Agricultural Students (To Create Sustainability in Agriculture), 83p.
- Verma, H., Singh, J. P., Bankoti, P., Negi, P. and Rana, B (2021a). "Site Specific Nutrient Management (SSNM): an Astonishing Way to Sustain Productivity. *Proceedings of ICCFSF-2021, India's challenge: contemporary farming to smart farming*, April, 8-9, 2021, Pp 48- 49.

Received: October 24, 2021 Accepted: December 30, 2021