Print ISSN: 0972-8813 e-ISSN: 2582-2780 [Vol. 21(2) May-August 2023]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN: 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India **Members**

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. J.P. Jaiswal, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Home Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Malobica Das Trakroo, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India

Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

 $Prof.\ Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department\ of\ Zoology, University\ of\ Lucknow, India$

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.

Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

 $Prof.\ V.D.\ Sharma, Ph.D., Dean\ Academics, SAI\ Group\ of\ Institutions, Dehradun, India$

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A& M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 21(2) May-August, 2023

CONTENTS

Evaluation of seed quality parameters in forage oat (<i>Avena sativa</i> l.) germplasm HARSHITA NEGI, VAIBHAV BIST, AKIRTI BALLABH and BIRENDRA PRASAD	129
Mepiquat Chloride: An effective plant growth regulator to improve growth and productivity of rice in North-Western Himalayan region of India S. K. YADAV, D. K. SINGH, KIRTI SHARMA, PRATIMA ARYA, SUPRIYA TRIPATHI and YOGESH SHARMA	135
Performance of Integrated Nutrient Management for yield and Net Income of lentil (Lens culinaris Medik) KUMARI ANJALI and HIMANSHU VERMA	141
Potential and scope of Agarwood (<i>Aquilaria malaccensis</i> lamk.) cultivation in India SNEHA DOBHAL, DURGA BAHUGUNA, REETIKA BINJOLA, GARIMA BHATT, RAJ KUMAR, AYUSH JOSHI, KANICA UPADHYAY and NEELAM CHAUHAN	145
Effect of transplanting date on incidence of insect pests of rice R. DOGRA and A. K. PANDEY	154
Measuring the antixenosis responses of <i>Spodoptera litura</i> larvae to different soybean germplasms by leaf choice method ASHUTOSH and NEETA GAUR	17 0
Long term efficacy of different herbal fumigants against <i>Rhyzopertha dominica</i> (Fabricius) and <i>Tribolium castaneum</i> (Herbst) DEEPA KUMARI and S. N. TIWARI	174
Screening of different combinations of <i>Trichoderma harzanium and Pseudomonas fluorescens</i> for growth promotion activity in rice plants under glass house conditions SAPNA, BHUPESH CHANDRA KABDWAL and ROOPALI SHARMA	186
Role of Fungal Effector Proteins for Disease Expression in Plants HINA KAUSAR, GEETA SHARMA and BHAGYASHREE BHATT	191
Effect of biostimulants and biofertilizer on performance of rose cv. Rose Sherbet LOLLA RACHANA, V. K. RAO and D. C. DIMRI	203
A Review-Tomato quality as influenced by preharvest factors H.N. PRASAD, BANKEY LAL, SUNITA BHANDARI, RAKESH BHARGAVA, VIPUL PRATAP SINGH and ANSHU KAMBOJ	209
Effect of ZnO Nanoparticles on Macronutrients Content of <i>Pleurotus sajar- caju</i> (Oyster Mushroom) LEEMA and H. PUNETHA	218
Nutritional, sensory and shelf-life analysis of pearl millet-based value-added biscuits enriched with <i>jamun</i> seed powder SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	224
Quality characteristics of low salt functional chicken meat patties incorporated with Barnyard Millet DEEPSHIKHA SINGH, ANITA ARYA, P. PRABHAKARAN, P.K. SINGH, SHIVE KUMAR, N.C. HAHI and A.K. UPADHYAY	234

Effect of supplementation of tulsi (<i>Ocimum sanctum</i>) leaf powder on growth performance in commercial broiler SURAJ GAJANAN MADAVI, RAJKUMAR1, KARTIK TOMAR, SHIWANSHU TIWARI, D.S. SAHU,	239
S.P. YADAV and GULAB CHANDRA	
Combating antimicrobial resistance through gene silencing BEENU JAIN, ANUJ TEWARI, ANUPRIYA MISRA and YASHOVARDHAN MISRA	246
Effect of aluminium nano particles on humoral immune response of wistar rats SHODHAN K.V, SEEMA AGARWAL and R S CHAUHAN	256
Effect of nano zinc on body weight and behaviour of Wistar rats ABHIVYAKTI PATHAK, SEEMA AGARWAL and R.S. CHAUHAN	262
The growth potential of thermophilic Campylobacters on various culture media NAWAL KISHOR SINGH, A. K. UPADHYAY, MAANSI, AMAN KAMBOJ and AJAY KUMAR	267
Meta-analysis of rabies diagnostic tests in dogs A. K. UPADHYAY, R. S. CHAUHAN, MAANSI and N. K. SINGH	271
Growth Performance of <i>Schizothorax richardsonii</i> fingerlings with different feeding strategies TOSHIBAA, DIKSHAARYA, SUMIT KUMAR, H.C.S BISHT and N.N. PANDEY	274
Observation of fish mortality in the mudflat of Siruthalaikadu Creek, Palk Bay, Southeast Coast of India ABINAYA R, KANISHKAR A and SAJEEVAN MK	279
Physiochemical properties of pretreated tomato powder from different drying technique SHRADDHA SETHI and NEERAJ SETH	282
A Review: Energy analysis of different fodder crop production in India RAHUL KUMAR YADAV, RAVI PRATAP SINGH, ANIL KUMAR and SAURABH KUMAR SINGH	29 0
A review on current scenario of paddy straw management machineries: Viable solution for in-situ residue management	297
VISHNU JI AWASTHI, RAJ NARAYAN PATERIYA, ABHISHEK MISHRA, KETAN BHIBHISHAN PHALPHALE and ABHINAV KUMAR	
Field evaluation of Tractor-Operated Pneumatic Planter for maize crop planting AMIT KUMAR, JAYAN P R and VISHNU JI AWASTHI	305
Assessing flood inundation for breach of Jamrani Dam, Uttarakhand using HEC-RAS 2D JYOTHI PRASAD, LOVEJEET SINGH and SHIVA PRASAD H.J	314
Attitude and constraints faced by the beneficiaries of Pradhan Mantri Krishi Sinchayee Yojana in Garhwal region of Uttarakhand TRIPTI KHOLIA and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	320
Effectiveness of participatory newsletter on honey production: A study in Nainital district of Uttarakhand MALIK, AAFREEN, ANSARI, M.A. and AMARDEEP	327
Food habits of farm women and their heamoglobin level REETA DEVI YADAV, S.K. GANGWAR, CHELPURI RAMULU and ANUPAMA KUMARI	322

Evaluation of seed quality parameters in forage oat (Avena sativa 1.) germplasm

HARSHITA NEGI^{1,2}, VAIBHAV BIST,² AKIRTI BALLABH¹ and BIRENDRA PRASAD^{1*}

¹College of Agriculture, G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand), ²Graphic era hill university, Bhimtal-263136 (Uttarakhand)
*Corresponding author's email Id: prasadbsst@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: Oat (*Avena sativa* L.) is used throughout the world for human food and animal feed, and it is frequently grown as a dual-purpose crop (grain harvest after grazing or forage cutting). To examine the seed quality of an oat germplasm an experiment was conducted in seed Testing Laboratory of BSPC, GBPUAandT, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand with 57 oat germplasm (54 germplasm+3 check) in three replication using completely randomized block design (CRBD). The observation was recorded for fifteen quantitative traits. The present findings revealed that there are significant differences among all genotype under study for different seed quality parameters. seedling shoot length showed maximum value for genotype EC-2660 (16.55cm), followed by M-27(16.4cm). Similarly genotypes EC-2660 (34.73cm) also showed maximum value for seedling length followed by M-27(33.17cm). Whereas germplasm D-1394(2.85g) and EC-43555 (2.34g) showing high value for the trait seedling fresh weight. These findings revealed that EC-2660, M-27, D-1394 and EC-43555 are superior for fodder purpose as they are showing high biomass and weight. Whereas, OX-503, EC-35199, M-72, EC-2660, M-27, D-1394, EC-43555, OX-559, OX-1090 and EC-2874 were identified as superior in overall performance with respect to all quality parameters. Hence, they can be utilized in further breeding program for developing high fodder yielding varieties.

Key words: Fodder, germplasm, oat, seed quality parameter

Oat (Avena sativa L.) is an important annual crop that belongs to the Poaceae family. It is one of the most utilized winter forage crops and is widely grown by marginal farmers across the world. The crop has been adopted well because of its multipurpose nature, i.e., crop for pasture, forage, and grain which is considered to be one of the best dual-purpose cereal crops that fit well into the platter of human and cattle as well. FAO (2021) stated that oat ranked seventh in world cereal production after wheat, rice, maize, barley, sorghum, and millets but it was neglected for a long time despite its high nutritious values. Concerning most staple cereals, oat grains are rich in lipids, quality proteins, dietary fiber, phenolic as well as have high antioxidant properties (±-tocotrienol, ±- tocopherol, and avenanthramides) (Vilmane et al., 2015; Chen et al., 2017). In recent years, with the dawn of exaggerated dairy industry over the globe, the oat has fascinated the attention of breeders for its improvement due to its nutritionally enriched fodder for livestock and its grains as an animal feed with high net energy gains (Ruwali et al., 2013; Jaipal and Shekhawat, 2016). seed plays a vital role in sustainable growth of agriculture because it is basic and primary material for propagation. seed has many

qualities and on the basis of these qualities seed should be characterized or evaluated in laboratory conditions before their sowing. The ultimate aim of testing seeds for germination and vigour is to gain information with respect to planting value of seed under field condition and obtained results can be used for comparison among different germplasm. A germination test determines the percentage of seeds that are alive in any seed lot. By knowing the germination rate, farmers can adjust their planting rates to attain the desired plant population in the field.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the seed testing laboratory of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar (Udham Singh Nagar), Uttarakhand. The completely randomized design was used for evaluating 57 oat germplasm. Germination test was conducted in three replication each containing 100 seeds taken randomly from each germplasm. seeds were kept in between paper (B.P.) media. Then the samples were placed at 20°C in germinator. Only normal seedlings were counted on the 5th day and 10th day of test.

Observation was taken for days to first count (%), standard germination (%), seedling root length(cm), seedling shoot length(cm), seedling length(cm), seedling fresh weight(g) and seedling dry weight(g). After that other seed quality parameters were calculated –

seedling vigour index

The seedling vigour index was calculated by two different methods (Abdul Baki and Anderson, 1973).

(a) seedling vigour index-I=

Standard germination (%) × seedling length (cm)

(b) seedling vigour index- II =

Standard germination (%)× seedling dry weight (mg)

Speed of germination

In this test, three replications of 100- seeds were taken from each treatment and placed in between paper (B.P.) media and then kept at 20° C in germinator. After the seed start to germinate, they were examined daily at approximately the same time each day. Normal seedlings were removed from the test when they reached a predetermined size. This procedure was continued until all seed that were capable of producing a normal seedling had germinated. An index was computed for each treatment by dividing the number of normal seedlings removed each day by the corresponding day of counting.

Relative growth index (RGI)

Relative growth index was calculated according to the evaluation of Brown and Mayer (1986) as under:

RGI=
$$\frac{\text{No. of seed germinated at I count}}{\text{No. of seed germinated at Final count}} \times 100$$

Germination index (GI)

Germination index (GI) was computed as described in the association of official seed Analysts (1983) using the following formula:

$$GI = \frac{\text{No. of germinated seeds}}{\text{Days of first count } + +} \frac{\text{No. of germinated seed}}{\text{Days of final count}}$$

(MGT)

Mean germination time (MGT) was calculated by the formula given by Ellis and Roberts (1981) as under:

$$MGT = \frac{\Sigma Dn}{\Sigma n}$$

Where, n is the number of seeds which were germinated on day D, and D is the number of days counted from the start of germination.

Mean daily germination

To estimate the mean daily germination the following formula was used:

Time to 50% germination (T_{50})

The time to 50% germination (T) was calculated according to the following formula of Coolbear *et al.* (1984) modified by Farooq *et al.* (2005) as under:

$$(T_{50})=ti + \frac{\left[\frac{N}{Z}-ni\right](tj-ti)}{nj-ni}$$

Where, N is the final number of germination and n_i , n_j cumulative number of seeds germinated by adjacent counts at time t_i when $n_i < N/2 < n_i$.

Statistical analysis was done following Completely Randomized Design (CRD) for all laboratory tests. OPStat Excel version software package was used for statistical analysis and performed using a one-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) of individual characters/traits (Sheoran *et al.*, 1998) and results were considered statistically significant at p<0.01.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Analysis of variation revealed highly significant variation among the germplasm for all the parameter studied. The analysis of variation for Complete Block Design for all the seed quality parameter in different germplasm of oat is presented in Table 1.

The germination at first count for different genotypes

and check varieties varied significantly and ranged from 43.33% to 92.33%. The significantly highest value was recorded for OX-559 and the lowest value for this character was noted for EC-2818 with overall mean 72.70 (Table 2).

The ultimate count of germination varied from 53.33% to 100%. With an aggregate mean of 88.17, EC-2874 had the substantially highest value (100%) and EC-2818 had the lowest value (53.33%) for this character. The value ranged from 12.07 cm to 19.67 cm with a mean of 16.17 cm for seedling root length. OX-503 had the highest value for this character, and EC-9655 had the lowest value (12.07). The length of the seedling shoot varied from 10.60 cm to 16.55 cm, with a mean of 13.60 cm. The highest recorded value for this character was EC-2660 (16.55), while the lowest recorded value was EC-131240. The value for seedling length ranged from 25.17 cm to 34.73 cm, with a mean value of 29.77. The character's maximum value was recorded at EC-2660 (34.73 cm), and its shortest value was at OL-1325 (25.17 cm). From 0.97 g to 2.85 g, seedling fresh weight varied substantially. The highest value, which was significantly greater than all other germplasm, was recorded in D-1394 (2.85g), while the lowest value, which was recorded in OX-882 (0.97g), was noticed. The character's overall mean was 1.83g. Dry

Table 1: Analysis of variance for different seed quality parameters of oat germplasm

Character	Mean sum of square			
	Treatments	Error		
Degree of freedom	56	114		
Shoot length	6.19225**	0.44		
Root length	8.68952^{**}	1.21		
seedling length	16.46261**	1.73		
seedling fresh weight	0.426818^{**}	0.76		
seedling dry weight	0.953297**	0.29		
First count	498.5960**	28.82		
Standard germination	412.2761**	18.60		
seedling Vigour index-I	504742.1**	34245.98		
seedling vigour index-II	82.80792**	27.86		
Relative growth index	172.3043**	25.73		
Germination index	16.67071**	0.68		
Mean germination time	0.32790^{**}	0.57		
Mean daily germination	4.11296**	0.19		
Speed of germination	35.1229**	1.78		
T 50 value	0.2411339**	0.22		

^{**}Significant at P= 0.01

seedling weight ranged widely from 0.08 g to 0.30 g. While OL-125 had the lowest value (0.08g), EC-117406 had the highest value (0.30g), which was much greater than any other germplasm. The character's overall mean was 0.179 g. The vigour index I value ranged widely between 1409.53 to 3220.23. While EC-6269 (3216.23) had the highest value, which was much greater than all other germplasm, EC-2818 (1400.53) had the lowest value. The character's total mean was 2626.15. The vigour index II ranged widely in value from 7.49 to 26.89. The maximum value, which was significantly greater than all other germplasm, was found in EC-107022 (26.89), while the lowest value, which was found in OL-125 (7.49), was noted. The character's total mean was 15.78. Values for the relative growth index ranged widely, from 63.95 to 93.65. Algerion had the lowest value (63.95), whereas EC-96583 had the highest (93.65), which was much higher than all other germplasm. The character's total mean was 82.18. Values for the germination index ranged widely from 10.10 to 19.50. The maximum value, which was significantly greater than all other germplasm, was found in OX-559 (19.50), while the lowest value, which was found in EC-2818 (10.10), was recorded. The character's total mean was 16.79.

The range of the mean germination time, from 3.81 to 5.17, was quite wide. OX-481 had the highest value (5.17), which was significantly greater than all other germplasm, and M-27 had the lowest value

Table 2: Range and mean performance of seed quality parameters

Character	Range	Mean
First count (%)	43.33-92.33	72.70
Standard germination (%)	53.33-100	88.17
Root length(cm)	12.07-19.67	16.17
Shoot length(cm)	10.60-16.55	13.60
seedling length(cm)	25.17-34.73	29.77
Fresh weight(g)	0.97-2.85	1.83
Dry weight(g)	0.08-0.30	0.179
seedling Vigour index-I	1409.53-3220.23	2626.15
seedling vigour index-II	7.49-26.89	15.78
Relative growth index	63.95-93.65	82.18
Germination index	10.10-19.5	16.79
Mean germination time	3.81-5.17	4.50
T 50 value	3.3-4.8	3.9
Mean daily germination	5.30-10	8.79
Speed of germination	12-26.2	20.6

Table 3: Mean performance of oat germplasm for Standard germination (%), Vigour index-I, Vigour index-II, R.G.I, G.I. Mean germination time, Mean daily germination, Speed of germination and T50 value

S. No.	Germplasm	Standard germination (%)	Vigour index-I	Vigour index-II	Relative growth index	Germination index	Mean germination time	Mean daily germination	Speed of germination	T50 value
1	EC6269	98.33	3220.23	16.23	74.38	18.35	4.68	9.80	22.5	4.0
2	EC-9655	93.33	2381.33	14.10	88.26	17.80	4.38	9.30	22.8	3.5
3	EC-9884	97.33	2849.13	16.16	79.36	18.42	4.56	9.70	22.9	3.8
4	EC-9884 EC-16195	96.33	2860.77	18.83	79.30	17.89	4.87	9.70	20.9	4.2
5	EC-22023	90.33		21.64	77.08	16.76	4.67	9.00	20.9	4.2
			2634.03	19.32				9.10	23.4	
6 7	EC-24900 EC-35199	98.33 96.33	3066.33 3029.93	19.32	82.75 83.45	18.72 18.36	4.48 4.51	9.60	23.4	3.7 3.7
8	EC-43555	65.33	2037.80	15.36	91.03	12.73	4.26	6.50	15.8	3.8
-	EC-57651	59.33	1869.90	8.56	75.33	11.07	4.76	5.90	13.9	3.9
10	EC-57662	91.33	2796.67	12.06	84.69	17.46	4.60	9.10	20.6	3.9
11	EC-61704	95.33	3012.80	25.31	86.47	18.35	4.35	9.50	23.2	3.7
12	EC-62355	88.33	2611.70	8.48	87.50	17.11	4.15	8.80	22.4	3.5
13	EC-79813	88.33	2787.87	12.08	84.19	16.79	4.62	8.80	20.2	4.3
14	EC-87444	95.33	3061.30	23.70	88.50	18.58	4.14	9.50	24.1	3.5
15	EC-96583	96.33	3017.83	16.41	93.65	18.83	3.96	9.60	25.4	3.4
16	EC-107022	94.33	2880.37	26.89	90.35	18.44	4.29	9.40	22.9	3.7
17	EC-108451	85.33	2548.27	7.81	86.68	16.51	4.47	8.50	20.1	3.8
18	EC-108636	96.33	2844.93	8.81	95.83	18.98	3.98	9.60	25.1	3.5
19	EC-108656	89.33	2520.53	8.76	71.13	17.04	4.90	8.90	19.8	4.1
20	EC-117406	79.33	2487.53	24.03	83.76	14.97	4.35	7.90	18.7	3.9
21	EC-130643	97.33	3008.60	16.51	79.36	18.42	4.44	9.70	23.9	3.9
22	EC-131240	72.33	1838.60	11.71	76.41	13.50	4.92	7.20	15.4	4.2
23	EC-131306	92.33	2677.77	15.37	90.21	18.03	4.08	9.20	23.8	3.4
24	EC-131313	95.33	3004.67	20.07	89.40	18.44	4.12	9.50	24.5	3.6
25	EC-605833	93.33	2550.50	12.15	87.09	17.94	4.31	9.30	22.9	3.7
26	EC-155073	71.33	2339.60	15.49	84.66	13.67	4.42	7.10	17.0	3.9
27	EC-159606	94.33	2867.70	16.51	84.04	18.06	4.33	9.40	23.2	3.9
28	EC-196071	91.33	2872.97	21.76	88.00	17.63	4.22	9.10	22.8	3.9
29	EC-2660	85.33	2974.00	21.58	86.37	16.46	4.42	8.50	20.7	3.6
30	EC-4830	68.33	2102.50	8.51	72.94	12.71	4.86	6.80	15.7	3.6
31	EC-2818	53.33	1409.53	12.40	80.91	10.10	4.58	5.30	12.0	3.8
32	EC-2874	100.00	2660.00	21.10	78.00	18.89	4.53	10.00	23.9	4.2
33	EC-KENT	91.33	3001.27	21.84	75.44	17.08	4.89	9.10	19.3	4.4
34	D-1394	71.33	2033.47	13.92	81.95	13.46	4.70	7.10	15.9	3.9
35	Fulgham	99.00	2840.77	19.85	86.94	19.16	4.43	9.90	23.7	3.9
36	M-72	96.33	3049.33	18.21	70.69	17.88	4.92	9.60	20.6	4.3
37	Algerion	86.33	2490.53	23.27	63.95	15.80	5.16	8.60	17.3	4.8
38	OX-481	68.33	1978.30	10.83	66.22	12.36	5.17	6.80	13.8	4.4
39	OX-503	99.00	2563.83	24.74	76.46	18.54	4.75	9.90	21.6	4.2
40	EC-159602	97.33	3217.93	21.17	73.13	18.13	4.79	9.70	21.8	4.0
41	OX-435	97.33	2542.53	15.53	82.55	18.61	4.79	9.70	23.1	3.8
41	OX-433 OX-559	97.33	3067.07	10.90	82.33 92.98	19.50	4.43 4.24	9.70	24.2	3.8
42	OX-882	99.00	2954.57	8.08	92.98 86.96	18.40	3.95	9.90	18.4	3.8 4.1
					86.96 90.42					
44 45	OX-1275	94.33	2768.60	17.36		18.45	4.41	9.40 9.60	22.2 24.5	4.0
45	OX-1165	96.33	2573.57	14.74	87.50	18.58	4.11			3.6
46	OX-1090	99.00	2854.97	17.32	90.88	19.24	3.94	9.90	26.2	3.3
47	M-27	95.33	3162.40	8.32	89.59	18.46	3.81	9.50	21.2	3.5
48	NO 982	79.33	2188.53	8.72	78.53	14.56	4.73	8.30	17.8	4.0
49	NO1310	80.33	2393.87	17.23	81.25	15.14	4.65	8.00	18.1	4.0
50	NO-1003	88.67	2662.47	13.49	76.14	16.47	5.03	8.80	18.3	4.1
51	OL-125	93.33	2436.53	7.49	75.48	17.37	4.84	9.30	20.1	4.2
52	OL-1325	98.33	2474.80	9.34	86.73	18.89	4.19	9.80	24.7	3.8
53	UPO-276	90.33	2595.77	12.54	66.70	16.60	5.07	9.00	19.7	3.9
54	EC605838	69.33	2056.60	16.41	72.60	12.92	4.89	6.90	14.6	4.0
55	upo-94	94.33	3058.83	21.73	90.35	18.08	4.45	9.40	22.2	3.8
56	kent	74.33	1848.20	15.92	90.37	14.36	4.22	7.40	18.1	3.7
57	UPO-212	64.33	2050.23	13.00	77.12	11.94	4.61	6.40	14.8	4.1
	GM	88.17	2626.15	15.78	82.18	16.79	4.50	8.79	20.6	3.9
	SEM(±)	2.49	106.84	1.00	2.93	0.48	0.14	0.25	0.8	0.9
	CD(0.05)	6.98	299.33	2.79	8.20	1.34	0.39	0.70	2.2	0.2
	CD(0.01)	9.23	395.85	3.69	10.85	1.77	0.51	0.93	2.8	0.3

(3.81). The character's total mean was 4.50. Between 3.3 and 4.8, T50 values showed a significant range. Algerion had the highest value (4.8), which was noticeably greater than all other germplasm, while OX-1090 had the lowest value (3.3). The character's total mean was 3.9. Between 5.30 and 10, there were considerable variations in the mean daily germination levels. While EC-2818 (5.30) had the lowest value, EC-2874 (10), which had the maximum value, had significantly higher values than any other germplasm. The character's total mean was 8.79. The range for the speed of germination was a wide 12 to 26.2. While EC-2818 had the lowest value, the highest value was found in OX-1090 (26.2), which was much greater than all other germplasm. The character's total mean was 20.6.

The present findings revealed that there are significant differences among all genotype under study for different seed quality parameters. Germination at first count and Standard germination (%) showed maximum variation for different oat germplasm under study. This differential behavior of different germplasm seed indicated that the germination at first count of seed and standard germination (%) was affected by diversity in genetic constitution of different line. Kanta et al. (2002) results for first count (%) and standard germination are in agreement to the results under study. seedling shoot length showed maximum value for genotype EC-2660 (16.55cm), followed by M-27(16.4cm) indicate that these genotypes will be good for fodder purpose if they will grow in field. Differential biomembrane metabolism of different genotypes leading to germinate differently and trend in variation observed. Simmilar findings have also been given by Arivazhagen and Kadarmohideen (2006) in French bean, Patil et al. (1999) while working on finger millet, Willenborg et al. (2005) in oat, Mao et al. (2013) in oat and Kumar et al. (2016) in oat germplasm.

seedling shoot length showed maximum value for genotype EC-2660 (16.55cm), followed by M-27(16.4cm). Similarly genotypes EC-2660 (34.73cm) also showed maximum value for seedling

length followed by M-27(33.17cm). Whereas germplasm D-1394 (2.85g) and EC-43555 (2.34g) showing high value for the trait seedling fresh weight. These findings revealed that EC-2660, M-27, D-1394 and EC-43555 are superior for fodder purpose as they are showing high biomass and weight. Whereas OX-503, EC-35199, M-72, EC-2660, M-27, D-1394, EC-43555, OX-559, OX-1090 and EC-2874 were identified as superior in overall performance with respect to all quality parameters. Hence, they can be utilized in further breeding program for developing high fodder yielding

seedling shoot length showed maximum value for genotype EC-2660 (16.55cm), followed by M-27(16.4cm). Similarly genotypes EC-2660 (34.73cm) also showed maximum value for seedling length followed by M-27(33.17cm). Whereas germplasm D-1394 (2.85g) and EC-43555 (2.34g) showing high value for the trait seedling fresh weight. These findings revealed that EC-2660, M-27, D-1394 and EC-43555 are superior for fodder purpose as they are showing high biomass and weight. Whereas OX-503, EC-35199, M-72, EC-2660, M-27, D-1394, EC-43555, OX-559, OX-1090 and EC-2874 were identified as superior in overall performance with respect to all quality parameters. Hence, they can be utilized in further breeding program for developing high fodder yielding varieties.

CONCLUSION

Various seed quality parameters were assessed and analysis of variance revealed significant difference among genotype for all the trait studied. The mean performance and range of variation for different seed quality characters of genotypes showed a higher extent of variability. Germination at first count and Standard germination (%) varied significantly for different oat germplasm under study. This differential behavior of different germplasm seed indicated that the germination at first count and germination at final count of seed was affected by diversity in genetic constitution of different line. Similarly, seedling shoot length, root length, seedling length, fresh weight, dry weight, vigour index, relative growth index, germination index, mean

germination time, mean daily germination, speed of germination and T50 value also varied significantly for different oat germplasm line. EC-2660, M-27, D-1394 and EC-43555 are superior for fodder purpose as they are showing high biomass and weight. Whereas OX-503, EC-35199, M-72, EC-2660, M-27, D-1394, EC-43555, OX-559, OX-1090 and EC-2874 were identified as superior in overall performance with respect to most of the seed quality parameters. Hence these lines may be utilized in further breeding program for developing greater biomass yielding and earliness varieties. Genotypes EC-2660, OX-503, EC-35199, M-72, D-1394, OX-559, OX-1090, EC-2874, M-27, EC- 43555 were identified as superior in overall performance with respect to quality parameters. Hence, they can be utilized in further breeding program for developing high yielding and high-quality fodder varieties.

REFERENCES

- Abdul Baki, A. A. and Anderson, J. D. (1973). Vigor determination in soybean seed by multiple criteria 1. *Crop Science*, 13(6):630-633.
- Arivazhagen, E. and Kadarmohideen, M. (2006). Studies on seed size and seedling vigour in a french bean. *Indian J. Agri. Sci.*,2(1): 180-182.
- Association of Official seed Analysts (1983). seed vigour testing handbook. Contribution no. 32 to the Handbook on seed Testing 93 pp.
- Brown, R. F. and Mayer, D. G. (1988). Representing cumulative germination. 1. A critical analysis of single-value germination indices. *Annals of Botany*, 61(2): 117-125.
- Coolbear, P., Francis, A. and Grierson, D. (1984). The effect of low temperature pre-sowing treatment on the germination performance and membrane integrity of artificially aged tomato seeds. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 35(11): 1609-1617.
- Ellis, R. A. (1981). The quantification of agent and survival in orthodox seeds. *Seed Sci. Technol.*, 9: 373-409.
- FAO. (2021). "Crops, oats, area harvested, yield, production," http://www.fao.org/faostat/en/#data (accessed Feb. 15, 2021).

- Farooq, M., Basra, S. M. A., Ahmad, N. and Hafeez, K. (2005). Thermal hardening: a new seed vigor enhancement tool in rice. *Journal of Integrative Plant Biology*, 47(2): 187-193.
- Griffiths, I., Cowan, A., Gay, A. and Howarth, C. (2012). Why size matters: grain shape analysis in Avena sativa L. In: The 9th International Oat Conference Abstracts, p: 45, Beijing, China.
- ISTA. (2009). International rules for seed testing. Seed Sci. and Technol., 27: 1-334
- Joshi, V. C., Yadav, V. K., Makanur, B. and Prasad, B. (2013). Studies on growth parameters and seed quality in Capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *BIOINFOLET-A Quarterly Journal of Life Sciences*, 10(3a): 865-871.
- Katna, G., Singh, H. B., Sharma, J. K. and Sethi, G. S. (2002). Implication of seed size and vigour on field emergence in maize (Zea mays L.). *Indian Journal of Genetics and Plant Breeding*, 62(04): 316-318.
- McDonald, M. B. (1998). seed quality assessment. Seed Science Research, 8(2): 265-276.
- Prasad, B., Prasad, R., Singh, A. and Prasad, S. (2009). Presowing seed inoculation of wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L. ev. VL 832) for seed yield and quality enhancement in North-West Himalayan agriculture system. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 5(2): 80-86.
- Patil, K.N., Hiremath, K.A. and Shanthakumar, G.(1999). Study on seed yield and its quality parameters in different genotypes of finger millet. *Advances in Agricultural Research in India*, 12:51-55
- Samir, M., Rai, R. and Prasad, B. (2015). seed germination behaviour as influenced by presowing treatments in khirni. *Journal of Hill Agriculture*, 6(1): 132-135.
- Willenborg, C. J., Wildeman, J. C., Miller, A. K., Rossnagel, B. G. and Shirtliffe, S. J. (2005). Oat germination characteristics differ among genotypes, seed sizes, and osmotic potentials. *Crop Science*, 45(5): 2023-2029.

Received: August 11, 2023 Accepted: August 23, 2023