# **Pantnagar Journal of Research**

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

#### ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

#### EDITORIALBOARD

#### Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, WP Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia. Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

#### **Editor-in-Chief**

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

#### **Managing Editor**

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Assistant Managing Editor**

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Technical Manager**

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

## PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 22(1) January-A	pril 2024
CONTENTS	
Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of sweet corn (Zea mays L. var. saccharata) under different planting geometry and NPK levels AMIT BHATNAGAR, SAILESH DEB KARJEE, GURVINDER SINGH and DINESH KUMAR SINGH	1-7
Integrated effect of natural farming concoctions and organic farming practices with various NPK doses on quality of bread wheat PRERNA NEGI, MOINUDDIN CHISTI and HIMANSHU VERMA	8-13
Characterization and fertility capability classification of some soils in the rain forest zone of Edo state, Nigeria OKUNSEBOR, F.E., OGBEMUDIA, I. and OKOLIE, S. I.	14-25
<b>Characterization and classification of guava growing soils of North-East Haryana according to frame work of land evaluation (FAO, 1993)</b> DHARAM PAL, MANOJ SHARMA, R.S. GARHWAL and DINESH	26-35
<b>Interactive impact of heavy metals and mycorrhizal fungi on growth and yield of pepper</b> ( <i>Capsicum annuum Linn.</i> ) SHARMILA CHAUHAN, MOHINDER SINGH, SNEHA DOBHAL, DEEKSHA SEMWAL and PRAVEEN	36-47
<b>Response of chilli (</b> <i>Capsicum annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i> <b>L.) to different nutrient management practices</b> SHEETAL, K.C. SHARMA, SHIVAM SHARMA, NEHA SHARMA, D.R. CHAUDHARY, SANDEEP MANUJA and AKHILESH SHARMA	48-58
<b>Trend detection in weather parameters using Mann-Kendall test for <i>Tarai</i> region of Uttarakhand SHUBHIKA GOEL and R.K. SINGH</b>	59-67
<b>Comparative study of antioxidant potential of fresh peel from different citrus species</b> TARU NEGI, ANIL KUMAR, ARCHANA GANGWAR, SATISH KUMAR SHARMA, ANURADHA DUTTA, NAVIN CHAND SHAHI, OM PRAKASH and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	68-74
Suitability of Quinoa Grains ( <i>Chenopodium Quinoa Willd.</i> ) for development of Low Glycemic Index Biscuits RUSHDA ANAM MALIK, SARITA SRIVASTAVA and MEENAL	75-84
A study on dietary intake among school-going adolescent girls of Udaipur, Rajasthan during COVID-19 JYOTI SINGH and NIKITA WADHAWAN	85-92
Nutritional and sensory evaluation of gluten free chapatti developed using underutilised food	93-98
sources AYUSHI JOSHI, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, ANURADHA DUTTA, ANIL KUMAR and NAVIN CHANDRA SHAHI	
Nutrient-enriched wheat <i>chapatti</i> with fresh pea shells ( <i>Pisum sativum l.</i> ): A comprehensive quality assessment AMITA BENIWAL, SAVITA, VEENU SANGWAN and DARSHAN PUNIA	99-109

<b>Pearl Millet-Based Pasta and Noodles Incorporated with</b> <i>Jamun</i> <b>Seed Powder: Quality Analysis</b> SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	110-121
Unlocking the biofortification potential of <i>Serratia marcescens</i> for enhanced zinc and iron content in wheat grains BHARTI KUKRETI and AJAY VEER SINGH	122-131
Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of sun-dried leaves and fruits of wild <i>Pyracantha</i> <i>crenulata</i> (D. Don) M. Roem. SUGANDHA PANT, PREETI CHATURVEDI, AAKANSHA VERMA, MANDEEP RAWAT, VAISHNAVI RAJWAR and KAVITA NEGI	132-141
Studies on productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH and R.S. BARWAL	142-150
Studies on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH, R.S. BARWAL and MANITA DANGI	151-157
Principal component analysis in production and reproduction traits of Frieswal cattle under field progeny testing OLYMPICA SARMA, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH, D. KUMAR, C. B. SINGH, A. K. GHOSH, B. N. SHAHI and S. K. SINGH	158-163
Degenerative renal pathology in swine: A comprehensive histopathological investigation in Rajasthan, India SHOBHA BURDAK, INDU VYAS, HEMANT DADHICH, MANISHA MATHUR, SHESH ASOPA, RENU	164-169
<b>Evaluation of histopathological changes on acute exposure of profenofos in Swiss albino mice</b> SONU DEVI, VINOD KUMAR, PREETI BAGRI and DEEPIKA LATHER	170-177
Temporal and spatial performance of rapeseed and mustard oilseed in India: A study in the context of Technology Mission on Oilseeds! LEKHA KALRA and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	178-190
<b>Comparative economics of maize cultivation in major and minor maize producing districts of Karnataka – a study across farm size groups</b> GEETHA, R. S. and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	191-203
A study on Usefulness of Participatory Newsletter for Potato growers in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand RAMESH NAUTIYAL and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	204209
Training Needs of Hortipreneurs in Value Addition and fruit crop production in Kumaon Hills of Uttarakhand KRITIKA PANT and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	210-215
Post-training Knowledge Assessment of the rural women about Mushroom Cultivation under TSP project, funded by ICAR ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL, S. K. MISHRAand OMVEER SINGH	216-220
<b>UAV Technology: Applications, economical reliance and feasibility in Indian Agriculture</b> A. AJAY and S. SAI MOHAN	221-229

# Response of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* var. *annuum* L.) to different nutrient management practices

# SHEETAL<sup>1</sup>, K.C. SHARMA<sup>1</sup>, SHIVAM SHARMA<sup>1\*</sup>, NEHA SHARMA<sup>1</sup>, D.R. CHAUDHARY<sup>1</sup>, SANDEEP MANUJA<sup>2</sup> and AKHILESH SHARMA<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Vegetable Science and Floriculture, <sup>2</sup> Department of Agronomy, CSK HPKV, Palampur-176062 (Himachal Pradesh) \*Corresponding author's email id: shivamsharma7154@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** A field investigation was carried out at the experimental farm of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, CSKHPKV, Bajaura (Kullu), Himachal Pradesh during *kharif*, 2022. The experiment was carried out in Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications comprising 12 treatment combinations of NPK fertilizers, biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB), organic and natural farming on chilli variety 'Him Palam Mirch-2'. Different nutrient management practices significantly influenced different horticultural traits. The results revealed that treatment combination of 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB recorded maximum plant height (75.1 cm), number of primary branches per plant (4.90), number of secondary branches per plant (11.11), number of fruits per plant (173), fruit length (8.66 cm), fruit girth (3.60 cm), average green fruit weight (5.50 g), fruit yield (581.33 g), fruit yield plot<sup>1</sup> (9.30 kg), fruit yield ha<sup>-1</sup>(258.37 q), number of seeds fruit-1(64.03), dry stalk weight (36.57 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and dry fruit yield (46.13 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The maximum B:C ratio of 4.24 was recorded with the application of 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB and it also gave significantly the highest gross (₹5,16,740) and net (₹4,18,168.69) returns. Natural farming practice showed least performance for all the traits while organic farming practice found better than natural farming. Therefore, can be concluded that the combined application of NPK fertilizers and organic inputs coupled with biofertilizers proved the best for increasing fruit yield, quality and best utilization of nutrients supplied.

Key words: Azotobacter, biofertilizer, economics, PSB, vermicompost

Chilli (*Capsicum annuum* var. *annuum* L.), a member of family Solanaceae is the third most important crop after tomato and potato. Chilli is an important fruit vegetable and valuable spice grown throughout world for human consumption (Dias *et al.* 2013). It is rich in proteins, lipids, carbohydrates, fibers, minerals (Ca, P, Fe) and vitamins A, D3, E, C, K, B2 and B12 and mostly known for its green, aromatic fruits, which are used as an important ingredient in cooking (El-Ghorab *et al.* 2013).

Being a long duration crop, it requires proper manuring and fertilization in the surface soil for attaining high yield and quality produce because of its shallow root system (Bidari, 2000). Studies have also revealed that many nutrient deficits and decreased crop yield were caused by the use of suboptimal amount of nutrients in an unbalanced proportion. Synthetic or chemical fertilizers comprising nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium increase the cost of agricultural production (Sharma *et al.*, 2022). Moreover, the imbalance and continuous use of chemical fertilizers has detrimental effects on soil physical, chemical and biological properties, there by affecting the sustainability of crop production, besides causing environmental pollution (Virmani, 1994). Organic manures can be used to meet the nutrient requirement of the crop as these not only enhance the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil but also improve the moisture holding capacity of the soil.

Chemical fertilizers, organic manures, crop leftovers or bio-fertilizers alone cannot supply a crop with all the nutrients it requires. Therefore, there must be a balance between organic and inorganic fertilizers. The integrated supply and use of plant nutrients from chemical fertilizers and organic sources has shown to produce higher crop yields compared to their sole application (Kapse *et al.*, 2017). Though, chilli crop is grown over large area but per hectare fruit as well as seed yield is not up to the expectation. Lack of awareness among farmers about improved technologies like high yielding varieties, integrated nutrient management and proper plant protection measures are the main reasons for its low productivity in India.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The present investigation was carried out at the experimental farm of Krishi Vigyan Kendra, Chaudhary Sarwan Kumar Himachal Pradesh Krishi Vishvavidyalaya, Bajaura, Kullu, Himachal Pradesh during kharif, 2022. The experimental material comprised of 12 treatment combinations i.e.,  $(T_1)$ Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup>  $(N:P_2O_5:K_2O)$ ,  $(T_2)$  Recommended practice (100%) NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>),  $(T_3)$  100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost,  $(T_{4})$  100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + Azotobacter,  $(T_5)$  100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + PSB, (T<sub>6</sub>) 100% NPK+10 tonnes vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB,  $(T_{\gamma})$ 75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N:P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>:K<sub>2</sub>O) + 10 tonnes vermicompost, (T<sub>o</sub>) 75% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + Azotobacter, (T<sub>9</sub>) 75% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + PSB,  $(T_{10})$  75% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB,  $(T_{11})$  Organic farming practice: 10 tonnes vermicompost and Vermiwash spray @10% at 10 days interval, (T<sub>12</sub>) Natural farming practice: Application of *Ghanjeevamrit* (a) 10 q ha<sup>-1</sup> at the time of transplanting, seedlings treatment with Beejamrit + Jeevamrit spray @10% 10 days interval.

The experiment was laid out in randomized block design with three replications with 12 plots each of size 1.8 x 1.8 m at a spacing of  $45 \times 45$  cm were prepared. The inorganic fertilizers were applied as per treatments i.e., 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O) and 75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg  $ha^{-1}$  (N: P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: K<sub>2</sub>O) through urea, single super phosphate and muriate of potash. The recommended dose of FYM @20 tonnes ha-1 was applied only in RDF treatment while vermicompost @10 t ha-1 was applied to different plots according to the treatments. Half dose of N, full dose of P and K was applied at the time of transplanting. The remaining half N was top dressed in two equal splits at an interval of 30 and 60 days after transplanting. Seedlings were inoculated by dipping for 15 minutes in the culture of indigenous strain of *Azotobacter*, PSB and *Azotobacter* + PSB as per the treatments. In organic farming treatment, application of vermiwash @10% (1:10 dilution) was sprayed at 10 days interval. The natural farming practice comprised of mixing of *Ghanzivamrit* @ 10 q ha<sup>-1</sup> in the soil of respective treatment plots at transplanting. Besides, the seedlings were treated before transplanting with *Beejamrit* and *Jeevamrit* 10% was also sprayed at 10 days interval in natural farming treatment.

The observations were made on growth and development (days to 50% flowering, days to first harvest, plant height (cm), primary branches per plant, secondary branches per plant), yield contributing traits of chilli (number of fruits per plant, fruit length (cm), fruit girth (cm), average green fruit weight (g), green and dry fruit yield (green fruit yield per plant (g), green fruit yield per plot (kg), green fruit yield per ha (q), dry fruit yield per ha (q)), number of seeds per fruit and dry stalk weight (q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and economic studies (Gross returns ( $\overline{\mathsf{cha}}^{-1}$ ), Net returns ( $\overline{\mathsf{cha}}^{-1}$ ) and B:C ratio). Analysis of variance was done as per the standards of Panse and Sukhatme (1984).

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

## Effect of different nutrient treatments on growth and development of chilli

#### Days to 50 % flowering and first harvest

The effect of different nutrient management practices could not significantly influence the number of days taken to 50 % flowering and days to first harvest (Table 1). It was quite expected because the fruit maturity in chilli is governed by the interactive effect of prevailing photoperiod and temperature.

#### Plant height (cm)

The analysis of variance revealed significant differences among different treatments for plant height (Table 1). The treatment combination  $T_6$  (NPK @100% + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) recorded significantly the tallest plants (75.1 cm) compared to rest of the treatments, however, it was statistically at par with the application of NPK

(a) 100% + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (73.3 cm), NPK (a) 100% + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (72.9 cm), RDF (a) 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (72.5 cm) and NPK (a) 100% + 10 t vermicompost (72.4 cm). The application of NPK (a) 100% ( $T_1$ ) recorded significantly taller plants (71 cm) compared to organic (62.3 cm) and natural farming treatments (58.9 cm). However, this treatment was statistically at par with sole or combined application of biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB) (a) 75 % NPK levels. Natural farming practice produced the lowest plant height (58.9 cm) which was statistically at par with organic farming treatment (62.3 cm).

The increase in plant height with higher doses of NPK could be due to enzymes, protein synthesis, root development, phosphoprotein, phospholipids formation and enhancing the translocation of assimilates. The increased plant height with the application of biofertilizers either alone or in

Table 1: Effect of	f different nutrient	treatments on	growth and	development of chilli
--------------------	----------------------	---------------	------------	-----------------------

Treatment	Treatment details	Days to	Days	Plant	Primary	Secondary
		50%	to first	height	branches	branches
		flowering	harvest	(cm)	per plant	per plant
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha-1	49.7	60.0	71.0	4.70	10.07
1	$(N:P_{2}O_{5}:K_{2}O)$					
Τ,	Recommended practice (100% NPK + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	49.0	60.3	72.4	4.73	10.30
T,	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost	49.3	61.0	72.5	4.77	10.40
T_	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	50.0	61.3	72.9	4.80	10.70
Ţ	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	49.7	61.3	73.3	4.82	11.03
T <sub>6</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	50.3	62.0	75.1	4.90	11.11
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O) +	48.0	61.7	68.8	4.50	9.73
,	10 t vermicompost					
T <sub>s</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	48.3	61.3	69.4	4.57	9.77
T <sub>o</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	48.7	60.7	69.8	4.60	9.80
T <sub>10</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	49.0	61.3	70.0	4.62	9.93
T <sub>11</sub>	Organic farming practice	50.7	62.7	62.3	4.26	9.37
T <sub>12</sub>	Natural farming practice	51.3	63.0	58.9	4.04	8.87
$SE(m) \pm$		0.78	0.72	1.3	0.09	0.15
CD (5%)		NS	NS	4.0	0.27	0.46
CV (%)		2.73	2.01	3.41	3.39	2.69

T. LL. 3.	F.C	·				4	
Table 2:	Effect of	anterent nutrie	nt treatments o	on yieia	contributing	traits of	cniiii

Treatment	Treatment details	No. of	Fruit	Fruit	Average green
		fruits	length	girth	fruit weight
		per plant	(cm)	(cm)	(g)
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O)	166.6	7.84	3.50	5.00
Τ,	Recommended practice (100% NPK + 20 t FYM ha <sup>-1</sup> )	170.7	8.02	3.52	5.10
T <sub>3</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost	171.2	8.14	3.53	5.17
T_4	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ Azotobacter	171.7	8.22	3.55	5.23
T <sub>5</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	172.1	8.34	3.56	5.37
T <sub>6</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	173.0	8.66	3.60	5.50
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O) +	150.9	7.85	3.46	4.82
	10 t vermicompost				
T <sub>s</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	153.0	7.88	3.47	4.83
T	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	158.0	7.90	3.48	4.86
T <sub>10</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	160.7	7.96	3.49	4.92
T <sub>11</sub>	Organic farming practice	131.3	7.27	3.40	4.54
T <sub>12</sub>	Natural farming practice	122.5	7.10	3.33	4.44
$SE(m) \pm$		1.07	0.15	0.04	0.10
CD (5%)		3.15	0.45	0.12	0.30
CV (%)		1.16	3.33	2.11	3.59

combinations may be ascribed to higher and continuous availability of atmospheric nitrogen by *Azotobacter*, phosphorus by the solubilizing. Similar results have also been reported by Narkhede *et al.* (2011), Talukder & Jana (2009), Rani *et al.* (2015) and Gokul *et al.* (2020).

#### Primary branches per plant

An analysis of variance for number of primary branches per plant revealed significant differences with the application of different nutrient treatment combinations (Table 1). The combined application of NPK @100% + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter*  + PSB ( $T_6$ ) produced significantly the highest number of primary branches per plant (4.9) compared to the remaining combinations.

The increased number of primary branches with the conjoint application of inorganic fertilizers, biofertilizer, organic and natural farming practices may be due to effective absorption and utilization of available nutrients, better root growth and proliferation would have accelerated the production of growth regulators, which in turn increased cell division and elongation, leading to faster canopy growth and higher growth of the chilli plant with

Table 3: Effect of different nutrient treatments on green and dry fruit yield of chilli

Treatment	Treatment details	Fruit	Fruit	Fruit	Dry fruit
		yield per	yield per	yield per	yield per
		plant (g)	plot (kg)	ha (q)	ha (q)
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O)	467.90	7.47	207.58	40.37
T,	Recommended practice $(100\% \text{ NPK}) + 20 \text{ t FYM } ha^{-1}$	548.23	8.77	243.66	43.40
T,	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost	550.00	8.80	244.44	44.33
T <sub>4</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	567.08	9.07	252.04	44.50
T <sub>5</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	572.47	9.16	254.43	45.53
T <sub>6</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ Azotobacter + PSB	581.33	9.30	258.37	46.13
$T_7$	75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O) +	485.43	7.77	215.75	41.73
,	10 t vermicompost				
T <sub>s</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	517.55	8.30	230.67	42.30
T <sub>o</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	522.93	8.37	232.41	42.40
T <sub>10</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	528.85	8.47	235.32	42.83
T <sub>11</sub>	Organic farming practice	411.33	6.53	181.46	37.71
T <sub>12</sub>	Natural farming practice	362.52	5.80	161.18	32.47
$SE(m) \pm$		7.81	0.13	3.47	0.89
CD (5%)		23.00	0.37	10.26	2.64
CV (%)		2.66	2.67	2.66	3.70

Table 4: Effect of	different nutrients treatments on	no. of seeds i	per fruit and d	ry stalk weight
		not or secus		J been nongho

Treatment	Treatment details	No. of seeds per fruit	Dry stalk weight (q/ha)
T,	Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O)	58.3	33.02
T,	Recommended practice $(100\% \text{ NPK}) + 20 \text{ t FYM } ha^{-1}$	58.7	33.42
T,	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost	59.6	34.47
T_	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ Azotobacter	60.8	34.67
Ţ	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	61.9	35.87
T_	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ Azotobacter + PSB	64.0	36.57
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O) + 10 t vermicompost	55.3	30.65
T,	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	55.7	31.27
Τ	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	56.8	31.97
T_10	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	57.3	32.28
T <sub>11</sub>	Organic farming practice	51.0	29.66
T <sub>1</sub> ,	Natural farming practice	48.5	26.45
$SE(m) \pm$		1.10	1.05
CD (5%)		3.26	3.13
CV (%)		3.33	5.67

Treatment	Treatment details	Gross returns₹ha <sup>-1</sup>	Net returns₹ha <sup>-1</sup>	B:C ratio
T <sub>1</sub>	Recommended 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O)	415160	335388.69	4.20
T,	Recommended practice (100% NPK + 20 t FYM $ha^{-1}$ )	487320	394108.69	4.22
T <sub>3</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost	488800	393668.69	4.13
T <sub>4</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	504080	405938.69	4.14
T <sub>5</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	508860	410718.69	4.18
T <sub>6</sub>	100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ Azotobacter + PSB	516740	418168.69	4.24
T <sub>7</sub>	75% NPK @75:56.25:41.25 kg ha <sup>-1</sup> (N:P <sub>2</sub> O <sub>5</sub> :K <sub>2</sub> O) +	431500	337374.91	3.58
,	10 t vermicompost			
T <sub>8</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter	461340	364714.91	3.77
T <sub>9</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB	464820	368194.91	3.81
T <sub>10</sub>	75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB	470640	374014.91	3.87
T <sub>11</sub>	Organic farming practice	362920	259380.00	2.50
T <sub>12</sub>	Natural farming practice	322360	227310.00	2.39

 Table 5: Economics of different treatment

more primary branches. The results are in line with the findings of Pariari and Khan (2013), Vitkar *et al.* (2007) and Rani *et al.* (2015). Application of vermicompost increases the nutrient supply and microbial population that significantly increases the number of primary branches per plant as reported by Singh *et al.* (2014).

#### Secondary branches per plant

Number of secondary branches per plant was significantly influenced by different treatment combinations of nutrient sources. The treatment combination  $T_6(100\% \text{ NPK} + 10 \text{ t vermicompost} +$ Azotobacter + PSB) recorded significantly the highest number of secondary branches per plant (11.11) compared to rest of the treatment combinations. However, it was statistically at par with application of NPK @100% + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (11.03) and NPK @100% + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter (10.70). Further, it was also observed that application of 100% NPK either in conjunction with vermicompost @10 t ha- $^{1}$  (10.40) and FYM @20 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> (10.30) or alone (10.07) while remaining statistically at par among themselves but produced significantly higher number of secondary branches per plant compared to the six treatment combinations. (Table 1). At 75% NPK level, all the treatment combinations were statistically at par among themselves.

The increase in number of secondary branches per plant could be attributed to increased nutrient availability. Further, the application of biofertilizers, organic and natural farming practices had the positive role on plant growth and development that led to increased branches per plant. Similar findings were also reported by Ranjitha (2016).

# Effect of different nutrient treatments on yield contributing traits of chilli

#### **Number of fruits per plant** The observations significantly

The observations significantly depicted that the treatment T<sub>6</sub> (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) recorded significantly the maximum number of fruits per plant (173) compared to other nutrient practices (Table 2). However, it was statistically at par with treatment  $T_{5}$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB),  $T_{4}$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter),  $T_3$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost) and T<sub>2</sub> (100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>). Furthermore, it was observed that application of 100% NPK gave significantly higher number of fruits per plant (170.7) compared to remaining combinations either at 75% NPK level or organic and natural farming treatments. Further, it was also observed that the organic farming treatment gave significantly higher number of fruits) per plant (131.3) compared to natural farming practice (122.5).

The increased number of fruits per plant with the application of chemical fertilizers, organic inputs including biofertilizers that might be due to the synergistic physiological effects of these nutrients as well as growth promoting substances on growth and development in chilli. Moreover, these inputs also have a critical function in cell expansion that has a direct impact on the quality of fruits. Similar findings were also recorded by Kashem *et al.* (2015).

#### Fruit length (cm)

The results significantly revealed that application of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB (8.66 cm) while remaining at par with 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (8.34 cm) and 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (8.22 cm) gave significantly the highest fruit length compared to rest of the treatment combinations (Table 2). Almost similar findings have also been reported by Singh *et al.* (2010), Vitkar *et al.* (2007), Kumar *et al.* (2016), Islam *et al.* (2018) and Sharma *et al.* (2022) in chilli owing to the beneficial effects of NPK when integrated with biofertilizers and organic inputs.

#### Fruit girth (cm)

The fruit girth was significantly influenced by different nutrient combinations (Table 2). The treatment, 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB recorded significantly the highest fruit girth (3.60 cm) over organic (3.40 cm) and natural farming treatment (3.33 cm), however it was statistically at par with treatment combinations of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (3.56 cm), 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter (3.55 cm), 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost (3.53 cm), 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (3.52 cm) and recommended 100% NPK (3.50 cm) and 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (3.49 cm). The above findings are in confirmation with the finding of Kumar et al. (2016), Islam et al. (2018), Shabir et al. (2016) and Behera et al. (2020) who found that fruit girth of chilli increased significantly with increase in the NPK levels, biofertilizers and organic inputs. These inputs improved the nutrient availability thereby, resulted in enhanced growth and yield attributes of the crop.

#### Average green fruit weight (g)

The application of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB (5.50 g) while remaining at par with 100% NPK+10 t vermicompost + PSB (5.37 g) and 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter (5.23 g) produced significantly the highest green fruit weight over the rest of the treatment combinations (Table 2). The above findings corroborated with the reports of Singh *et al.* (2010), Jamir *et al.* (2017), Chauhan *et al.* (2018) and Islam *et al.* (2018) who revealed that integrated application of chemical fertilizers, biofertilizers along with incorporation of vermicompost significantly improved the fruit weight of solanaceous vegetables.

# *Effect of different treatments on green and dry fruit yield of chilli*

#### Fruit yield per plant of chilli (g)

Fruit yield per plant increased significantly with increment in fertility levels from 75 to 100 % NPK irrespective of any combinations (Table 3). The application of NPK @ 100% + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (581.33 g) while remaining statistically at par with NPK @100% + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (572.47 g) and NPK @ 100% + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter (567.08 g) gave significantly the highest fruit yield per plant compared to rest of the treatment combinations (Fig. 1). It was also observed that treatment combination of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost produced significantly higher fruit yield per plant (550.00 g) compared to remaining treatment combinations, however it was statistically at par with 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (548.23 g) and 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (528.85 g). The increase in fruit yield per plant of chilli could be due to higher and continuous availability of nutrients from combined source (NPK + Vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) at vital growth period that might have enhanced the growth and yield contributing traits. This resulted in better photosynthetic activities of the plant that helped in better translocation of carbohydrates to the storage organs and ultimately enhanced biomass production. Similar results were also reported by Kattimani & Yadahalli (2009), Singh et al. (2010) and Chauhan et al. (2018) in chilli crop.

#### Fruit yield per plot of chilli (kg)

Significantly the highest fruit yield per plot (9.30 kg) was found in treatment  $T_6$  (100% NPK + 10 t



vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) which was statistically at par with  $T_5$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB) and  $T_4$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter*) but significantly superior over rest of the treatment combinations (Table 3). The application of NPK @ 100% + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB and NPK @100% + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> recorded an increased fruit yield per plot to the tune of 24.50 and 16.47 per cent, respectively, over NPK @ 100% (Fig. 2).

The increased fruit yield with conjoint application of NPK, biofertilizers and organic inputs could be ascribed to increase in yield attributes (number of fruits/plants, fruit length, average fruit weight) and well-developed root system that ultimately resulted in a healthy plant growth and development and in turn to yield. Deepika *et al.* (2010), Kumar *et al.* (2016), Talukder and Jana (2009) and Islam *et al.* (2018) also found the similar results with the integrated application of chemical and organic sources of fertilizers in chilli.

#### Fruit yield quintal per ha

The fruit yield per hectare in chilli was significant influenced with the application of all the nutrient management practices (Table 3). The application of 100 %NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB produced significantly the highest fruit yield of 258.37 q ha<sup>-1</sup> over rest of the treatment combinations, however, it was statistically at par with 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (254.43 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (252.04 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The next best treatment was T<sub>3</sub> i.e., 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost (244.44



q ha<sup>-1</sup>) that gave significantly the higher fruit yield compared to the other combinations but it remained statistically at par with the combinations of 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (243.66 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB (235.32 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The application of NPK @ 100% + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB recorded an increased fruit yield to the tune of 6.03 per cent over recommended dose of fertilizer (NPK @100% + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup>).

The differences among biofertilizers (*Azotobacter* and PSB) applied either alone or in combined form at 75% NPK level were found to non-significant but recorded significantly higher fruit yield over 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost, thereby exhibiting that *Azotobacter* and PSB applied either individually or in combined form could mobilize plant nutrients in more efficient way. The organic farming practices (181.46 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) produced significantly higher fruit yield over natural farming (161.18 q ha<sup>-1</sup>).

This increase could be ascribed to higher and continuous availability of nutrients from combined source (NPK, biofertilizers and organic inputs) during critical growth period that might have increased the growth parameters which finally resulted into higher fruit yield. The results are in conformity with the findings of Kattimani and Yadahalli (2009), Singh *et al.* (2016), Chauhan *et al.* (2018), Bilal *et al.* (2019) and Islam *et al.* (2018).

#### Dry fruit yield (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The combination 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) gave significantly the highest

dry fruit yield (46.13 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) over rest of the treatment combinations, however, it was statistically at par with 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (45.53 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (44.50 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 100 % NPK + 10 t vermicompost (44.33 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). The recommended dose of fertilizers @100% NPK + 20 tonnes ha<sup>-1</sup> FYM (43.40 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) while remaining at par with different combinations at 75% NPK levels (T<sub>10</sub>, T<sub>9</sub>, T<sub>8</sub>, T<sub>7</sub>) also produced significantly higher dry fruit yield over the remaining combinations (T<sub>1</sub>, T<sub>10</sub> and T<sub>11</sub>), thereby resulting in net saving of 25 % chemical fertilizers. The organic treatment also recorded significantly

These results may be due to the role of NPK fertilizers on promotion of vegetative growth and the role of biofertilizers in increasing the availability of nutrients (nitrogen, phosphorus and potassium) to plant absorption which finally resulted in improving the vegetative growth and quality of fruits. The presence of beneficial microorganism in organic inputs like, vermicompost and *vermiwash* may be due to their constituents, which contain both macro and micro nutrients, vitamins, essential amino acids, growth promoting substances such as indole acetic acid (IAA), gibberellic acid and beneficial microorganism that directly aid in the plant's growth and development. Ranjitha (2016) also reported the similar results in chilli.

increased dry yield to the tune of 16.13 per cent over

natural farming treatment (Table 3).

## *Effect of different nutrient treatments on no. of seeds per fruit and dry stalk weight* Number of seeds per fruit

Application of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (64.0) significantly recorded the highest number of seeds per fruit compared to rest of the treatment combinations, however, it remained statistically at par with  $T_5$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB) and  $T_4$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter). Thereby indicating the role of integrated use of fertilizers besides saving 25% NPK (Table 4). The increase could be due to higher and continuous availability of nutrients from combined source (NPK, Azotobacter + PSB + vermicompost) at vital growth period that might have enhanced the growth and yield parameters. This resulted in better photosynthetic activities of the plant that helped in better translocation of carbohydrates to the storage organs and ultimately enhanced biomass production Mallika *et al.* (2022) and Hasan *et al.* (2014).

#### Dry stalk weight (q ha<sup>-1</sup>)

Application of treatment combination T<sub>6</sub> (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB) recorded significantly the highest dry stalk weight (36.57 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) over rest of the combinations (Table 4), however, it was statistically at par with 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB ( $35.87 \text{ g ha}^{-1}$ ), 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter  $(34.67 \text{ g ha}^{-1})$  and 100 % NPK + 10 t vermicompost (34.47 q ha<sup>-1</sup>). Further, the application of RDF (100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM) gave significantly higher stalk weight (33.42 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) compared to organic (29.66 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and natural farming practice (26.45 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) but it remained statistically at par with 100% NPK @100:75:55 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> (33.02 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter + PSB (32.28 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (31.97 q ha<sup>-1</sup>), 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + Azotobacter (31.27 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) and 75% NPK @75:56:25:41:25 kg  $ha^{-1}(N:P_2O_5:K_2O) + 10$  t vermicompost (30.65 q ha<sup>-1</sup>) <sup>1</sup>).

The increased dry weight in chilli may be due to the role of NPK fertilizers on promotion of vegetative growth and the role of biofertilizers and organic inputs on increasing the availability of nutrients (N, P & K) to plant absorption which finally resulted in improving the vegetative growth that led to more plant height, primary and secondary branches per plant. The results are similar with the findings of Ranjitha (2016).

# Effect of different nutrient treatments on economics of chilli

The application of treatment combination  $T_6$  (100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) gave the highest gross returns of ₹5,16,740 ha<sup>-1</sup> (Table 5) followed by 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (₹5,08,860), 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (₹5,04,080), 100%

NPK + 10 t vermicompost (₹4,88,800) and 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (₹4,15,160). Treatment combination T<sub>10</sub> (75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) also recorded higher gross returns of ₹4,70,640 followed by T<sub>9</sub> (75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *PSB*) and T<sub>8</sub> (75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter*). The minimum gross returns (₹3,22,360) were found in natural farming practice.

#### Net returns (₹ha<sup>-1</sup>)

The maximum net returns (₹4,18,168.69 ha<sup>-1</sup>) were recorded in treatment combination T<sub>6</sub> (Table 5) followed by 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + PSB (₹4,10,718.69), 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (₹40,59,38.69), 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost (₹393668.69) and 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (₹3,94,108.69). Application of 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB) also gave higher net returns of ₹3,74,014.91 ha<sup>-1</sup> followed by 75% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + PSB (Rs 3,68,194.91) and 75% NPK + 10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (Rs 3,64,714.91). The application of natural farming practice recorded the lowest net returns (₹2,27,310.00).

### **B:C Ratio**

The highest B:C ratio of 4.24 was recorded, when the plots were supplemented with 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB (Table 5) closely followed by 100% NPK + 20 tonnes FYM ha<sup>-1</sup> (4.22), 100% NPK (4.20), 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + PSB (4.18), 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* (4.14) and 100% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost (4.13). At 75% NPK level, the combination of 75% NPK + 10 tonnes vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB gave higher B:C ratio (3.87). The lowest B:C ratio was registered in natural farming practice (2.39).

### CONCLUSION

Based on the results, it was concluded that 'Him Palam Mirch-2' variety of chilli produced significantly the maximum green fruit yield of 258.37 q ha<sup>-1</sup> with the application of 100% NPK +

10 t vermicompost + *Azotobacter* + PSB. In addition, the application of 100% NPK + 10 t vermicompost+ *Azotobacter* + PSB gave maximum gross returns of ₹5,16,740, net returns of ₹4,18,168.69 and B:C ratio of 4.24. Therefore, combined application of inorganic and organic source of nutrients coupled with biofertilizers proved to be the best for increasing fruit yield, quality and best utilization of nutrient supplied. Natural farming practice showed least performance for all the traits while organic farming practice found better than natural farming. Gross returns, net returns and B:C ratio in natural farming was less than organic farming practice.

### ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

All authors would like to acknowledge the faculty of KVK Bajaura and CSK HPKV, Palampur for providing all the required facilities to conduct experimental trial successfully at the field and laboratory.

### REFERENCES

- Behera, S., Pradhan, P. P., Sahu, G. and Santra G. H. (2020). Integrated nitrogen management in growth and yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) under red and lateritic soils of Odisha. *International Journal of Plant & Soil Science*, 32: 28-34.
- Bidari, B. I. (2000). Assessment of yield and quality of Byadagi Chillies (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in relation to soil and management practices in Dharwad district. Doctoral dissertation, University of Agricultural Sciences, Dharwad.
- Bilal, H., Aman, F., Ullah, I., Awan, A. A., Ullah, S., Khan, S., Aamir, M., Khan, M. A. and Rome, B. (2019). Response of chilli varieties to various sources of organic fertilizers. *ARPN Journal of Agricultural Biological Sciences*, 13: 15-24.
- Chauhan, K. S., Baghel, S. S., Mishra, K., Singh, A. K. and Singh, V. (2018). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield, quality and economic of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *Current Horticulture*, 6: 37-40.

- Deepika, A., Singh, A. K., Kanaujia, S. P. and Singh, V. B. (2010). Effect of integrated nutrient management on growth, yield and economics of capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.) cv. California Wonder. *Journal of Soils* and Crops, 20: 33-38.
- Dias, G. B., Gomes, V. M., Moraes, T. M., Zottich, U. P., Rabelo, G. R., Carvalho, A. O., Moulin M., Goncalves, L. S., Rodrigues, R. and Cunha, M. (2013). Characterization of *Capsicum species* using anatomical and molecular data. *Genetics and Molecular Research*, 12: 6488-6501.
- El-Ghorab, A. H., Javed, Q., Anjum, F. M., Hamed, S. F. and Shaaban, H. A. (2013). Pakistani bell pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) chemical compositions and its antioxidant activity. *International Journal of Food Properties*, 16: 18-32.
- Gokul, D., Poonkodi, P. and Angayarkanni, A. (2020). Effect of integrated nutrient management on the growth and nutrient content of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 8: 2647-2651.
- Hasan, M., Haider, T., Choudhary, M. S. N. and Ferdous, M. (2014). Study on morphophysiological and yield performance of four chilli (*Capsicum sp.*) lines. *Journal of Bioscience and Agriculture Research*, 2: 1-7.
- Islam, M. R., Sultana, T., Haque, M. A., Hossain, M. I., Sabrin, N. and Islam, R. (2018). Growth and yield of chili influenced by nitrogen and phosphorus. *Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 11: 54-68.
- Jamir, T., Rajwade, V. B., Prasad, V. M. and Lyngdoh, C. (2017). Effect of organic manures and chemical fertilizers on growth and yield of sweet pepper (*Capsicum annuum* L.) hybrid Indam Bharath in shade net condition. *International Journal of Current Microbiology and Applied Sciences*, 6: 1010-1019.
- Kapse, V. D., Puranik, U. Y., Bhosale, A. R., Gokhale, N. B. and Kasture, M. C. (2017).

Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and physicochemical properties of soil in Kongan region of Maharashtra. *International Journal of Chemical Studies*, 5: 106-109.

- Kashem, M. A., Sarkar, A., Hossain, I. and Islam, M. S. (2015). Comparison of the effect of vermicompost and inorganic fertilizers on vegetative growth and fruit production of tomato. *Open Journal of Soil Science*, 5: 53-58.
- Kattimani, S. R. and Yadahalli, G. S. (2009). Effect of integrated nutrient management on yield and nutrient uptake of chilli genotypes. *Journal of Ecotoxicology & Environmental Monitoring*, 19: 119-123.
- Kumar, V., Sachan, C. P., Singh, S. and Sinha, A. K. (2016). Effect of INM practice on plant growth, fruit yield and yield attributes in chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *International Journal of Plant, Animal and Environment Science*, 6: 170-173.
- Mallika, A., Kumar, D., Singh, N., Gangwar, V., Pal, O. and Rawat, M. (2022). Integrated nutrient management on physiological, yield and quality parameters of chili (*Capsicum annuum* L.) genotypes. *Biological Forum* -*An International Journal*, 14: 1506-15011.
- Narkhede, S. D., Attarde, S. B. and Ingle, S. T. (2011). Study on effect of chemical fertilizer and vermicompost on growth of chilli pepper plant (*Capsicum annuum* L.) Journal of Applied Sciences in Environmental Sanitation, 6: 327-332.
- Panse, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1984). Statistical Methods for Agricultural Workers, ICAR, New Delhi, 359p.
- Pariari, A. and Khan, S. (2013). Integrated nutrient management of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in gangetic alluvial plains. *Journal of Crop and Weed*, 9: 128-130.
- Rani, P. L., Balaswamy, K., Rao, A. R. and Masthan, S. C. (2015). Evaluation of integrated nutrient management practices on growth, yield and economics of green chilli cv. Pusa Jwala (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *International*

Journal of Bio-resource and Stress Management, 6: 76-80.

- Ranjitha, B. M. (2016). Effect of soil test based INM practices on the performance of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Doctoral dissertation, University of Horticulture Sciences, Bagalkot.
- Shabir, A., Wani, S. H., Khan, S. H., Praveen, K. and Ali, T. (2016). Integrated nutrient management on yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.) and physiochemical properties of Kashmir region. *International Journal of Fauna and Biological Studies*, 3: 140-142.
- Sharma, S., Chauhan, M. and Bijalwan, P. (2022).
  Plant growth promoting rhizobacteria, organic manures and chemical fertilizers:
  Impact on crop productivity and soil health of capsicum (*Capsicum annuum* L.) in North Western Himalayan Region. Journal of Plant Nutrition, 23: 110-115.
- Singh, B., Pathak, K., Boopathi, T. and Deka, B. (2010). Vermicompost and NPK fertilizer effects on morpho-physiological traits of plants, yield and quality of tomato fruits: (Solanum lycopersicum L.). Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin, 73: 77-86.
- Singh, C. K., John, S. A. and Jaiswal, D. (2014).

Effect of organics on growth, yield and biochemical parameters of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). *IOSR Journal of Agriculture and Veterinary Science*, 7: 27-32.

- Singh, M., Deokaran and Bhatt. B. P. (2016). Effect of integrated nutrient management on soil fertility status, productivity and profitability of garden pea. *Journal of Krishi Vigyan*, 5: 29-33.
- Talukder, B. and Jana, J. C. (2009). Integrated nutrient management for better growth, yield and quality of green chilli (*Capsicum annuum*) in Terai region of West Bengal. *Indian Journal of Agricultural Sciences*, 79: 600-603.
- Virmani, S. M. (1994). UNCTAD Agenda-21: The new challenges for soils research. *Journal* of the Indian Society of Soil Science, 42: 516-524.
- Vitkar, M. N., Manolikar, R. R., Vasmate, S. D., Kalalbandi, B. M. and Patil, M. F. (2007). Effect of organic and inorganic fertilizers on growth and green fruit yield of chilli (*Capsicum annuum* L.). Asian Journal of Horticulture 2: 273-276.

Received: March 16, 2024 Accepted: April 21, 2024