Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, WP Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia. Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vilay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of

Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A& M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 22(1) January-A	pril 2024.
CONTENTS	
Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of sweet corn (Zea mays L. var. saccharata) under different planting geometry and NPK levels AMIT BHATNAGAR, SAILESH DEB KARJEE, GURVINDER SINGH and DINESH KUMAR SINGH	1-7
Integrated effect of natural farming concoctions and organic farming practices with various NPK doses on quality of bread wheat PRERNA NEGI, MOINUDDIN CHISTI and HIMANSHU VERMA	8-13
Characterization and fertility capability classification of some soils in the rain forest zone of Edo state, Nigeria OKUNSEBOR, F.E., OGBEMUDIA, I. and OKOLIE, S. I.	14-25
Characterization and classification of guava growing soils of North-East Haryana according to frame work of land evaluation (FAO, 1993) DHARAM PAL, MANOJ SHARMA, R.S. GARHWAL and DINESH	26-35
Interactive impact of heavy metals and mycorrhizal fungi on growth and yield of pepper (<i>Capsicum annuum Linn.</i>) SHARMILA CHAUHAN, MOHINDER SINGH, SNEHA DOBHAL, DEEKSHA SEMWAL and PRAVEEN	36-47
Response of chilli (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i> L.) to different nutrient management practices SHEETAL, K.C. SHARMA, SHIVAM SHARMA, NEHA SHARMA, D.R. CHAUDHARY, SANDEEP MANUJA and AKHILESH SHARMA	48-58
Trend detection in weather parameters using Mann-Kendall test for <i>Tarai</i> region of Uttarakhand SHUBHIKA GOEL and R.K. SINGH	59-67
Comparative study of antioxidant potential of fresh peel from different citrus species TARU NEGI, ANIL KUMAR, ARCHANA GANGWAR, SATISH KUMAR SHARMA, ANURADHA DUTTA, NAVIN CHAND SHAHI, OM PRAKASH and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	68-74
Suitability of Quinoa Grains (<i>Chenopodium Quinoa Willd.</i>) for development of Low Glycemic Index Biscuits RUSHDA ANAM MALIK, SARITA SRIVASTAVA and MEENAL	75-84
A study on dietary intake among school-going adolescent girls of Udaipur, Rajasthan during COVID-19 JYOTI SINGH and NIKITA WADHAWAN	85-92
Nutritional and sensory evaluation of gluten free chapatti developed using underutilised food	93-98
sources AYUSHI JOSHI, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, ANURADHA DUTTA, ANIL KUMAR and NAVIN CHANDRA SHAHI	
Nutrient-enriched wheat <i>chapatti</i> with fresh pea shells (<i>Pisum sativum l.</i>): A comprehensive quality assessment AMITA BENIWAL, SAVITA, VEENU SANGWAN and DARSHAN PUNIA	99-109

Pearl Millet-Based Pasta and Noodles Incorporated with <i>Jamun</i> Seed Powder: Quality Analysis SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	110-121
Unlocking the biofortification potential of <i>Serratia marcescens</i> for enhanced zinc and iron content in wheat grains BHARTI KUKRETI and AJAY VEER SINGH	122-131
Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of sun-dried leaves and fruits of wild <i>Pyracantha</i> <i>crenulata</i> (D. Don) M. Roem. SUGANDHA PANT, PREETI CHATURVEDI, AAKANSHA VERMA, MANDEEP RAWAT, VAISHNAVI RAJWAR and KAVITA NEGI	132-141
Studies on productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH and R.S. BARWAL	142-150
Studies on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH, R.S. BARWAL and MANITA DANGI	151-157
Principal component analysis in production and reproduction traits of Frieswal cattle under field progeny testing OLYMPICA SARMA, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH, D. KUMAR, C. B. SINGH, A. K. GHOSH, B. N. SHAHI and S. K. SINGH	158-163
Degenerative renal pathology in swine: A comprehensive histopathological investigation in Rajasthan, India SHOBHA BURDAK, INDU VYAS, HEMANT DADHICH, MANISHA MATHUR, SHESH ASOPA, RENU	164-169
Evaluation of histopathological changes on acute exposure of profenofos in Swiss albino mice SONU DEVI, VINOD KUMAR, PREETI BAGRI and DEEPIKA LATHER	170-177
Temporal and spatial performance of rapeseed and mustard oilseed in India: A study in the context of Technology Mission on Oilseeds! LEKHA KALRA and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	178-190
Comparative economics of maize cultivation in major and minor maize producing districts of Karnataka – a study across farm size groups GEETHA, R. S. and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	191-203
A study on Usefulness of Participatory Newsletter for Potato growers in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand RAMESH NAUTIYAL and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	204209
Training Needs of Hortipreneurs in Value Addition and fruit crop production in Kumaon Hills of Uttarakhand KRITIKA PANT and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	210-215
Post-training Knowledge Assessment of the rural women about Mushroom Cultivation under TSP project, funded by ICAR ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL, S. K. MISHRAand OMVEER SINGH	216-220
UAV Technology: Applications, economical reliance and feasibility in Indian Agriculture A. AJAY and S. SAI MOHAN	221-229

Suitability of Quinoa Grains (*Chenopodium Quinoa Willd.*) for development of Low Glycemic Index Biscuits

RUSHDA ANAM MALIK*, SARITA SRIVASTAVA and MEENAL

Department of Food Science and Nutrition, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar-263145(U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand) *Corresponding author's email id: anam.malik02@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: The super grain quinoa has high protein content with a balanced amino acid profile, as well as high fiber content, essential fatty acids, vitamins, and minerals. Studies suggest that it can help diabetic people in managing their blood glucose levels and may prevent other diseases. The goal of the current study was to examine the physical, functional, and nutritional quality of quinoa and to elucidate how quinoa-incorporated biscuits affected blood sugar levels. The physical properties of quinoa grains showed that thousand kernel weight, thousand kernel volume and hydration capacity were 2.433g, 2.46 ml and 0.012 g, respectively. Pericarp color of quinoa grain was pale yellow and bulk density of quinoa grains was 0.750 g/cc. The functional properties of quinoa flour revealed that water absorption capacity, fat absorption capacity, emulsifying capacity and emulsion stability were 136 %, 43 %, 76 % and 40%, respectively. According to the proximate composition of quinoa flour, its moisture, total ash, crude protein, crude fat, crude fiber, carbohydrate and physiological energy values were 10.28%, 2.19%, 13.52%, 5.25%, 3.44%, and was 362 kcal/100g. Biscuits incorporating quinoa were found to contain 4.75% of moisture, 2.33% of total ash, 8.51% of crude protein, 3.12% of crude fat, and 21.3% of crude fiber, 59.9% of carbohydrate and 465 kcal/100g of physiological energy. The corresponding values for control biscuits made with refined wheat flour were 4.32%, 1.24%, 5.86%, 22.1%, 1.2%, 65.28%, and 482 kcal/100g respectively. Using refined wheat flour, the glycemic index of the biscuits was 61.7, whereas using quinoa, it was 46.1. Low glycemic index of formulated biscuits indicates its suitability in prevention and control of diabetes.

Key words: Diabetes, glycemic index, low GI biscuits, quinoa

Presently, world is facing two types of nutritional problems viz. nutrient deficiency diseases and degenerative diseases. Protein energy malnutrition and degenerative illnesses such as diabetes, cardiovascular diseases, cancer, arthritis, metabolic syndrome, and high blood pressure are a dual burden in India. Chronic degenerative diseases account for more than 71% of all fatalities in India (WHO, 2021). Cardiovascular disorders account for 34.3% of all fatalities as the leading causes of death in India followed by chronic respiratory disease (22%), cancer (12%), and diabetes (3%)(Arokiasamy, 2018). Diabetes is a serious health issue that is linked to a number of other degenerative conditions. In India, it is a significant cause of illness and mortality. Type 2 diabetes is becoming more common. Currently, there are 422 million diabetic patients in the world and 74 million people are living with diabetes in India (WHO, 2021). The health risk has doubled as a result of increased consumption of refined grains. This is related to an increased risk of insulin resistance as well as metabolic syndrome symptoms including low HDL cholesterol levels (Hazard *et al.*, 2020).Consumption of whole grains, high fibre foods and low GI diets has protective action against all these illnesses(Slavin, 2004).

The concept of measuring the blood glucose response to the consumption of foods high in carbohydrates is known as the glycemic index (GI). This idea was initially presented as a ranking scheme for carbohydrates based on how they would immediately affect blood glucose levels (Jenkins *et al.*, 1981)and further GI was developed for diabetics as a dietary selection guide (Jenkins *et al.*, 1983). Due to the lower glycemic response after consumption compared to high GI foods, lower GI foods were thought to be beneficial. Later on, various studies on low glycemic index diets showed that these foods have multiple favourable effects other than lowering of blood glucose. Studies have demonstrated that low glycemic index diets have

favourable effect on weight management(Van Dam and Seidell, 2007), reduction in circulatory triglyceride levels specially raising HDL cholesteroland improvement of blood pressure (Ford and Liu, 2001). Therefore, it has been suggested that a low-glycemic index diet will likewise have a positive impact on fibrinolytic activity (Jarvi *et al.*, 1999).

Quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) is an ancient grain crop which comes under Chenopodiaceae family. It is an incredibly nourishing food crop. Quinoa grains contain 77.6 % carbohydrate, 6.5 % fat and 13.8%- 16.5 % protein (USDA, 2015). Because it contains all the essential amino acids for humans, quinoa proteins are regarded as high-quality proteins (Maradini et al., 2015). Quinoa also has a balanced high lysine and methionine content. Both dietary fiber and omega-3 fatty acids are abundant in it (Ando et al., 2002). Compared to other cereals, quinoa has much greater levels of potassium, calcium, magnesium, phosphorus, and iron (Konishi et al., 2004). Higher intake of fibre and protein are two important dietary factors required for blood sugar regulation. Quinoa contains diverse range of anti-inflammatory nutrients which makes it suitable for reducing the risk of diabetes. Quinoa is capable of lowering cholesterol level and also helps to maintain HDL cholesterol levels(Farinazzi-Machado et al., 2012). The anti-inflammatory nutrients of quinoa have the ability to protect human blood vessels from inflammation(Yao et al., 2014). Such kind of defence provides reduced risk of many CVDs, including atherosclerosis. The antiinflammatory and antioxidant phytochemicals of quinoa are also helpful in lowering the risk of cancer in humans(Pasko et al., 2010). Other important benefits of quinoa involve decreased risk of allergy especially for individuals allergic to gluten(Zevallos et al., 2014). Several compounds with nutraceutical benefits are also found in quinoa such as polyphenols, flavonoids, phytosterols and high quality lipids(Navruz-Varli and Sanlier, 2016).

In developing countries like Asia and Africa, quinoa possesses potential ability to provide high nutrition under drought conditions. In India rapid urbanization and industrialization has lead to increased consumption of ready to eat foods. Biscuits are one of such items. The major drawback with variety of biscuits is that they are made up of refined wheat flour thus, contain very low amount of dietary fibre, minerals and increase the load of fat and sugar in the body. Quinoa can very well be utilized in formulation of biscuits.Therefore, this study was conducted to formulate low GI biscuits with quinoa flour and to see its effect on blood glucose response on young healthy subjects.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Raw materials collection and preparation

Quinoa grains (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd.*)were purchased from Organic India Pvt. Ltd, Lucknow, Uttar Pradesh, India. Gluten was purchased from the Rich Cream Pvt. Ltd. Kashipur, Uttarakhand, India. Guar gum powder was purchased from the Ganpati Global Pvt. Ltd. Jaipur, Rajasthan, India. Soy lecithin was purchased from the Urban Platter Gourmet Grocery Store Mumbai, Maharashtra, India while other ingredients were obtained from Pantnagar's (Uttarakhand) local market.

Physical properties of quinoa grains

Physical characteristics of raw quinoa grains like thousand kernel weight, thousand kernel volume and hydration capacity were analyzed as per the method of Williams *et al.* (1983). Bulk density was measured as per the method given by Narain *et al.* (1978) and pericarp color was determined using Munsell Soil Colour Chart (Munsell, 1954).

Preparation of quinoa flour

In order to get rid of dirt, stones, and other contaminants, quinoa grains were cleaned. Vivek Mandua Thresher cum Pearler with 1hp motor (VPKAS) was used to dehusk the quinoa grains. To eliminate saponins, the grains were washed by rubbing them under running water until no froth formed. Washed grains were dried in a tray dryer oven for six hours at $50 \pm 2^{\circ}$ C. After drying, the grains were ground (Philips, HL7505) and put through a screen with a mesh size of 60 to achieve a homogeneous particle size. Thereafter, the flour was packaged and kept refrigerated until further usage (Malik et al., 2021).

Functional properties of quinoa flour

Quinoa flour was used to determine functional properties like water absorption and fat absorption capacity (Lin *et al.*, 1974). Emulsifying capacity and emulsion stability were also analyzed as per the method given by Yasumatsu *et al.* (1972).

Preparation of low glycemic index biscuits

Biscuits were formulated as per the method mentioned by Malik *et al.* (2021)(Figure 1). The incorporation of quinoa flour was 50% in the formulated biscuits. Refined wheat flour (100%) biscuits were also prepared for the comparison.



Fig. 1: Flow chart for preparation of quinoa flour incorporated biscuits

Nutritional quality evaluation

The proximate composition of quinoa flour as well as quinoa flour incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits (control biscuits) were analyzed. Moisture, ash, protein, crude fiber, and fat content were assessed using the procedures recommended by the AOAC (1995). Carbohydrate content was determined by difference method. By multiplying the percentages of protein, fat, and carbohydrates in the sample by 4, 9, and 4 correspondingly, the physiological energy value was determined.

Evaluation of glycemic index

From the university's girls hostel, ten healthy subjects between the ages of 22 and 25 who had a

body mass index between 19.43 and 22.16 kg/m² and were free of any illnesses were randomly chosen. Each subject was given a written explanation of the study's objective and written consent was taken from each participant. Throughout the study time, the subjects were instructed to refrain from any physical activity, take any medications, fasting and feasting. As all the subjects were sharing same hostel kitchen for food, their dietary pattern was almost same. They were instructed to take their daily diets. Food intake of the subjects was assessed by three day estimated food record method (Gibson, 1990). Besides, the subjects were asked for keeping record, if any additional food item was taken by them. Nutrients from food intake were calculated (Longvah et al., 2017).

The subjects who had fasted overnight underwent the glucose tolerance test (GTT) with a 50 g glucose load. Blood sugar levels were checked at 0, 30, 60, 90, 120, and 150 minutes. Every alternate morning, 83 g of quinoa flour incorporrated biscuits and 76.5 g of biscuits made with refined wheat flour containing 50 g of accessible carbohydrate each were supplied. The participants were instructed to finish their meals in 10 to 15 minutes. The contour TS glucometer was used to perform finger prick blood glucose measurements at predetermined intervals. The glucose-oxidase method was used to measure blood glucose. The respondents were questioned about any side effects they may have had from eating the biscuits. Using the following formula (Wolever, 1990), the incremental area under the blood glucose response curve (iAUBGR) was calculated:

$$\frac{\Delta_0 30t}{2} + \Delta_0 30t + \frac{(\Delta_0 60 - \Delta_0 30)t}{2} + \Delta_0 60t + \frac{(\Delta_0 90 - \Delta_0 60)t}{2} + \Delta_0 90t + \frac{(\Delta_0 120 - \Delta_0 90)t}{2} + \Delta_0 120t + \frac{(\Delta_0 150 - \Delta_0 120)t}{2} + \Delta_0 150t \dots etc$$

where, Δ_0 is change in blood sugar in mmol/l from the starting point to 30, 60, 90, 120, 150, and so forth.

The time interval between blood samples is denoted by t.

The formula provided by Wolever (1990) was used to compute the glycemic index of food items.

 $GI = \frac{Incremental a reaunder bloodglucos eresponse curve for food products}{Corresponding area a fter equicar bohydrate portion of glucos e} \times 100$

The GI value of each type of biscuit was determined using the average GI of 10 replicates.

Statistical analysis

For nutritional quality, physical properties, and functional properties, all results are shown as mean and SD (standard deviation) of three replicates. To determine the significant difference between the glycemic index of the quinoa incorporated biscuits and the control refined wheat flour biscuits, a oneway ANOVA was utilized.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Physical properties of quinoa grains

Determination of physical properties of grains helps in identifying varietal differences of the same species and differences with other food grains as well. Genetic and environmental conditions are the two major factors which largely affect the physical properties of grains (Hadjichristodoulou, 1990).Results pertaining to physical properties of quinoa grains have been presented in Table 1.

In this study the color of quinoa grains was pale yellow. According to James (2009), quinoa grains are often a light yellow tint, yet they can also be pink or black. The color of quinoa grains can vary

 Table 1: Physical properties of quinoa grains

Physical properties	Mean±S.D.
Thousand kernel weight (g)	2.43 ± 0.015
Thousand kernel volume (ml)	2.46 ± 0.057
Hydration capacity (ml)	0.012 ± 0.004
Pericarp color	Pale yellow
Bulk density (g/cc)	0.75 ± 0.009

*All results are mean± standard deviation of three values

 Table 2: Proximate composition of quinoa flour

	Quinoa flour
Moisture (%)	10.28 ± 0.01
Crude protein (%)	13.52 ± 0.07
Crude fat (%)	5.25 ± 0.04 5
Crude fibre (%)	3.44 ± 0.02
Total ash (%)	2.19 ± 0.01
Carbohydrate (%)	65.33±0.11
Physiological energy (Kcal/100g)	362±0.03

*All results are mean± standard deviation of three values

from white to beige to gold to even red depending on variety, environmental factors, and soil type (Vega-Galvez *et al.*, 2010).

Thousand kernel weight (W_{1000}) measured for quinoa grains was 2.43g. According to Vilche *et al.* (2003), W_{1000} values ranged from 2.5 to 3.11 g. Beniwal *et al.*(2019) also reported the similar value of 2.57g. However, slightly higher value of 4.13g was reported by Contreras-Jimenez *et al.* (2019) in their study. W_{1000} is associated with the endosperm content of the grain. Other factors affecting the W_{1000} are variety, size and moisture content of grain (Beniwal *et al.*, 2019).

Thousand kernel volume of quinoa grains was evaluated as 2.46 ml. Similar values ranging from 1.63 to 2.87 ml were reported by Altuntas *et al.* (2018). Slightly higher 1000 kernel volume of 2.86 ml was reported by Sindhu *et al.* (2019)which is also higher than the another pseudo cereal amaranth.

Bulk density of quinoa grains in the present study was 0.75 g/cc which was equivalent to the value (0.76g/cc) reported by Sindhu *et al.* (2019).Vilche *et al.*(2003) also observed bulk density of quinoa seeds ranging from 0.66 to 0.74g/cc, depending on the varying moisture content (4.6–25.8%). Bulk density of food grains affects various aspects like storage, packaging, transportation thus ultimately affecting cost involved in the whole post harvest process.

Functional properties of quinoa flour

The functional properties of flour are crucial in the production of food products. The functional properties of flour play important role in the manufacturing of products. Functional properties greatly affect textural quality, sensory properties and storage life of final food product. Quinoa flour's water absorption capacity (WAC) in the current investigation was 136%; this value is higher than that reported by Beniwal *et al.* (2019) but lower than that for amaranth flour (153%). However, higher WAC value of 147% was reported by Ogungbenle (2003). WAC depends on the availability of hydrophilic groups which bind water molecules (Kulkarni *et al.*, 2002). There are various factors that

79 Pantnagar Journal of Research

Proximate composition	Control refined wheat flour biscuits	Quinoa flour incorporated biscuits	S.Em.	Em. CD at 5%	
Moisture (%)	4.32±0.27	4.75±0.18	0.29	0.10	
Total ash (%)	1.24 ± 0.18	2.33±0.25	0.25	0.85	
Crude protein (%)	5.86±0.26	8.51±0.17	0.26	0.89	
Crude fat (%)	22.1±0.17	21.3±0.32	0.81	0.28	
Crude fibre (%)	1.2±0.43	3.12±0.19	0.35	0.12	
Carbohydrates(by difference) (%)	65.28±0.18	59.9±0.16	0.32	1.12	
Energy (kcal/100g)	482±4.33	465±3.76	0.38	1.31	

Table 3: Proximate composition of refined wheat flour biscuits and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits

*All results are mean± standard deviation of three values

Table 4: Blood glucose levels at different time intervals for refined wheat flour biscuits and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits against glucose

Time intervals (Minutes)	Glucose (mg/dl)	Refined wheat flour biscuits (mg/dl)	Quinoa flour incorporated biscuits (mg/dl)
0	86.3±1.24	87.87±1.43	80.1±2.62
30	141.3 ± 3.42	114.86.1±3.24	95.4±3.77
60	134±6.31	124.8±4.63	118±6.34
90	114.8±5.73	100.37±5.68	94.2±9.54
120	94.5±3.57	96.87±3.45	85.2±3.68
150	88.54±2.52	91.7±3.61	82.5±1.84

*All results are mean± standard deviation of ten values

Table 5: Glycemic Index of refined wheat flour biscuits and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits

Food Product	Glycemic index (mean+SD)
Refined wheat flour biscuits	61.7±3.89
Quinoa flour incorporated biscuit	46.13±5.66
S.Em.	0.825
CD at 5 %	2.453

*All results are mean± standard deviation of ten values *S.Em-standard error of mean, C.D- critical difference



incorporated biscuits

Fig. 2:Blood glucose response curve for refined wheat flour biscuits and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits against glucose

affect WAC of flour like; particle size, fibre content, protein content and amount of damaged starch in the flour. Fat absorption capacity of quinoa flour was slightly lower (43%) then the value of 46% reported by Ogungbenle (2003) and Oshodi *et al.* (1999). Oil absorption capacity is one of the most imperative aspects in food preparation since it imparts taste, flavor, mouth feel and enhances the palatability of the food products. Emulsifying capacity of quinoa flour was found as 76 % with an emulsion stability reported by Ogungbenle *et al.*(2009) were 104% and 45%, respectively.

Proximate composition of quinoa flour

Proximate composition of quinoa flour has been presented in Table 2. The moisture content was determined to be 10.28% in quinoa flour, which is lower than the value of 11.20 % in quinoa flour reported by Ogungbenle(2003). Repo-Carrasco and Serna (2011) in theirstudy reported moisture content in the range of 10.78-12.62% in quinoa flour. Stikic *et al.*(2012) also observed similar values of 10.87 % and 10.08 % in whole and dehulled quinoa seeds, respectively. Crude protein content analyzed in the current study was

similar to the protein content (13.83%) observed by Rosell *et al.*(2009)but higher than the reported value of 11.32% (Collar and Angioloni, 2014)in quinoa flour. However, higher protein values of 16.7% and 17.41% were reported by Wright *et al.* (2002) and Stikic *et al.* (2012) in whole quinoa seeds, respectively which may be suggestive of protein loss during milling and sieving process.

Crude fat content of 5.25 % was observed in quinoa flour. Average oil content of quinoa is around 6 % as reported by USDA (2005). Rizzello *et al.*(2016) also reported values of 6.0% and 6.1% in quinoa flour and seeds, respectively. Ruales and Nair(1993)observed the fat content of 9.7 % in raw quinoa seeds. Ogungbenle(2003)reported the fat content of 6.3 % in quinoa flour. However, a lower value of 3.44% was reported by Collar and Angioloni(2014) in quinoa flour.

Quinoa flour showed the crude fibre content of 3.44 % which is in the range of 2.5-3.9 % reported by Valencia-Chamorro (2003).According to Stikic *et al.* (2012), whole grains of quinoa contain 10.32% crude fiber, while dehulled grains have 6.80% crude fiber. According to Villa *et al.* (2014), quinoa has 2.2% crude fiber. As compared to other reports a very high crude fibre content of 9.5 % was observed by Ogungbenle(2003) in quinoa flour.

Regarding ash content various reports were in accordance with the ash content observed in the current study ranging from 2.20% to 2.82% (Rizzello *et al.*, 2016; Wang *et al.*, 2015; Iglesias-Puig *et al.*, 2015; Axel *et al.*, 2015).

The carbohydrate content in quinoa flour was 65.33%. Prego *et al.*(1998) reported that carbohydrate content varied from 67 to 74 % in quinoa. Ogungbenle(2003)reported 58.3 % carbohydrate in quinoa flour. Villa *et al.*(2014), Schoenlechner *et al.*(2008)reported carbohydrate values of 55.3%, 64.2% and 69%, respectively in quinoa seeds.

Quinoa flour was shown to have 362 kcal of physiological energy per 100g, compared to 368 kcal

of energy per 100g given by the USDA (2015).

Proximate composition of quinoa flour incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits

The proximate composition of quinoa flour incorporated biscuits and refined wheat flour biscuits are given in Table 3.In comparison to control biscuits, which had proximate composition of 4.32%, 5.86%, 22.1%, 1.24%, 1.2%, and 65.28% for moisture, crude protein, crude fat, total ash, crude fiber, and carbohydrates, quinoa flour incorporated biscuits had moisture (4.75%), crude protein (8.51%), crude fat (21.3%), total ash (2.33%), crude fiber (3.12), and carbohydrates (59.9%)(Malik *et al.*, 2021).

Glycemic Index

The subjects consumed an average of 296.43g of carbohydrates, 45.23g of protein, 39.45g of fat, and 1717 kcal per 100g, respectively. The blood glucose response to the ingestion of foods containing carbohydrates in proportion to glucose is described by the glycemic index. Table 4 displays the increase in blood sugar levels following consumption of glucose, control biscuits and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits. After consuming glucose, the blood glucose level peaked after 30 minutes, whereas it took 60 minutes for the blood glucose level to peak after consuming control and quinoa biscuits (Figure 2). Area under blood glucose response curve was found to be significantly higher for glucose (5820 mg min/100ml), followed by refined wheat flour biscuits (3590 mg min/100 ml) and quinoa flour incorporated biscuits (2685 mg min/100ml). The glycemic index of biscuits made with refined wheat flour (61.7) and quinoa flour incorporated (46.13) differed significantly (Table 5). Quinoa has a GI that varies from 35 to 53 depending on the cooking method as well as cooking duration (Atkinson et al., 2008). The inclusion of guar gum to the biscuits is another factor in the low glycemic index of quinoa biscuits. Guar gum's ability to make gels from soluble fibers lowers blood glucose levels. As a result of the prolonged stomach emptying, it increases satiety. Guar gum-supplemented diets reduce hunger, appetite, and the desire to consume (Butt et al.,

2007). Guar gum greatly slows down starch digestion, according to an in vitro study. It serves as a barrier between starch and the enzymes that break down starch (Dartois et al., 2010). Low GI foods include beans, nuts, dairy products, and pasta. Intermediate GI foods include muesli and some breads, and high GI foods include white wheat bread (>70)(Brand-Miller et al., 2008). Low glycemic index foods are those with a glycemic index of less than 55 (Brand-Miller and Foster-Powell, 1999). Foods with a low glycemic index are beneficial for preventing obesity, cardiac disease, and hypertension (Radulian et al., 2009). A low GI diet might also improve thrombolytic performance. Twenty people with type 2 diabetes were shown to have a 53% lower activity of plasminogen activator inhibitor-1, a thrombolytic factor that increases clot and plaque formation, after 24 days on a low GI diet compared to a high GI diet (Jarvi et al., 1999). In contrast to refined wheat flour biscuits, which fall under the category of intermediate glycemic index foods,

CONCLUSION

The present study provides evidence to support good nutritional quality, physical and functional properties of quinoa flour. Low glycemic index of formulated biscuits indicate its suitability in prevention and control of various degenerative diseases specially diabetes. Additional research is required to assess the long-term effects of quinoa biscuit consumption on patients with diabetes and cardiovascular disease's blood lipid profiles and glycosylated hemoglobin. Low GI diets have been demonstrated to reduce free fatty acids, enhance lipid profiles, improve glucose levels, and prevent weight gain by assisting in controlling appetite by delaying gastric emptying. These eating plans help lower insulin resistance and the risk of lifestyle diseases like cardiovascular disease, diabetes, and several types of cancer.

quinoa flour incorporated biscuits fall under the

category of low glycemic index foods.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the Department of Food Science

and Nutrition, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India for helping in conducting this research.

REFERENCES

- Altuntas, E. A., Naneli, I. and Sakin, M. A. (2018). Some Selected Engineering Properties of Seven Genotypes in Quinoa Seeds. *Advances in Agricultural Science*, 6: 36-49.
- Ando, H., Chen, Y., Tang, H., Shimizu, M., Watanabe, K.and Mitsunga, T. (2002). Food components in fractions of quinoa seed. *Food Science and Technology Research*, 8:80-84.
- AOAC, Official Methods of Analysis of the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (1995). Hortnitz, W. ed Washington D.C., Association of Official Analytical Chemists.
- Arokiasamy, P. (2018). India's escalating burden of non- communicable diseases. *Lancet Global Health*, 6, 1262-1263.
- Atkinson, F. S., Foster-Powell, K.and Brand-Miller, J. C. (2008). International tables of glycemic index and glycemic load values. *Diabetes Care*, 31: 2281-2283.
- Axel, C., Rocker, B., Brosnan, E., Zannini, E., Furey,
 A., Coffey, A.and Arendt, E. K. (2015).
 Application of *Lactobacillus amylovorus*DSM19280 in gluten-free sourdough bread to improve the microbial shelf life. *Food Microbiology*,47: 36-44.
- Beniwal, S.K., Devi, A. and Sindhu, R.(2019). Effect of grain processing on nutritional and pysico- chemical, functional properties of amaranth and quinoa flours. *Indian Journal* of Traditional Knowledge, 18: 500-507.
- Brand-Miller, J. C., Stockman, K., Atkinson, F., Petocz, P. and Denyer, G. (2008). Glycemic index, postprandial glycemia, and the shape of the curve in healthy subjects: analysis of a database of more than 1000 foods. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 89: 97-105.
- Brand-Miller, J. and Foster-Powell, K. (1999). Diets with a low glycemic index: From theory to

practice. Nutrition Today, 34: 64-72.

- Butt, M. S., Shahzadi, N., Sharif, M. K.and Nasir, M. (2007). Guar gum: a miracle therapy for hypercholesterolemia, hyperglycemia and obesity. *Critical Reviews in Food Science* and Nutrition, 47: 389-396.
- Collar, C.and Angioloni, A. (2014). Pseudocereals and teff in complex bread making matrices, Impact on lipid dynamics. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 59: 145–154.
- Contreras-Jimenez, B., Torres-Vargas, O. L.and Rodriguez-Garcia, M. E. (2019). Physicochemical characterization of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) flour and isolated starch, *Food Chemistry*, 298: 124982.
- Dartois, A., Singh, J., Kaur, L. and Singh, H. (2010). Influence of guar gum on the In-vitro starch digestibility- rheological and microstructural characteristics. *Food Biophysics*, 5: 149-160.
- Farinazzi-Machado, F. M. V., Barbalho, S. M., Oshiiwa, M., Goulart, R.and Pessan Junior, O. (2012). Use of cereal bars with quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa W.*) to reduce risk factors related to cardiovascular diseases. *Ciencia y tecnología de alimentos*, 32: 239-244.
- Ford, E. S. and Liu, S. (2001). Glycemic index and serum high-density lipoprotein cholesterol concentration among US adults. *Archives of General Internal Medicine*, 161: 572-576.
- Gibson, R.S. (1990). Food consumption of individuals. Principles of Nutritional Assessment. In: Gibson, R.S.(Ed.) Oxford University Press, Oxford,Pp. 37-54.
- Hadjichristodoulou, A. (1990). Stability of 1000grain weight and its relation with other traits of barley in dry areas. *Euphytica*, 51: 11– 17.
- Hazard, B., Trafford, K., Lovegrove, K., A., Griffith,
 S., Uauy, C. and Shewry, P. (2020).
 Strategies to improve wheat for human health. *National Food*, 1: 475–480.
- Iglesias-Puig, E., Monedero, V. and Haros, M. (2015). Bread with whole quinoa flour and bifidobacterial phytases increases dietary mineral intake and bioavailability. *Food*

Science and Technology, 60: 71-77.

- James, L. E. A. (2009). Quinoa (*Chenopodium* quinoa Willd.): Composition, chemistry, nutritional and functional properties. Advances in Food and Nutrition, 58: 1-31.
- Jarvi, A. E., Karlstrom, B. E., Granfeldt, Y.E., Bjorck, I. E., Asp, N. G. and Vessby, B. O. (1999). Improved glycemic control and lipid profile and normalized fibrinolytic activity on a low-glycemic index diet in type 2 diabetes patients. *Diabetes Care*, 22: 10-18.
- Jenkins, D. J., Wolever, T. M., Taylor, R. H., Barker, H., Fielden, H., Baldwin, J. M.andBowling, A. C. (1981). Glycemic index of foods: a physiological basis for carbohydrate exchange. *American Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 34: 362-366.
- Jenkins, D., Wolever, T., Jenkins, A. L., Thorne, M. J., Lee, R., Kalmusky, J. and Reichert, R. (1983). The glycemic index of foods tested in diabetic patients: a new basis for carbohydrate exchange favouring the use of legumes. *Diabetologia*, 24: 257-264.
- Konishi, Y., Hirano, S., Tsuboi, H. and Wada, M. (2004). Distribution of minerals in quinoa (Chenopodium quinoa Willd.) seeds. Bioscience, Biotechnology and Biochemistry, 68: 231-234.
- Kulkarni, J. E., Kumar, K. and Singh, J. (2002). Functional properties of proteins in foods: A survey. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*,5:219-224.
- Lin, M. J. Y., Humbert, E. S. and Sosulski, F.W. (1974). Certain functional properties of sunflower meal products. *Journal of Food Science*, 39:368-370.
- Longvah, T. Ananthan, R., Bhaskarachary, K. and Venkaiah, K. (2017).Indian Food Composition Tables. National Institute of Nutrition, Indian Council of Medical Research Telangana, India.
- Malik, R. A., Srivastava, S. and Shahi, N. C. (2021). Formulation of quinoa incorporated proteinrich biscuits and numerical optimization of its process parameters. *Journal of Food Processing and Preservation*, 46: 1-9.
- Maradini Filho, A. M., Pirozi, M. R., Da Silva

Borges, J. T., Pinheiro Sant'Ana, H. M., Paes Chaves, J. B. and Dos Reis Coimbra, J. S. (2015). Quinoa: nutritional, functional and antinutritional aspects. *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 57: 1618-1630.

- Munsell Soil Color Charts. (1954). M Color. Baltimore, Maryland.
- Narain, M., Siripurapeu, S. C. B., Jha, M. and Devidi, V. K. (1978). Physicochemical properties of rice bran. *Journal of Food Science and Technology*, 15: 18-19.
- Navruz-Varli, S. and Sanlier. N. (2016). Nutritional and health benefits of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd*.). *Journal of Cereal Science*, 69: 371-376.
- Ogungbenle, H. (2003). Nutritional evaluation and functional properties of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) flour. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*,54: 153-158.
- Ogungbenle, H., Oshodi, A. and Oladimeji, M. (2009). The proximate and effect of salt applications on some functional properties of benniseed, pearl millet and quinoa flours. *Pakistan Journal of Nutrition*, 8: 49-52.
- Oshodi, A., Ogungbenle, H. and Oladimej, M. (1999). Chemical composition, nutritionally valuable minerals and functional properties of benniseed, pearl millet and quinoa flours. *International Journal of Food Science and Nutrition*, 50, 325-331.
- Pasko, P., Barton, H., Zagrodzki, P., Izewska, A. and Krosniak, M., Gawlik, M. (2010). Effect of diet supplemented with quinoa seeds on oxidative status in plasma and selected tissues of high fructose-fed rats. *Plant Foods in Human Nutrition*, 65, 146-151.
- Prego, L., Maldonado, S. and Otegu, M. I. (1998). Seed structure and localization of reserves in *Chenopodium quinoa. Annals of Botany*, 82, 481-488.
- Radulian, G., Rusu, E., Dragomir, A. and Posea, M. (2009). Metabolic effects of low glycemic index diets. *Nutrition Jounal*, 8: 5.
- Repo-Carrasco, R. and Serna, L. A. (2011). Quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa, Willd.*) as a source

of dietary fiber and other functional components. *Food Science and Technology* (*Campinas*), 31: 225-230.

- Rizzello, C. G., Lorusso, A., Montemurro, M. and Gobbetti, M. (2016). Use of sourdough made with quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa*) flour and autochthonous selected lactic acid bacteria for enhancing the nutritional, textural and sensory features of white bread. *Food Microbiology*, 56: 1-13.
- Rosell, C. M., Cortez, G. and Repo Carrasco, R. (2009). Bread making use of andean crops quinoa, kañiwa, kiwicha and tarwi. *Cereal Chemistry*,86: 386-392.
- Ruales, J. and Nair, B. M. (1993). Content of fat, vitamins and minerals in quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa, Willd*) seeds. *Food Chemistry*, 48: 131-136.
- Schoenlechner, R., Siebenhandl, S. and Berghofer, E. (2008). Pseudocereals: Gluten-free cereal products and beverages. In E. K. Arendt, & F. Dal Bello (Eds.), London: Academic Press: 149-176.
- Sindhu, R., Beniwal, S. K. and Devi, A. (2019). Effect of grain processing on nutritional and physico-chemical, functional and pasting properties of amaranth and quinoa flours. *Indian Journal of Traditional Knowledge*, 18:500-507.
- Slavin, J. (2004). Whole grains and human health. *Nutrition Research Reviews*, 17: 99-110.
- Stikic, R., Glamoclija, D. and Demin, M. (2012). Agronomical and nutritional evaluation of quinoa seeds (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd.*) as an ingredient in bread formulations. *Journal of Cereal Science*, 55: 132–138.
- USDA (2005). United States Department of Agriculture. National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference Release, 18 (Basic Reports).
- USDA (2015). United States Department of Agriculture, National Nutrient Database for Standard Reference Release, 28 (Basic Reports).
- Valencia-Chamorro, S. A. (2003). Quinoa. In: Caballero B.: Encyclopedia of Food Science and Nutrition, Academic Press, Amsterdam,

8:4895-4902.

- Van Dam, R. M. and Seidell, J. C. (2007). Carbohydrate intake and Obesity. *European Journal of Clinical Nutrition*, 61: S75-S99.
- Vega-Galvez, A., Miranda, M., Vergara, J., Uribe, E., Puente, L. and Martínez, E. A. (2010). Nutrition facts and functional potential of quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa willd*), an ancient Andean grain: A review. Journal of Food Science and Agriculture, 90: 2541– 2547.
- Vilche, C., Gely, M. and Santalla, E. (2003). Physical properties of quinoa seeds. *Biosystems Engineering*, 86:59–65.
- Villa, D. Y. G., Russo, L., Kerbab, K., Landi, M. and Astrelli, L. (2014). Chemical and nutritional characterization of *Chenopodium pallidicaule*(cañihua) and *Chenopodium quinoa*(quinoa) seeds. *Emirates Journal of Food and Agriculture*, 26: 609-615.
- Wang, S., Opassathavorn, A. and Zhu, F. (2015). Influence of Quinoa Flour on Quality Characteristics of Cookie, Bread and Chinese Steamed Bread. *Journal of Texture Studies*, 46: 281-292.
- WHO (2021). Non-communicable diseases country profiles. World Health Organization, Geneva.
- Williams, P. C., Nakoul, H. and Singh, K. B. (1983).

Relationship between cooking time and some physical characteristics in chickpeas (*Cicer arietinum L.*).Journal of Food Science and Agriculture, 34: 492-496.

- Wolever, T. M. S. (1990). The glycemic index. *World Review in Nutrition and Dietetics*, 62:120-185.
- Wright, K. H., Pike, O. A., Fairbanks, D. J. and Huber, S. C. (2002). Composition of Atriplex hortensis, sweet and bitter Chenopodium quinoaseeds. Food and Chemical Toxicology,67: 1383–1385.
- Yao, Y., Shi, Z. and Ren, G. (2014). Antioxidant and immunoregulatory activity of polysaccharides from quinoa (*Chenopodium* quinoa Willd.). International Journal of Molecular Science, 15:19307-19318.
- Yasumatsu, K., Sawada, K., Moritaka, S., Misaki, M. and Toda, J. (1972). Whipping and emulsifying properties of soybean product. *Agricultural and Biological Chemistry*, 36: 719-727.
- Zevallos, V. F., Herencia, L. I., Chang, F., Donnelly, S., Ellis, H. J. and Ciclitira, P. J. (2014). Gastrointestinal effects of eating quinoa (*Chenopodium quinoa Willd.*) in celiac patients. *American Journal of Gastroenterology*, 109:270-278.

Received: January 13, 2024 Accepted: March 28, 2024