

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 22(1) January-April 2024]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar



ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIALBOARD

Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India

Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia, Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.

Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

Editor-in-Chief

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Managing Editor

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

CONTENTS

Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of sweet corn (<i>Zea mays</i> L. var. <i>saccharata</i>) under different planting geometry and NPK levels	1-7
AMIT BHATNAGAR, SAILESH DEB KARJEE, GURVINDER SINGH and DINESH KUMAR SINGH	
Integrated effect of natural farming concoctions and organic farming practices with various NPK doses on quality of bread wheat	8-13
PRERNA NEGI, MOINUDDIN CHISTI and HIMANSHU VERMA	
Characterization and fertility capability classification of some soils in the rain forest zone of Edo state, Nigeria	14-25
OKUNSEBOR, F.E., OGBEMUDIA, I. and OKOLIE, S. I.	
Characterization and classification of guava growing soils of North-East Haryana according to frame work of land evaluation (FAO, 1993)	26-35
DHARAM PAL, MANOJ SHARMA, R.S. GARHWAL and DINESH	
Interactive impact of heavy metals and mycorrhizal fungi on growth and yield of pepper (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> Linn.)	36-47
SHARMILA CHAUHAN, MOHINDER SINGH, SNEHA DOBHAL, DEEKSHA SEMWAL and PRAVEEN	
Response of chilli (<i>Capsicum annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i> L.) to different nutrient management practices	48-58
SHEETAL, K.C. SHARMA, SHIVAM SHARMA, NEHA SHARMA, D.R. CHAUDHARY, SANDEEP MANUJA and AKHILESH SHARMA	
Trend detection in weather parameters using Mann-Kendall test for Tarai region of Uttarakhand	59-67
SHUBHIKA GOEL and R.K. SINGH	
Comparative study of antioxidant potential of fresh peel from different citrus species	68-74
TARU NEGI, ANIL KUMAR, ARCHANA GANGWAR, SATISH KUMAR SHARMA, ANURADHA DUTTA, NAVIN CHAND SHAHI, OM PRAKASH and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	
Suitability of Quinoa Grains (<i>Chenopodium Quinoa</i> Willd.) for development of Low Glycemic Index Biscuits	75-84
RUSHDA ANAM MALIK, SARITA SRIVASTAVA and MEENAL	
A study on dietary intake among school-going adolescent girls of Udaipur, Rajasthan during COVID-19	85-92
JYOTI SINGH and NIKITA WADHAWAN	
Nutritional and sensory evaluation of gluten free chapatti developed using underutilised food sources	93-98
AYUSHI JOSHI, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, ANURADHA DUTTA, ANIL KUMAR and NAVIN CHANDRA SHAHI	
Nutrient-enriched wheat chapatti with fresh pea shells (<i>Pisum sativum</i> L.): A comprehensive quality assessment	99-109
AMITA BENIWAL, SAVITA, VEENU SANGWAN and DARSHAN PUNIA	

Pearl Millet-Based Pasta and Noodles Incorporated with <i>Jamun</i> Seed Powder: Quality Analysis SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	110-121
Unlocking the biofortification potential of <i>Serratia marcescens</i> for enhanced zinc and iron content in wheat grains BHARTI KUKRETI and AJAY VEER SINGH	122-131
Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of sun-dried leaves and fruits of wild <i>Pyracantha crenulata</i> (D. Don) M. Roem. SUGANDHA PANT, PREETI CHATURVEDI, AAKANSHA VERMA, MANDEEP RAWAT, VAISHNAVI RAJWAR and KAVITA NEGI	132-141
Studies on productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH and R.S. BARWAL	142-150
Studies on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH, R.S. BARWAL and MANITA DANGI	151-157
Principal component analysis in production and reproduction traits of Frieswal cattle under field progeny testing OLYMPICA SARMA, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH, D. KUMAR, C. B. SINGH, A. K. GHOSH, B. N. SHAHI and S. K. SINGH	158-163
Degenerative renal pathology in swine: A comprehensive histopathological investigation in Rajasthan, India SHOBHA BURDAK, INDU VYAS, HEMANT DADHICH, MANISHA MATHUR, SHESH ASOPA, RENU	164-169
Evaluation of histopathological changes on acute exposure of profenofos in Swiss albino mice SONU DEVI, VINOD KUMAR, PREETI BAGRI and DEEPIKA LATHER	170-177
Temporal and spatial performance of rapeseed and mustard oilseed in India: A study in the context of Technology Mission on Oilseeds¹ LEKHA KALRA and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	178-190
Comparative economics of maize cultivation in major and minor maize producing districts of Karnataka – a study across farm size groups GEETHA, R. S. and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	191-203
A study on Usefulness of Participatory Newsletter for Potato growers in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand RAMESH NAUTIYAL and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	204–209
Training Needs of Hortipreneurs in Value Addition and fruit crop production in Kumaon Hills of Uttarakhand KRITIKA PANT and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	210-215
Post-training Knowledge Assessment of the rural women about Mushroom Cultivation under TSP project, funded by ICAR ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL, S. K. MISHRA and OMVEER SINGH	216-220
UAV Technology: Applications, economical reliance and feasibility in Indian Agriculture A. AJAY and S. SAI MOHAN	221-229

Studies on productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components in crossbred cattle

SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH* and R.S. BARWAL

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263 145 (U. S. Nagar, Uttarakhand)

** Corresponding author's e-mail id: cvsingh2010@gmail.com*

ABSTRACT: Data for the present investigation were collected from a history sheet of crossbred cattle at the Instructional Dairy Farm of G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The data pertained to 1000 crossbred cattle with a total of 3583 calving records from 87 sires distributed over 32 years from 1988 to 2019. The average values for productive herd life, longevity, total calf production, total alive calves born, total female calves born, selective value, and CGR were estimated as 6.58 ± 0.06 years, 9.78 ± 0.06 years, 4.68 ± 0.03 , 4.44 ± 0.03 , 2.16 ± 0.05 , 1.45 ± 0.04 , and 0.72 ± 0.02 , respectively. The impact of the season was observed significant on productive herd life (PHL), longevity, total calf production, and the number of total alive calves born. However, the effect of season was found to be non-significant on the total number of female calves born, selective value, and calf-growth rate (CGR). The period has been found to have a highly significant effect on all the studied traits. The effect of the First Lactation Milk Yield (FLMY) group was found to have a significant impact on all the traits under study. Longevity had the heritability estimate of 0.32, while other traits such as PHL, total calf production, and total alive calves were also shown from lower to moderate heritability estimates. On the other hand, total female calves born, selective value, and CGR had relatively lower heritability estimates. The genetic and phenotypic correlations among productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components ranged from lower to very high. A long productive life of cows increases their life time milk yield and the number of calves born, which has an essential effect on production profitability. Therefore, the optimum lifespan of a cow should be the composite of breeding and economic results. Therefore, the future selection of dairy cattle for productive herd life and longevity breeding will require a fully integrated and balanced breeding model.

Key words: Crossbred cattle, first lactation milk yield, heritability, Life Time Traits, Least Square Means

Since the start of the 21st century, the decreasing average time a cow stays in the herd (as reflected by age at culling) has been of growing concern for dairy industries across the globe. In the absence of human intervention, a dairy cow is biologically capable of a life span of up to 20 years, yet average time in the herd currently ranges between 4.5 and 5.5 years, or 2.5 and 3.5 lactations. Human intervention is often cited as the cause of a reduced length of life due to the seemingly “unnatural” housing and management conditions of some dairy systems and an almost exclusive focus on genetic selection for production-related traits before the 1980s. Extensive research focusing on genetic, environmental, and management factors affecting time in the herd has been conducted in attempts to increase understanding and guide farm profitability. However, the amount of time cows spend in the herd is still much shorter than their biological capability. More efficient production leads to economic,

environmental, and animal welfare benefits and often is associated with a longer productive life, often referred to as longevity. Therefore, the dairy industry has strived to increase longevity. Based on available data, dairy cow longevity, referred to as both herd life (HL; from birth until culling) and length of productive life (LPL; from first calving to culling), has generally decreased, where culling is referred to as a dairy cow leaving the herd regardless of reason, destination, and condition at departure. The aim of this review, therefore, was to explore current understanding regarding longevity, HL or LPL, to identify factors affecting HL and LPL, and to identify knowledge gaps where further understanding is needed.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Data sets and sources

Data for the present investigation were collected

from history sheet of crossbred cattle maintained at Instructional Dairy Farm of G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The data pertained to 976 crossbred cattle from 66 sires were distributed over a period of 32 years from 1988 to 2019. Cows with abnormal and incomplete records were excluded from the study. Only the sires having records on at least 5 daughters were included in the present study. The records of only those animals with known pedigree and normal lactation were considered. The lactation records of less than 150 days were considered as abnormal and were not included in the analysis. The total duration of the present study was divided into 6 periods, out of which first period is of 7 years and rest five periods are of five years each. The first lactation group was divided in 6 groups. Each year was divided into three seasons namely winter (November-February), Summer (March-June), and Rainy (July – October). In order to classify the data for first lactation milk yield group, periods and seasons of calving were considered for all the traits. The traits considered in the present study were productive herd life, longevity, total calf production, total alive calves born, total female calves born, selective

value, and CGR.

Statistical Analysis

As the data in the present study were non-orthogonal in nature with unequal subclass numbers, they were subjected to least squares analysis of variance without interactions using different models to examine the effect of non-genetic factors on productive herd life, longevity, total calf production, total alive calves born, total female calves born, selective value, and CGR as per standard procedures of Harvey (1990). The model was based on the assumption that different components fitting in the model were linear, independent and additive. The non-genetic factors (first lactation milk yield groups, periods and seasons of calving) were taken as fixed effects in the model. Duncan's Multiple Range Test as modified by Kramer (1957) was used to make pairwise comparisons of least squares means for statistical significance. Prior to estimation of genetic parameters, the data were adjusted for each significant effect of the source of variation. The data after adjustment for different significant effects were utilized for estimation of genetic parameters. Paternal half sib correlation method was used to

Table 1: Least-square mean \pm SE and effect of non-genetic factor on longevity, PHL, selective values and its components in crossbred cattle

Source	No. of cows	Longevity (years)	PHL (years)	Total calves produced by each cow	Total alive calves born	Total female calves per cow	Selective value	CGR
Overall	1000	9.78 \pm 0.06	6.58 \pm 0.06	4.68 \pm 0.03	4.44 \pm 0.03	2.16 \pm 0.05	1.45 \pm 0.04	0.72 \pm 0.02
SEASON								
Winter	289	9.99 \pm 0.09 ^a	6.77 \pm 0.09 ^a	4.89 \pm 0.05 ^a	4.54 \pm 0.06	2.14 \pm 0.07	1.49 \pm 0.06	0.75 \pm 0.03
Summer	301	9.42 \pm 0.09 ^b	6.22 \pm 0.09 ^b	4.56 \pm 0.05 ^b	4.34 \pm 0.07	2.11 \pm 0.07	1.39 \pm 0.06	0.69 \pm 0.03
Rainy	410	9.71 \pm 0.08 ^a	6.51 \pm 0.08 ^a	4.63 \pm 0.05 ^{ab}	4.42 \pm 0.05	2.08 \pm 0.06	1.38 \pm 0.05	0.69 \pm 0.03
PERIOD								
1988-1994	228	10.03 \pm 0.12 ^{bc}	6.94 \pm 0.12 ^b	4.89 \pm 0.07 ^{ab}	4.68 \pm 0.07 ^{ab}	2.47 \pm 0.09 ^a	1.69 \pm 0.08 ^a	0.84 \pm 0.04 ^a
1995-1999	169	9.81 \pm 0.12 ^{bc}	6.59 \pm 0.12 ^{bc}	4.64 \pm 0.07 ^c	4.48 \pm 0.07 ^{bc}	2.10 \pm 0.09 ^a	1.49 \pm 0.08 ^a	0.74 \pm 0.04 ^a
2000-2004	164	9.63 \pm 0.12 ^c	6.40 \pm 0.12 ^c	4.69 \pm 0.07 ^{bc}	4.38 \pm 0.08 ^c	2.25 \pm 0.10 ^a	1.51 \pm 0.08 ^a	0.76 \pm 0.04 ^a
2005-2009	176	10.41 \pm 0.12 ^a	7.20 \pm 0.12 ^a	4.04 \pm 0.07 ^a	4.75 \pm 0.07 ^a	2.21 \pm 0.09 ^a	1.44 \pm 0.08 ^a	0.72 \pm 0.04 ^a
2010-2014	161	10.06 \pm 0.12 ^{ab}	6.83 \pm 0.12 ^{ab}	4.95 \pm 0.07 ^a	4.73 \pm 0.08 ^{ab}	2.16 \pm 0.09 ^a	1.44 \pm 0.08 ^a	0.72 \pm 0.04 ^a
2015-2019	102	8.32 \pm 0.15 ^d	5.08 \pm 0.15 ^d	3.82 \pm 0.09 ^d	3.56 \pm 0.10 ^d	1.48 \pm 0.12 ^b	0.95 \pm 0.10 ^b	0.48 \pm 0.05 ^b
FLMY group								
≤ 2400	217	9.49 \pm 0.11 ^{ab}	6.29 \pm 0.11 ^b	4.70 \pm 0.06 ^{ab}	4.41 \pm 0.07 ^{ab}	1.90 \pm 0.09 ^b	1.28 \pm 0.08 ^b	0.64 \pm 0.04 ^b
2401-2900	230	9.57 \pm 0.10 ^{ab}	6.39 \pm 0.11 ^a	4.80 \pm 0.06 ^a	4.56 \pm 0.07 ^a	2.11 \pm 0.08 ^{ab}	1.41 \pm 0.07 ^{ab}	0.71 \pm 0.04 ^{ab}
2901-3400	190	9.32 \pm 0.11 ^b	6.12 \pm 0.11 ^b	4.54 \pm 0.07 ^{bc}	4.34 \pm 0.07 ^b	1.96 \pm 0.09 ^b	1.29 \pm 0.08 ^b	0.64 \pm 0.05 ^b
3401-3900	153	9.68 \pm 0.13 ^a	6.46 \pm 0.13 ^a	4.17 \pm 0.07 ^a	4.51 \pm 0.08 ^a	2.34 \pm 0.10 ^a	1.64 \pm 0.09 ^a	0.82 \pm 0.04 ^a
3901-4400	127	10.02 \pm 0.14 ^a	6.83 \pm 0.14 ^a	4.74 \pm 0.08 ^{ab}	4.53 \pm 0.08 ^{ab}	2.11 \pm 0.11 ^b	1.40 \pm 0.10 ^b	0.70 \pm 0.05 ^b
≥ 4401	083	10.17 \pm 0.17 ^a	6.96 \pm 0.17 ^a	4.53 \pm 0.10 ^c	4.25 \pm 0.11 ^d	2.25 \pm 0.14 ^b	1.51 \pm 0.12 ^b	0.75 \pm 0.06 ^b

Table 2: Analysis of variance for longevity, PHL, selective values and its components in crossbred cattle

Source of variation	d.f.	Longevity (year)	PHL (year)	Total calves produced by	Total alive calves each cow	Total female calves born	Selective value per cow	CGR
Season	2	23.56**	21.42**	5.66**	2.90*	0.23	1.17	0.29
Period	5	59.89**	63.74**	22.12**	22.78**	12.06**	6.56**	1.64**
FLMY group	5	12.38**	12.14**	1.75*	2.13*	4.23**	2.86*	0.72*
Error	922	2.34	2.31	0.78	0.90	1.39	1.08	0.27

P ≤ 0.01 **; P ≤ 0.05 *

estimate heritability of different traits (Becker, 1985). The standard error of heritability was estimated by the formula given by Swiger *et al.* (1964). The genetic and phenotypic correlations among different traits were estimated from the analysis of variance/covariance using half sib data as suggested by Becker (1985). The standard error of genetic correlation was estimated according to the formula given by Robertson (1959). The standard error of phenotypic correlation was estimated according to the formula given by Panse and Sukhatme (1967).

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The least squares mean of productive herd life, longevity, selective value, and its components are presented in Table 1 and the analysis of variance for genetic and non-genetic factors has been presented in Table 2.

Productive herd life (PHL)

The average productive herd life (PHL) was calculated as 6.58±0.06 years using least square means. These findings are consistent with the results reported by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle. PHL in the range of 4 to 6 years was observed in studies conducted by Kumar (1999) and Jakhar *et al.* (2010) in Haryana cattle, Goshu *et al.* (2007) in HF cattle, and Singh *et al.* (2011) in Sahiwal cattle. However, some studies reported PHL below 4 years, such as Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, Atrey *et al.* (2005c), and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in Frieswal cattle.

The impact of season on productive herd life (PHL) was observed to be highly significant in the current

study. Similar results regarding the influence of season were reported in previous studies, including those conducted by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Goshu (2014) in HF cattle, and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in Frieswal cattle. On the other hand, Gahlot *et al.* (2001) conducted a study on Tharparkar cattle and Singh *et al.* (2002) on HF cattle, both of which reported a non-significant effect of season. Similarly, Goshu *et al.* (2007) in HF cattle, Singh *et al.* (2011) in Sahiwal cattle, and Jadhav *et al.* (2019b) in HF x Gir cattle found no significant effect of season.

The impact of the period on productive herd life (PHL) was observed to be highly significant in the current study. Similar results regarding the influence of period on PHL were reported in previous studies, including those conducted by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Goshu (2014) in HF cattle, and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in Frieswal cattle. On the other hand, Gahlot *et al.* (2001) conducted a study on Tharparkar cattle and Singh *et al.* (2002) on HF cattle, both of which reported a non-significant effect of period on the variables under investigation. Similarly, Goshu *et al.* (2007) in HF cattle, and Singh *et al.* (2011) in Sahiwal cattle. Furthermore, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey and Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal also reported non-significant effects of the period in their respective studies.

The impact of the first lactation milk yield (FLMY) group on productive herd life (PHL) was observed to be highly significant in the current study. Similarly, highly significant effects of the FLMY

Table 3: Heritability of longevity, PHL, selective values and its components in crossbred cattle

Traits	Heritability
Longevity	0.32±0.080
Productive Herd Life (PHL)	0.21±0.081
Total calves produced by each cow	0.20±0.080
Total alive calf	0.31±0.093
Total female calves per cow	0.13±0.064
Selective value	0.16±0.073
Coefficient of gene replication (CGR)	0.16±0.073

(first lactation milk yield) group were reported by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle

Longevity

The present study revealed that the least square means of longevity in the studied population was found to be 9.78±0.06 years. These results are consistent with the findings of Kumar (1999) in Harijana cattle and Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle, who also observed similar longevity figures in their respective studies. Furthermore, the longevity observed within the range of 6 to 9 years by Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Atrey *et al.*, (2005c), Kumar *et al.* (2014) in Frieswal cattle, Goshu *et al.* (2007) in HF cattle, Jakhar *et al.* (2010) in Harijana cattle, and Singh *et al.* (2011) in Sahiwal cattle. However, it is noteworthy that some cows exhibited shorter longevity below 6 years, as reported by Mukherjee (1983) in crossbred cattle. The effect due to season had a highly significant impact on the longevity of the cattle in the studied population. Furthermore, the study also identified non-significant seasonal effects on longevity, corroborating the findings of Gahlot *et al.* (2001) in Tharparkar cattle, Singh *et al.* (2002), and Goshu *et al.* (2007) in HF cattle, Singh *et al.* (2011) in Sahiwal

cattle, and Jadhav *et al.* (2019b) in HF x Gir cattle. Similarly, non-significant effects of the season were reported by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle, Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, and Dash (2014) in Karan Fries cattle.

The present results showed a highly significant impact of the period on the longevity of the cattle in the studied population. Our findings align with those of previous studies. Mukherjee (1993) observed similar results in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Harijana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle, Goshu (2014) in HF cattle, and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in Frieswal cattle. These consistent results reinforce the significance of considering the period in understanding the factors affecting cattle longevity. The present results showed a highly significant impact of the FLMY (first lactation milk yield) group on the longevity of the cattle in the studied population. As the lower-producing cows in their first lactation were culled from the herd, the higher milk-producing animals were associated with higher longevity and productive herd life. Similarly, highly significant effects of the FLMY (first lactation milk yield) group were reported by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle.

Selective value and its components

(i) Total calves produced by each cow

The mean number of total calves produced by each cow in our study was estimated to be 4.68±0.03. While the results reported by Kumar (1999) in Harijana cattle showed a higher value for total calves produced by each cow compared to our findings. On the other hand, several other studies reported

Table 4: Genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations among longevity, PHL, selective values and its components in crossbred cattle

Traits	Longevity	PHL	TCB	TNC	NFC	SV
Longevity	-	0.99±0.01	0.67±0.17	0.71±0.14	0.24±0.31	0.24±0.30
PHL	0.99±0.004	-	0.68±0.16	0.73±0.14	0.26±0.32	0.23±0.30
TCB	0.57±0.026	0.58±0.025	-	0.99±0.03	0.54±0.26	0.17±0.30
TNC	0.52±0.027	0.53±0.026	0.88±0.015	-	0.63±0.22	0.39±0.24
NFC	0.14±0.029	0.14±0.028	0.34±0.029	0.38±0.029	-	0.78±0.14
SV	0.12±0.030	0.12±0.029	0.23±0.030	0.25±0.030	0.78±0.019	-

Notation: PHL- Productive herd life, TCB- Total calving birth, TNC- Total normal calf, NFC- normal female calf, SV- selective value

lower values for the total number of calves produced by each cow when compared to our present study. Mukherjee (1993), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004), Abbas (2005), Atrey *et al.* (2005), Goshu (2014) and Joshi *et al.* (2014) also reported lower values for the total number of calves produced by each cow in their respective studies, which involved crossbred cattle, Karan Fries, Sahiwal x Jersey, Sahiwal, Frieswal, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle.

The impact of season on the total number of calves produced by each cow was studied, and significant effects were observed. On the other hand, the effect of season on the total number of calves produced by each cow was not significant in the study conducted by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej cattle. Similarly, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle reported a non-significant effect of the period on the total number of calves produced by each cow.

The impact of the period on the total number of calves produced by each cow was found highly significant influence. Similar findings for the period effect were reported in previous studies. Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle, and Goshu (2014) in HF cattle all found significant effects of the period on the total number of calves produced by each cow. The FLMY group, it was found to have a highly significant effect on the total number of calves produced by each cow in the studies conducted by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle, Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle, Goshu (2014) in HF cattle, and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej cattle. The higher milk-producer cows produced more number of total normal calves than the lower-producer cows.

(ii) Total alive calves born

The average number of total alive calves born was found to be 4.44 ± 0.03 in the present study, and these results closely matched with those reported by Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle. However, when compared to other studies involving different cattle

breeds, the total number of alive calves born in this study was higher than the reported values by Mukherjee (1993), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004), Abbas (2005), Atrey *et al.*, (2005), Goshu (2014), and Joshi *et al.*, (2014) in crossbred cattle, Karan Fries, Sahiwal x Jersey, Sahiwal, Frieswal, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively.

The effect of season on total alive calves born was found to be non-significant in the present study. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej, where season showed non-significant effects. However, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Atrey *et al.* (2005), and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in crossbred cattle, Haryana cattle, Karan Fries, Frieswal, and Frieswal cattle, respectively.

The period showed a highly significant impact on total alive calves born. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej, where season showed non-significant effects. However, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004), Atrey *et al.* (2005), and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in crossbred cattle, Haryana cattle, Karan Fries, Frieswal, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, reported highly significant effects of period on the total alive calves born.

The FLMY group had a significant effect on the total live calves born. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej, where season showed non-significant effects. However, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004), Atrey *et al.* (2005), and Kumar *et al.* (2014) in crossbred cattle, Haryana cattle, Karan Fries, Frieswal, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, reported highly significant effects of FLMY group on the total alive calves born.

(iii) Total Female calves per cow

The average number of total female calves born was found to be 2.16 ± 0.05 in the present study. This finding is consistent with the results reported by Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle and Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle. However, lower values of total female calves born, compared to our study, were

reported by Mukherjee (1993), Singh (2001), Abbas (2005), Atrey *et al.* (2005), Jakhar *et al.* (2010), Goshu (2014), and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in crossbred cattle, Karan Fries, Sahiwal, Frieswal, Hariana, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively. In the present study, the effect of season was found to be non-significant on total female calves born. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle for the season and by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle.

The period showed a highly significant impact on the total number of female calves born. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle for the season and by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, and Atrey *et al.*, (2005) in Frieswal cattle for the period. However, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey and Abbas *et al.* (2005) in Sahiwal cattle reported a non-significant effect of the period on the total female calves born.

The FLMY group showed a highly significant impact on the total number of female calves born. Similar results were reported by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle for the season and by Mukherjee (1993) in crossbred cattle, Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle for the period.

(iv) Selective value (female calves reached to milking herd)

The least-square means of selective value was estimated as 1.45 ± 0.04 in the present study. The result showed that more than one replacement heifer was available to replace the existing cows in the herd. These findings were consistent with the results obtained by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle and Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, supporting the validity of our study. However, it is important to note that some previous studies, including those conducted by Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Atrey *et al.*, (2005), Jakhar *et al.* (2010), Goshu (2014), and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, Frieswal, Hariana,

Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively, reported lower values for the selective value parameter compared to our present study.

The results revealed that the effect of season was not significant, indicating that seasonal variations did not have a substantial impact on the studied parameters.

However, the period was found to be highly significant, suggesting that the time period under consideration had a significant influence on the Selective value. These findings were consistent with previous research by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej cattle, who also reported non-significant effects of season on the studied parameters. Similarly, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004) and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, found that the period had a highly significant effect on the Selective value. The FLMY group also exhibited a significant effect on the Selective value, indicating that the number of first lactation milk yield groups played a significant role in determining these parameters. Moreover, previous studies by Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004), Atrey *et al.* (2005), Jakhar *et al.* (2010), Goshu (2014), Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, Frieswal, Hariana, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively, also supported the highly significant effect of the FLMY group on the studied parameters. These findings were consistent with previous research by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej cattle, who also reported non-significant effects of season on the studied parameters. Similarly, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Shahi (2004) and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, found that the period had a highly significant effect on the Selective value.

Coefficient of gene replication (CGR)

The least-square means of coefficient of gene replication (CGR) was estimated as 0.72 ± 0.02 , in the present study. The result indicated that each cow was more than replacing herself in a herd or it was

more than one gene replication that could contribute to future generations. These findings were consistent with the results obtained by Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle and Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, supporting the validity of our study.

However, it is important to note that some previous studies, including those conducted by Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Atrey *et al.* (2005), Jakhar *et al.* (2010), Goshu (2014), and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, Frieswal, Hariana, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively, reported lower values for the coefficient of gene replication (CGR) compared to our present study.

In the present study, the influence of different factors on the Coefficient of gene replication (CGR) was examined. The results revealed that the effect of season was not significant, indicating that seasonal variations did not have a substantial impact on the studied parameters. These findings were consistent with previous research by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.*, (2014) in Kankrej cattle, who also reported non-significant effects of season on the studied parameters. Similarly, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), and Atrey *et al.*, (2005) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, found that the season had a highly significant effect on the CGR.

The period was found to be highly significant, suggesting that the time period under consideration had a significant influence on CGR. These findings were consistent with previous research by Goshu (2014) in HF cattle and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Kankrej cattle, who also reported non-significant effects of period on the studied parameters. Similarly, Mukherjee (1993), Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, and Frieswal cattle, respectively, found that the period had a highly significant effect on the CGR. Furthermore, the FLMY group also exhibited a significant effect on the CGR, indicating that the number of first lactation milk yield groups played a significant role in determining these parameters. Moreover, previous studies by Mukherjee (1993),

Kumar (1999), Singh (2001), Atrey *et al.* (2005), Jakhar *et al.* (2010), Goshu (2014) and Joshi *et al.* (2014) in Crossbred, Hariana, Karan Fries, Frieswal, Hariana, Holstein Friesian, and Kankrej cattle, respectively, also supported the highly significant effect of the FLMY group on the studied parameters.

Heritability of Productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components

Heritability estimates of productive herd life (PHL), longevity, and lifetime calf production traits have been presented in Table 3.

In this study, the heritability estimates varied across the different traits. Longevity had the highest heritability estimate of 0.32, indicating that additive genetic variance played a significant role in determining the variation in longevity among the cattle. Other traits such as PHL, total calf production, and total alive calves born also showed moderate heritability estimates, suggesting a substantial additive genetic variance influence on these traits. On the other hand, total female calves born, selective value, and CGR had relatively lower heritability estimates, implying that environmental factors might play a more significant role in determining the variability in these traits. Similar heritability estimates were reported by Rawal (1991) in Tharparkar cattle, suggesting that the genetic component plays a comparable role in determining CGR in both studies. On the other hand, higher heritability estimates compared to the present study were reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, and Atrey (2003) in Frieswal cattle. while, lower heritability estimates than the present study were reported by Mukherjee *et al.* (1999) in Karan Swiss cattle, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle, Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, and Goshu (2014) in Holstein Friesian cattle. This indicates that the genetic contribution to selective values and CGR might be less significant in these particular cattle breeds compared to the findings of the current study.

Genetic (above diagonal) and phenotypic (below diagonal) correlations among longevity, PHL, selective values and its components in crossbred

cattle have been presented in Table 4.

The genetic and phenotypic correlations of longevity with productive herd life (PHL), total calving birth (TCB), and total normal calf (TNC) were found to be highly positive. Conversely, the genetic correlations of longevity with normal female calf (NFC) and selective value (SV) were positive and moderate, while the phenotypic correlations were positive and low. The genetic and phenotypic correlations of productive herd life (PHL) with total calving birth (TCB) and total normal calf (TNC) were found to be highly positive. On the other hand, the genetic correlation of PHL with normal female calf (NFC) and selective value (SV) was positive and moderate, while the phenotypic correlation was low and positive.

The genetic and phenotypic correlations of total calving birth (TCB) with total normal calf (TNC) and normal female calf (NFC) were found to be highly positive. Conversely, the genetic correlation of TCB with selective value (SV) was low and positive, while the phenotypic correlation was positive and moderate.

The genetic correlation of total normal calf (TNC) with normal female calf (NFC) was found to be highly positive. Similarly, the genetic correlation of TNC with selective value (SV) was positive and moderate. Furthermore, the phenotypic correlations of total normal calf (TNC) with normal female calf (NFC) and selective value (SV) were reported to be positive and moderate as well. The genetic and phenotypic correlations of normal female calf (NFC) with selective value (SV) were found to be highly positive. This indicates a significant and consistent relationship between NFC and SV, both at genetically and phenotypically level, with a high level of correlation. This suggests a stronger relationship between longevity and these traits at the phenotypic level, indicating that cows with longer life spans tend to have higher values for PHL, TCB, and TNC. In contrast, the phenotypic correlation of longevity with normal female calf (NFC) and selective value (SV) was reported as positive but relatively low. This implies that there is a weaker

phenotypic association between longevity and NFC and SV, suggesting that the relationship between longevity and these traits is not as strong as with the other traits. These findings provide valuable insights into the genetic and the phenotypic relationships between longevity and various reproductive and productive traits in cattle. Similar results were reported by Kumar (1999) in Haryana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, and Atrey (2003) in Frieswal cattle. Conversely, lower values estimates than the present study were reported by Mukherjee *et al.* (1999) in Karan Swiss cattle, Shahi (2004) in Sahiwal x Jersey cattle, Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, and Goshu (2014) in Holstein Friesian cattle.

REFERENCES

- Abbas, M. (2005). Studies on replacement rate in Sahiwal cattle. M.V.Sc. Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Atrey, R. K, Singh, H. P, Kumar, D and Sharma, R. K. (2005c). Factors affecting the productive herd life, Longevity and life time calf production traits in Frieswal cattle. *Indian J. Anim Sci.*, 75: 1172–75.
- Atrey, R. K, Singh, H, Kumar, D and Sharma, R. K. (2005). Factors affecting the replacement rate and its components in Frieswal cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 75(3): 324-326.
- Becker, W.A. (1985). Manual of Quantitative Genetics. 4th Edn., Washington State University, Pullman. Washington. USA, 73p.
- Dash, S. (2014). Genetic evaluation of Karan Fries cattle for fertility and production traits. Ph.D Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, (Haryana), India, 102 p.
- Gahlot, G. C, Pant, K. P and Bharahat, N. K. (2001). Longevity and lifetime performance of Tharparkar cows. *Indian J. Anim Sci.*, 71 (4): 391-393.
- Goshu, G. (2014). Genetic studies on replacement rate and first lactation traits in Holstein Friesian cattle at Holeta bull dam station, Ethiopia. Ph.D dissertation, Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia.
- Goshu, G., Kelay, B. and Berihun, A. (2007). Effect

- of parity, season and year on reproductive performance and herd life of Friesian cows at Stella private dairy farm, Ethiopia. *Livestock Research for Rural Development*, 19(7): 9.
- Harvey, W. R. (1990). User's guide for LSMLMW PC-2 Version. Mixed Model. Least-squares and Maximum Likelihood Computer Program. Ohio State University, Columbus, USA.
- Jadhav, S. S., Deokar, D. K., Fulpagare, Y. G., Bhoite, U. Y., Mandkame, S. D. and Nimbalkar, C. V. (2019b). Lifetime Performance of HF x GIR Half breed Cows in Intensive Management Conditions. *Int. J. Curr. Microbiol. App. Sci.*, 8(2): 3275-3281.
- Jakhar, G. S., Singh, R., Malik, C. P. and Kumar, R. (2010). Factors affecting productive herd life, longevity, and lifetime calf production traits in Haryana cattle. *Indian J Anim Sci.*, 80 (12): 1251-53.
- Joshi A., Patel, V. K., Kalma, R. P., Srivastava, A. K. and Patel, J. B. (2014). Effect of season of first calving on productive herd life, longevity, and life time calf production in Kankrej cow at organised farm. *Vet. Pract.*, 18 (1): 141-43.
- Kramer, C. Y. (1957). Extension of multiple range tests to group correlated adjusted means. *Biometrics*, 13(1): 13-18.
- Kumar, A. (1999). Genetic evaluation of Haryana cattle for selective value. Ph.D. Thesis. CCSHAU, Hisar, India.
- Kumar A., Kumar S., Singh U. and Beniwal, B. K. (2014). Factors affecting herd life and total calf production in Friesian cows. *Indian J Anim Sci.*, 48(2): 159-161.
- Mukherjee, K. (1993). Genetic evaluation of crossbred cattle for selective value. Ph.D. Thesis, NDRI, Deemed University.
- Mukherjee, K., Tomar, S. S. and Sadana D. K. (1999). Genetic study on productive herd life and longevity in a herd of brown Swiss crosses. *Indian Journal of Animal Research*, 33 (2): 95-98.
- Panase, V. G. and Sukhatme, P. V. (1967). Statistical methods for agricultural workers, ICAR, Krishi Bhawan, New Delhi.
- Rawal, S. C. (1991). Coefficient of gene replication in Zebu cattle. M.Sc. Thesis, NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Robertson, A. (1959). The sampling variance of the genetic correlation coefficient. *Biometrics*, 15 (3): 469-485.
- Schuster, C., Herman, W., Barkema, Albert De Vries, David, F. and Kelton, Karin Orsel. (1959). Invited review: Academic and applied approach to evaluating longevity in dairy cows. *J. Dairy Sci.*, 103:11008-11024.
- Shahi, B. N. (2004). Genetic studies on components of replacement rate and selective value in Sahiwal and jersey-Sahiwal cattle. Thesis, Doctor of Philosophy, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India.
- Singh, L. (2001). Genetics of replacement rate in Karan Fries cattle. PhD Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Singh S., Khanna A. S. and Singh, R. P. (2002). Replacement and lifetime production traits: Effect of non-genetic factors and sire Evaluation. *Asian Australas J. Anim. Sci.*, 15(1): 11-15.
- Singh U., Kumar A., Kumar S. and Beniwal B. K. (2011). Evaluation of Sahiwal cattle for lifetime traits in an organized herd. *Indian J. Anim Sci.*, 81: 708-710.
- Swiger, L. A., Harvey, W. R., Eversson, D. U. and Gregory K. E. (1964). The variance of intraclass correlation involving group with one observation. *Biometrics*, 20: 818-826.

Received: February 09, 2024

Accepted: April 29, 2024