# **Pantnagar Journal of Research**

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

#### ADVISORYBOARD

Patron

Dr. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Members

Dr. A.S. Nain, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.K. Kashyap, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. S.P. Singh, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. K.P. Raverkar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sandeep Arora, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alaknanda Ashok, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

#### EDITORIALBOARD

#### Members

Prof. A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India Dr. Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Dr. Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Dr. Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, WP Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Dr. B.B. Singh, Ph.D., Visiting Professor and Senior Fellow, Dept. of Soil and Crop Sciences and Borlaug Institute for International Agriculture, Texas A&M University, U.S.A.

Prof. Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

Dr. D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Dr. Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Dr. Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

Dr. G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Dean (Retired), College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Dr. Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Dr. Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Dr. M.P. Pandey, Ph.D., Ex. Vice Chancellor, BAU, Ranchi & IGKV, Raipur and Director General, IAT, Allahabad, India

Dr. Martin Mortimer, Ph.D., Professor, The Centre of Excellence for Sustainable Food Systems, University of Liverpool, United Kingdom

Dr. Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Project Coordinator AICRP-LTFE, ICAR - Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Prof. Omkar, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

Dr. P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India Dr. Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Cooperative Research Centre for Contamination Assessment and Remediation of the Environment, University of South Australia. Australia

Dr. Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, U.S.A.

Dr. R.C. Chaudhary, Ph.D., Chairman, Participatory Rural Development Foundation, Gorakhpur, India

Dr. R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Prof. Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

Dr. S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Dr. Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A. Prof. Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India Prof. V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Academics, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

Dr. V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Head, Division of Agronomy, ICAR-Indian Agricultural Research Institute, New Delhi, India

Dr. Vilay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of

Biological Agricultural Engineering, Texas A& M University, U.S.A.

Dr. Vinay Mehrotra, Ph.D., President, Vinlax Canada Inc., Canada

#### **Editor-in-Chief**

Dr. Manoranjan Dutta, Head Crop Improvement Division (Retd.), National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

#### **Managing Editor**

Dr. S.N. Tiwari, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Entomology, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Assistant Managing Editor**

Dr. Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

#### **Technical Manager**

Dr. S.D. Samantray, Ph.D., Professor, Department of Computer Science and Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

### PANTNAGAR JOURNAL OF RESEARCH

Vol. 22(1) January-A	pril 2024
CONTENTS	
Productivity, nutrient uptake and economics of sweet corn ( <i>Zea mays</i> L. var. saccharata) under different planting geometry and NPK levels AMIT BHATNAGAR, SAILESH DEB KARJEE, GURVINDER SINGH and DINESH KUMAR SINGH	1-7
Integrated effect of natural farming concoctions and organic farming practices with various NPK doses on quality of bread wheat PRERNA NEGI, MOINUDDIN CHISTI and HIMANSHU VERMA	8-13
Characterization and fertility capability classification of some soils in the rain forest zone of Edo state, Nigeria OKUNSEBOR, F.E., OGBEMUDIA, I. and OKOLIE, S. I.	14-25
<b>Characterization and classification of guava growing soils of North-East Haryana according to frame work of land evaluation (FAO, 1993)</b> DHARAM PAL, MANOJ SHARMA, R.S. GARHWAL and DINESH	26-35
<b>Interactive impact of heavy metals and mycorrhizal fungi on growth and yield of pepper</b> ( <i>Capsicum annuum</i> Linn.) SHARMILA CHAUHAN, MOHINDER SINGH, SNEHA DOBHAL, DEEKSHA SEMWAL and PRAVEEN	36-47
<b>Response of chilli (</b> <i>Capsicum annuum</i> var. <i>annuum</i> <b>L.) to different nutrient management practices</b> SHEETAL, K.C. SHARMA, SHIVAM SHARMA, NEHA SHARMA, D.R. CHAUDHARY, SANDEEP MANUJA and AKHILESH SHARMA	48-58
<b>Trend detection in weather parameters using Mann-Kendall test for </b> <i>Tarai</i> <b> region of Uttarakhand</b> SHUBHIKA GOEL and R.K. SINGH	59-67
<b>Comparative study of antioxidant potential of fresh peel from different citrus species</b> TARU NEGI, ANIL KUMAR, ARCHANA GANGWAR, SATISH KUMAR SHARMA, ANURADHA DUTTA, NAVIN CHAND SHAHI, OM PRAKASH and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	68-74
Suitability of Quinoa Grains ( <i>Chenopodium Quinoa Willd.</i> ) for development of Low Glycemic Index Biscuits RUSHDA ANAM MALIK, SARITA SRIVASTAVA and MEENAL	75-84
A study on dietary intake among school-going adolescent girls of Udaipur, Rajasthan during COVID-19 JYOTI SINGH and NIKITA WADHAWAN	85-92
Nutritional and sensory evaluation of gluten free chapatti developed using underutilised food sources	93-98
AYUSHI JOSHI, ARCHANA KUSHWAHA, ANURADHA DUTTA, ANIL KUMAR and NAVIN CHANDRA SHAHI	
Nutrient-enriched wheat <i>chapatti</i> with fresh pea shells ( <i>Pisum sativum l.</i> ): A comprehensive quality assessment AMITA BENIWAL, SAVITA, VEENU SANGWAN and DARSHAN PUNIA	99-109

<b>Pearl Millet-Based Pasta and Noodles Incorporated with</b> <i>Jamun</i> <b>Seed Powder: Quality Analysis</b> SAVITA, AMITA BENIWAL, VEENU SANGWAN and ASHA KAWATRA	110-121
Unlocking the biofortification potential of <i>Serratia marcescens</i> for enhanced zinc and iron content in wheat grains BHARTI KUKRETI and AJAY VEER SINGH	122-131
Antioxidant and anti-inflammatory properties of sun-dried leaves and fruits of wild <i>Pyracantha</i> <i>crenulata</i> (D. Don) M. Roem. SUGANDHA PANT, PREETI CHATURVEDI, AAKANSHA VERMA, MANDEEP RAWAT, VAISHNAVI RAJWAR and KAVITA NEGI	132-141
Studies on productive herd life, longevity, and selective value and their components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH and R.S. BARWAL	142-150
Studies on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH, R.S. BARWAL and MANITA DANGI	151-157
Principal component analysis in production and reproduction traits of Frieswal cattle under field progeny testing OLYMPICA SARMA, R. S. BARWAL, C. V. SINGH, D. KUMAR, C. B. SINGH, A. K. GHOSH, B. N. SHAHI and S. K. SINGH	158-163
Degenerative renal pathology in swine: A comprehensive histopathological investigation in Rajasthan, India SHOBHA BURDAK, INDU VYAS, HEMANT DADHICH, MANISHA MATHUR, SHESH ASOPA, RENU	164-169
<b>Evaluation of histopathological changes on acute exposure of profenofos in Swiss albino mice</b> SONU DEVI, VINOD KUMAR, PREETI BAGRI and DEEPIKA LATHER	170-177
Temporal and spatial performance of rapeseed and mustard oilseed in India: A study in the context of Technology Mission on Oilseeds! LEKHA KALRA and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	178-190
<b>Comparative economics of maize cultivation in major and minor maize producing districts of Karnataka – a study across farm size groups</b> GEETHA, R. S. and S. K. SRIVASTAVA	191-203
A study on Usefulness of Participatory Newsletter for Potato growers in Udham Singh Nagar district of Uttarakhand RAMESH NAUTIYAL and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	204209
Training Needs of Hortipreneurs in Value Addition and fruit crop production in Kumaon Hills of Uttarakhand KRITIKA PANT and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	210-215
Post-training Knowledge Assessment of the rural women about Mushroom Cultivation under TSP project, funded by ICAR ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL, S. K. MISHRAand OMVEER SINGH	216-220
<b>UAV Technology: Applications, economical reliance and feasibility in Indian Agriculture</b> A. AJAY and S. SAI MOHAN	221-229

### Studies on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle

SHASHIKANT, C.V. SINGH\*, R.S. BARWAL and MANITA DANGI

Department of Animal Genetics and Breeding, College of Veterinary and Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar- 263 145, (U.S. Nagar, Uttarakhand) \*Corresponding author's email id: cvsingh2010@gmail.com

**ABSTRACT:** Data for the present investigation were collected from a history sheet of crossbred cattle at the Instructional Dairy Farm of G B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The data about 1000 crossbred cattle with a total of 3583 calving records from 87 sires were distributed over 32 years from 1988 to 2019. The estimated average percentages for various parameters, including abnormal births, sex ratio (percent male birth), mortality and culling of female calves up to the age of first calving (AFC), and replacement rate (based on female calf and total calf), were 6.0%, 52.33%, 14.80%, 17.53%, 67.67%, and 30.32% respectively. The effect of the FLMY (first lactation milk yield) group was found to be non-significant on abnormal births, overall mortality rate, overall female calf culling, and replacement rate based on the female calf basis. However, there was a significant effect of the FLMY group on the sex ratio and the replacement rate based on the total calf basis. The heritability estimates for abnormal birth, sex ratio, female calf mortality, female calf culling, replacement rate from total female calf basis, and replacement rate from total calf basis were reported as  $0.18\pm0.011$ ,  $0.045\pm0.056$ ,  $0.15\pm0.019$ ,  $0.08\pm0.078$ ,  $0.35\pm0.071$ , and  $0.05\pm0.085$ , respectively. The lower values of heritability suggest a higher influence of environmental factors on these traits. Therefore, the future selection of dairy cattle for replacement and its components will require a fully integrated and balanced breeding model.

Key words: Abnormal birth, heritability, female calf mortality, female calf culling, replacement rate, sex ratio

The primary obstacle to genetic progress and big herd size is animal disposal. Therefore, increasing the number of female calves by improving fertility and reducing the involuntary culling. Optimal strategies for improving herd size and genetic quality while ensuring profitability involve minimizing animal disposal during both prenatal and postnatal stages. Therefore, determining the causes of increased mortality; identifying and addressing the factors contributing to the unintentional disposal of calves and heifers are essential for mitigating genetic and financial losses (Upadhyay *et al.*, 2017).

The quantity of replacement heifers that become available over a long period affects the number of low-producing cows that are eliminated. To maintain the herd size, an equivalent number of heifers ought to accompany the milking herd each year to replace the number of cows lost to death or culling for various reasons (Pandey *et al.*, 2016). The degree of genetic diversity in a herd of cattle greatly influences the probability of genetic improvement. Therefore, the present study has been carried out to quantify the replacement rate and its components.

#### **MATERIALS AND METHODS**

#### Data sets and sources

Data for the present investigation were collected from the history sheet of crossbred cattle maintained at the instructional dairy farm of G. B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar. The data pertained to 1000 crossbred cattle with a total of 3583 calving records from 87 sires distributed over 32 years from 1988 to 2019. The crossbreds were HF x S, J x S, RD x S, HF x S x RD, RD x S x J, HF x R x J and HF x S x RD x J. The sires having records minimum of at least 5 daughters were included in the present study. The records of only those animals with known pedigree and normal lactation were considered. The lactation records of less than 150 days were considered abnormal and were not included in the analysis. The total duration of the present study was divided into 6 periods, out of which the first period is 7 years and the rest five periods are five years each. The first lactation group was divided into 6 groups. Each year was divided into three seasons namely winter (November-February), Summer (March–June), and Rainy (July – October). To classify the data for the first lactation milk yield group, periods and seasons of calving were considered for all the traits. The traits considered in the present study were abnormal births, sex ratio (percent male birth), mortality and culling of female calves up to the age of first calving (AFC), and replacement rate (based on female calf and total calf).

#### Statistical Analysis

As the data in the present study were non-orthogonal with unequal subclass numbers, they were subjected to least squares analysis of variance without interactions using different models to examine the effect of non-genetic factors on various abnormal births, sex ratio (percent male birth), mortality and culling of female calves up to the age of first calving (AFC), and replacement rate (based on female calf and total calf), traits as per standard procedures of Harvey (1990). The model was based on the assumption that different components fitting in the model were linear, independent, and additive. The non-genetic factors (first lactation milk yield groups, periods, and seasons of calving) were taken as fixed effects in the model. The paternal half-sib correlation method was used to estimate the heritability of different traits (Becker, 1985).

Heritability (h<sup>2</sup>)

$$h^2 = \frac{\frac{1}{NR1} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_s^2}{\frac{1 - NW}{NR1} \cdot \hat{\sigma}_s^2 + \hat{\sigma}_e^2}$$

Where,

 $\hat{\sigma}_s^2$  is the cross-classified sire variance component estimate

 $\hat{\sigma}_{e}^{2}$  = is error variance estimate

NR1 is the decimal percentage of additive genetic variance in , and NW is the decimal percentage of additive genetic variance in, NR1 is between variance component and is equal to 0.25 and NW is within variance component and is equal to 0.75.

The standard error of heritability was estimated by the formula given by Swiger *et al.* (1964) as detailed below:

S.E. (h<sup>2</sup>) = 
$$\frac{\sqrt[4]{2(n-1)(1-t^2)(1+(k-1)t^2)}}{\sqrt{K^2(N-S)(S-1)}}$$

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

#### Replacement rate and its component

The overall mean values of the replacement rate and its components are given in Table 1 and the analysis of variance is in Table 2.

#### Abnormal births

The overall incidence of abnormal births was found to be 6.02 percent. Abnormal births are determined by calculating the proportion of unsuccessful births, including abortions, stillbirths, and premature births, among the total number of pregnancies. The prevalence of abnormal births varied across different cattle breeds, with estimates ranging from 3.14 percent in Sahiwal cattle to 14.28 percent in crossbred cattle. In Bos indicus breeds, the average values of abnormal births varied from 3.14 to 7.10 percent, while among crossbred cattle, the average values varied from 4.86 to 14.28 percent. This result closely aligns with the findings of Shahi and Kumar (2006) in Jersey x Sahiwal cattle and Upadhyay et al. (2017) in Sahiwal cattle. Comparing these results with previous studies, Singh (1999) and Singh et al. (2002) reported higher rates of abnormal births in HF cattle, Singh (2001) in KF cattle, Atrey et al. (2005) and Goshu and Singh (2013) in Frieswal cattle, as well as Pandey et al. (2016) and Panwar et al. (2022) in crossbred cattle. On the other hand, Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Abbas (2005), Banik and Naskar (2006), and Singh et al. (2023) in Sahiwal cattle, reported lower rates of abnormal births.

The analysis of variance revealed that in the current study, there was no significant impact of season, on abnormal births. The Least Squares Means of abnormal births were observed highest in the rainy season and lowest in the summer season. This finding is consistent with the results reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, where season also showed non-significant effects on abnormal births. Furthermore, the lack of a significant effect of season on abnormal births aligns with the findings of Abbas (2005) and Banik and Naskar (2006) in Sahiwal cattle and Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle. However, Singh *et al.* (2002) in HF cattle, where they reported a highly significant effect of season on abnormal births. Similarly, Singh (1999) in HF cattle, Pandey (2003), and Panwar *et al.* (2022) in crossbred cattle, as well as Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle, found significant differences in abnormal birth rates across different seasons. These studies suggest that seasonal variations may not play a significant role in influencing abnormal birth rates in these specific breeds.

The non-significant effect of the season in the present study suggests that these factors may not play a substantial role in influencing the occurrence of abnormal births in the cattle population under investigation. However, it is important to interpret these findings cautiously, as other factors not considered in this study might still contribute to the incidence of abnormal births.

The analysis of variance revealed that in the current study, there was no significant impact of periods on abnormal births. The least squares mean reveals that period VI (2015-2019) had the highest abnormal births followed by period II (1995-1999) and period IV (2005-2009), respectively. Whereas period I

(1988-1994) had the lowest value for abnormal births. The difference between mean values over the periods might be due to differences in management practices followed at the farm during the study period. This finding is consistent with the results reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, where season and period also showed non-significant effects on abnormal births. Singh (1999) and Singh *et al.* (2002) in HF cattle, as well as Upadhyay (2017) in Sahiwal cattle, reported a non-significant effect. Shahi and Kumar (2006) in Jersey x Sahiwal cattle, Banik and Naskar (2006) in Sahiwal cattle, and Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle found a highly significant effect of the period on abnormal birth rates.

The analysis of variance revealed that in the current study, there was no significant impact of the First Lactation Milk Yield (FLMY) Group on abnormal births. For the comparison of these results, reports are not available in the literature.

#### Sex Ratio (Percent male birth)

The average sex ratio, representing the frequency of male births among normal calves, was found to

Table 1: Least-squares mean ± SE and effect of non-genetic factor on replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle

Effect	Total	Abnormal	Norma	Normal calves for sex ratio			Female calves			Replacement rate	
	Calving	birth	Total	Males	Females	Died	Culled	Retained	Female	Total	
									calf basis	calf basis	
Overall	4749	6.02 (285)	4464(93.99)	2336(52.33)	2128(47.67)	14.80(315)	17.53(373)	) 1440	67.67	30.32	
SEASON											
S <sub>1</sub> (Winter)	1545	6.34(98)	93.66(1447)	53.28(771)	46.72(676)	14.94(101)	14.05(95)	480	71.00	31.07	
S, (Summer)	1622	5.12(83)	94.88(1539)	51.98(800)	48.02(739)	14.34(106)	21.11(156)	) 477	64.55	29.41	
$S_{3}$ (Rainy)	1582	6.57(104)	93.43(1478)	51.76(765)	48.24(713)	15.15(108)	17.11(122)	) 483	67.74	30.53	
PERIOD											
P <sub>1</sub> (1988-1994)	711	5.06 (36)	94.94(675)	49.78(336)	50.22(339)	15.34(52)	17.40(59)	228	67.26	32.06	
P, (1995-1999)	754	6.37 (48)	93.63(706)	51.13(361)	48.87(345)	13.04(45)	17.10(59)	241	69.85	31.96	
P <sub>3</sub> (2000-2004)	792	5.43 (43)	94.57(749)	51.00(382)	49.00(367)	9.26(34)	20.16(74)	259	70.57	32.7	
P <sub>4</sub> (2005-2009)	823	6.32(52)	93.68(771)	54.86(423)	45.14(348)	19.25(67)	2.59(9)	224	64.37	27.22	
$P_{5}(2010-2014)$	806	6.08(49)	93.92(757)	50.46(382)	49.54(375)	19.20(72)	13.87(52)	251	66.93	31.14	
P <sub>6</sub> (2015-2019)	863	6.61(57)	93.51(807)	56.13(452)	43.87(354)	12.71(45)	20.34(72)	237	66.95	27.46	
FLMY group											
$G_1 (\le 2400)$	1044	5.74(60)	94.25(984)	54.37(535)	45.63(449)	15.37(69)	16.93(76	) 304	67.70	29.12	
G <sub>2</sub> (2401-2900)	) 1125	4.35(49)	95.64(1076)	52.42(564)	45.58(512)	15.03(77)	18.16(93	) 342	66.98	30.40	
G <sub>3</sub> (2901-3400)	) 874	4.62(39)	95.54(835)	54.37(454)	45.63(381)	20.73(79)	13.91(53	) 249	65.35	28.49	
G <sub>4</sub> (3401-3900)	) 740	3.92(29)	96.08(711)	48.38(344)	51.62(367)	11.99(44)	18.26(67	) 256	69.75	34.59	
G <sub>5</sub> (3901-4400)	599	4.84(29)	95.49(572)	54.37(311)	45.63(261)	13.41(35)	20.31(53	) 173	66.28	28.88	
G <sub>6</sub> (≥4401)	367	6.54(24)	93.46(343)	48.98(168)	51.02(175)	15.43(27)	18.58(32	2) 116	66.29	31.61	

be 52.33 percent in the overall study. The chi-square test indicated that the deviation in sex ratio from the normal expected ratio of 50: 50 was significant. This finding is consistent with similar studies conducted by Abbas (2005) in Sahiwal cattle, as well as Atrey et al. (2005) and Goshu and Singh (2013) in Frieswal cattle, which also reported similar sex-ratio values. Banik and Naskar (2006) in Sahiwal cattle reported a higher sex-ratio value compared to the present study. Conversely, Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (1999) and Singh et al., (2002) in HF cattle, Shahi and Kumar (2006) in Jersey x Sahiwal cattle, Upadhyay et al. (2017) and Singh et al. (2023) in Sahiwal cattle, and Panwar et al., (2022) in crossbred cattle reported lower sex-ratio values. The effect of season on the sex ratio in the cattle population under study aligns with previous research in certain cattle breeds. Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (1999), Singh et al. (2002), Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle, Abbas (2005) and Banik and Naskar (2006) in Sahiwal cattle, as well as Shahi and Kumar (2006) in Jersey x Sahiwal cattle, all reported similar findings, indicating a non-significant influence of season on the sex ratio in their respective breeds. However, it's worth noting that Panwar et al. (2022) reported a significant difference in the sex ratio based on the season in crossbred cattle. The Least Squares Means of sex ratio was observed highest in the winter season and lowest in the rainy season.

The analysis of variance did show a highly significant effect of the period on the sex ratio. This indicates that there were substantial differences in the sex ratio across different periods. The period under investigation played a significant role in determining the proportion of male births to female births. The least squares mean reveals that period VI (2015-2019) had the highest sex ratio followed by period IV (2005-2009) respectively. Whereas

period I (1988-1994) had the lowest value for sex ratio. Goshu and Singh (2013) reported a highly significant period effect in HF cattle. However, contrasting results were reported in other studies. Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (1999), and Singh *et al.* (2002) in HF cattle, Abbas (2005) and Banik and Naskar (2006) in Sahiwal cattle, as well as Shahi and Kumar (2006) in Jersey x Sahiwal cattle, all found a non-significant effect of the period on the sex ratio in their respective cattle breeds.

The analysis of variance revealed that in the current study, there was a significant impact of the First Lactation Milk Yield (FLMY) Group on abnormal births. For the comparison of these results, reports are not available in the literature.

## Female calf mortality rate (birth to age at first calving)

It was observed that 14.80% of the total female calves born did not survive to reach the milking herd. The average mortality rate among female calves from birth to age at first calving reported by various workers ranged between 13.70% in Frieswal and 45.60 in crossbred cattle. The highest mortality in indigenous cattle was observed at 35.38% in Sahiwal cattle as well as in crossbred cattle at 45.60%. Gaur et al. (2003) observed that maximum mortality occurred during the first month of life and the mortality rate decreased with the advancement of the age in Gir cattle. Our study's findings closely matched the results reported by Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle and Mishra et al. (2015) in Gir cattle herd, indicating similar mortality rates in these breeds. However, higher mortality rates were reported by Saha (2001) in Karan Fries and Karan Swiss cattle, as well as Abbas (2005), Shahi and Kumar (2014), and Upadhyay et al. (2017) in Sahiwal cattle. Conversely, lower mortality rates

Table 2: Analysis of variance (ANOVA) for replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle

Source of variation	d.f.	Abnormal Birth	Sex ratio	Mortality	Culling	Replacement rate	
						Female calf basis	Total calf basis
Season	2	0.53	96.02	0.20	0.61	0.46*	0.01
Period	5	0.46	1903.00**	1.46**	0.16	0.06	0.15**
FLMY group	5	024	1532.38*	0.42	0.62	0.10	$0.12^{*}$
Error	987	209.39	600.76	0.29	0.33	0.14	0.05

\* P≤0.05; \*\* P ≤0.01

Table	3:	Her	itability	of	replacement	rate	and	their
compo	nen	ts in	crossbred	l ca	attle			

Traits	Heritability
Abnormal births	$0.18{\pm}0.011$
Sex ratio	$0.045 \pm 0.056$
Female calf mortality	0.15±0.019
Female calfculling	$0.08 \pm 0.078$
Replacement rate from total female calf basis	$0.35 \pm 0.071$
Replacement rate from total calf basis	$0.05 \pm 0.085$

were recorded in the study by Singh and Gurnani (2004) in Karan Swiss cattle and Atrey *et al.* (2005) in Frieswal cattle, indicating comparatively better survival rates for female calves in these breeds.

The impact of season on the overall mortality rate was not found to be significant in our study. Similar findings for the season were reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle, and Panwar *et al.* (2022) in crossbred cattle. In addition, Abbas (2005), Banik and Naskar (2006), and Upadhyay *et al.* (2017) in Sahiwal cattle also reported similar findings. However, in contrast, Atrey *et al.* (2005) found a strong and statistically significant impact of season in Frieswal cattle, while Pandey (2003) reported that the season had no significant effect in crossbred cattle.

The period had a significant effect on overall female calf mortality. Similar findings for the period were reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle, Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle, and Panwar et al. (2022) in crossbred cattle. In addition, Abbas (2005), Banik and Naskar (2006), and Upadhyay (2017) in Sahiwal cattle also reported similar findings. However, in contrast, Atrey et al. (2005) found a strong and statistically significant impact of season in Frieswal cattle, while Pandey (2003) reported that the period had no significant effect in crossbred cattle. The analysis of variance revealed that in the current study, there was no significant impact of the First Lactation Milk Yield (FLMY) Group on overall female calf mortality. For the comparison of these results, reports are not available in the literature

# Female calf culling rate (birth to age at first calving)

The overall mean culling rate among female calves was found to be 17.53 per cent. This result is consistent with earlier findings reported by Saha (2001) in Karan Swiss cattle and Pandey et al. (2016) in crossbred cattle. However, higher culling rates than present finding were also reported in other studies, such as those conducted by Singh (2001) in Karan Fries cattle, Singh and Gurnani (2004) in Karan Swiss cattle, Shahi and Kumar (2014) in Sahiwal cattle, as well as Pandey et al. (2016) and Panwar et al. (2022) in crossbred cattle. Conversely, some studies estimated lower values of culling rates as compared to this study. Nehra (2011) on Karan Fries cattle, Abbas (2005), Upadhyay (2013), and Upadhyay et al. (2017) on Sahiwal cattle, and Goshu and Singh (2013) on Frieswal cattle reported lower culling rates in their breed populations. The variations in culling rates can be attributed to various factors, including management practices, and herd health status.

The impact of season on overall female calf culling was found to be non-significant. Similar results for the season were reported in Sahiwal cattle by Abbas (2005). Singh (1999) and Singh *et al.* (2002) in HF cattle, Panwar *et al.* (2022) in crossbred cattle, Banik and Naskar (2006), and Upadhyay (2017) in Sahiwal cattle. However, Pandey (2003) in crossbred cattle reported a highly significant effect for the season, and Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle reported a highly significant effect for the season.

The impact of the period on overall female calf culling was found to be non-significant. Similar results for the period were reported in Sahiwal cattle by Abbas (2005). Singh (1999) and Singh *et al.* (2002) in HF cattle, Panwar *et al.* (2022) in crossbred cattle, Banik and Naskar (2006) and Upadhyay (2017) in Sahiwal cattle also reported comparable findings for period effects. However, Pandey (2003) in crossbred cattle reported a highly significant effect for the period, and Goshu and Singh (2013) in HF cattle reported a highly significant effect for the period.

The analysis of variance revealed that in the current

study, there was no significant impact of the First Lactation Milk Yield (FLMY) Group on overall female calf culling. For the comparison of these results, reports are not available in the literature.

#### Heritability

Heritability of replacement rate and their components in crossbred cattle are presented in Table 3. The heritability estimates for abnormal birth, sex ratio, female calf mortality, female calf culling, replacement rate from total female calf basis, and replacement rate from total calf basis were reported as 0.18±0.011, 0.045±0.056, 0.15±0.019,  $0.08\pm0.078$ ,  $0.35\pm0.071$ , and  $0.05\pm0.085$ , respectively. The lower values of heritability suggest a higher influence of environmental factors on these traits. Similar results were also reported by Kumar (1999) in Hariana cattle and Atrey (2003) in Frieswal cattle. On the other hand, Pandey et al. (2016) in crossbred cattle reported a higher heritability estimate compared to the present study. However, very low heritability estimates were reported by Singh (2001) on Hariana cattle, Abbas (2005) on Frieswal cattle, and Goshu and Singh (2013) on HF cattle. To effectively manage and improve the replacement rate in cattle herds, a comprehensive approach considering both genetic selection and nongenetic factors is necessary. Proper herd management practices and environmental conditions should be optimized to maximize the replacement rate, particularly in breeds where genetic factors have a minor influence.

#### CONCLUSION

This suggests that approximately 3-4 pregnancies are required for one heifer to enter the milking herd in crossbred cattle. The relatively low replacement rate indicates that a considerable number of pregnancies do not result in heifers entering the milking herd due to various reasons such as unfavourable sex ratios and calf health issues. The impact of the season was found to be non-significant on abnormal births, sex ratio, overall mortality rate, overall female calf culling, and replacement rate based on the total calf basis. However, there was a significant effect of season on the replacement rate when considering the female calf basis. The period had a highly significant effect on the sex ratio, overall mortality rate, and replacement rate based on the total calf basis. However, it did not show a significant effect on abnormal births, overall female calf culling, and replacement rate based on the female calf basis.

#### REFERENCES

- Abbas, M. (2005). Studies on replacement rate in Sahiwal cattle. M.V.Sc. Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Atrey, R.K. (2003). Genetics of replacement rate in Frieswal cattle. Ph.D. Thesis, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar.
- Atrey, R.K., Singh, H., Kumar, D. and Sharma, R.K. (2005). Factors affecting the replacement rate and its components in Frieswal cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 75(3): 324-326.
- Banik, S. and Naskar, S. (2006). Effect of nongenetic factors on replacement rate and its components in Sahiwal cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 76(4): 34–345.
- Becker, W.A. (1985). Manual of Quantitative Genetics. 4th Edn., Washington State University, Pullman. Washington. USA, 73p.
- Gaur, G.K., Kaushik, S.N. and Garg, R.C. (2003). The Gir cattle breed of India - characteristics and present status. *Anim. Genet. Resour. Inf.*, 33(1): 21-29.
- Goshu, G. and Singh, H. (2013). Genetic and nongenetic parameters of replacement rate component traits in Holstein Friesian cattle. *Springer Plus.*, 2: 581.
- Harvey, W.R. (1990). User's guide for LSMLMW PC-2 Version. Mixed Model. Least-squares and Maximum Likelihood Computer Program. Ohio State University, Columbus, USA.
- Kumar, A. (1999). Genetic evaluation of Hariana cattle for selective value. Ph.D. Thesis. CCSHAU, Hisar, India.
- Mishra, A.K., Rawat, N.S., Nanawati, S. and Gaur, A K. (2015). Studies on the calf mortality pattern in Gir breed. International Journal

of Livestock Production., 6: 47-51.

- Nehra M. (2011). 'Genetic analysis of performance trends in Karan Fries cattle.' M.V.Sc. Thesis, NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Pandey, S. (2003). Quantification of replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle. M.V.Sc., Thesis, GBPUA&T, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand, India.
- Pandey, S., Singh, C.V. and Barwal, R.S. (2016). Selective value, quantification and genetic parameters of components of replacement traits in crossbred cattle. *Vet. Med. Open j.*,1(1):1-5.
- Panwar, V.A.R, Sharma, R.K., Singh, J.L., Kumar, S and Singh, M.K.(2022) .Effects of genetic and non-genetic factors on first lactation traits and replacement rate and its components in crossbred cattle. Agriculture Association of Textile Chemical and Critical Review:23-35.
- Saha, S. (2001). Generation wise genetic evaluation of Karan Swiss and Karan Fries cattle. Ph.D. Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, India.
- Shahi, B.N. and Kumar, D. (2006). Factors affecting replacement rate and its components in Jersey-Sahiwal cattle. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*, 76(10): 855-856.
- Shahi, B.N. and Kumar, D. (2014). Studies on mortality and culling rate among female calves of Sahiwal and Jersey crossbred cattle. *Indian J. Vet. Anim. Res.*, 43(6): 454-457.
- Singh, S. (1999). Inheritance of calf production, replacement rate and life time traits in

Holstein Friesian cattle. Ph.D. Thesis, CCS, HAU, Hisar, India.

- Singh, L. (2001). Genetics of replacement rate in Karan Fries cattle. PhD Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India
- Singh, M. K .and Gurnani, M.( 2004). Genetic analysis of production and reproduction traits in Karan Fries and Karan Swiss cattle. *Indian .J. Ani. Science.*,2: 225-228.
- Singh, P., Singh, M. and Atrey, R.K. (2023). Factors Affecting the Replacement Components in an Organized Herd of Sahiwal Cattle. *International Journal of Research in Engineering and Science.*, 11(4): 353-357.
- Singh, S., Khanna, AS. and Singh, R.P. (2002). Replacement and lifetime production traits: effect of non-genetic factors and sire evaluation. *Asian Australas. J. Anim. Sci.*, 15(1): 11-15.
- Swiger, L.A., Harvey, W.R., Eversson, D.U. and Gregory, K.E. (1964). The variance of intraclass correlation involving group with one observation. *Biometrics.*, 20: 818-826.
- Upadhyay, A. (2013). Analysis of disposal patterns in Sahiwal cattle. M.V.Sc. Thesis. NDRI, Karnal, Haryana, India.
- Upadhyay, V.K., Mehla, R.K., Gupta, A.K., Bhakat, M., Lathwal, S.S. and Yadav, S.K. (2017). Replacement rate and its components in Sahiwal females from birth to age at first calving. *Indian J. Anim. Sci.*,87 (8): 996– 999.

Received: February 09, 2024 Accepted: April 29, 2024