

Print ISSN : 0972-8813
e-ISSN : 2582-2780

[Vol. 23(3) September-December 2025]

Pantnagar Journal of Research

(Formerly International Journal of Basic and
Applied Agricultural Research ISSN : 2349-8765)



G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology

Pantnagar, U.S. Nagar; Uttarakhand, Website : gbpuat.res.in/PJR



ADVISORY BOARD

Patron

Prof. Manmohan Singh Chauhan, Ph.D., Vice-Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Members

Prof. S. K. Verma, Ph.D., Director Research, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Jitendra Kwatra, Ph.D., Director, Extension Education, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. S.S. Gupta, Ph.D., Dean, College of Technology, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. A.H. Ahmad, Ph.D., Dean, College of Veterinary & Animal Sciences, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Alka Goel, Ph.D., Dean, College of Community Science, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. R.S. Jadoun, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agribusiness Management, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Lokesh Varshney, Ph.D., Dean, College of Post Graduate Studies, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Avdhesh Kumar, Ph.D., Dean, College of Fisheries, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Subhash Chandra, Ph.D., Dean, College of Agriculture, G.B. Pant University of Agri. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Prof. Ramesh Chandra Srivastava, Ph.D., Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B.P.U.A.T., Pantnagar, India

EDITORIAL BOARD

Members

A.K. Misra, Ph.D., Ex-Chairman, Agricultural Scientists Recruitment Board, Krishi Anusandhan Bhavan I, New Delhi, India & Ex-Vice Chancellor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture & Technology, Pantnagar

Anand Shukla, Director, Reefberry Foodex Pvt. Ltd., Veraval, Gujarat, India

Anil Kumar, Ph.D., Director, Education, Rani Lakshmi Bai Central Agricultural University, Jhansi, India

Ashok K. Mishra, Ph.D., Kemper and Ethel Marley Foundation Chair, W P Carey Business School, Arizona State University, U.S.A

Binod Kumar Kanaujia, Ph.D., Professor, School of Computational and Integrative Sciences, Jawahar Lal Nehru University, New Delhi, India

D. Ratna Kumari, Ph.D., Associate Dean, College of Community / Home Science, PJTSAU, Hyderabad, India

Deepak Pant, Ph.D., Separation and Conversion Technology, Flemish Institute for Technological Research (VITO), Belgium

Desirazu N. Rao, Ph.D., Honorary Professor, Department of Biochemistry, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

G.K. Garg, Ph.D., Ex-Dean, College of Basic Sciences & Humanities, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Humnath Bhandari, Ph.D., IRRI Representative for Bangladesh, Agricultural Economist, Agrifood Policy Platform, Philippines

Indu S Sawant, Ph.D., Principal Scientist, ICAR National Research Centre for Grapes, Pune, India

Kuldeep Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR - National Bureau of Plant Genetic Resources, New Delhi, India

Muneshwar Singh, Ph.D., Ex-Project Coordinator AICRP- LTFE, ICAR, Indian Institute of Soil Science, Bhopal, India

Omkar, Ph.D., Professor (Retd.), Department of Zoology, University of Lucknow, India

P.C. Srivastav, Ph.D., Professor (Retd.), Department of Soil Science, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Prashant Srivastava, Ph.D., Soil Contaminant Chemist, CSIRO, Australia

Puneet Srivastava, Ph.D., Director, Water Resources Center, Butler-Cunningham Eminent Scholar, Professor, Biosystems Engineering, Auburn University, United States

R.K. Singh, Ph.D., Ex-Director & Vice Chancellor, ICAR-Indian Veterinary Research Institute, Izatnagar, U.P., India

Ramesh Kanwar, Ph.D., Charles F. Curtiss Distinguished Professor of Water Resources Engineering, Iowa State University, U.S.A.

S.N. Maurya, Ph.D., Professor (Retired), Department of Gynaecology & Obstetrics, G.B. Pant University of Agric. & Tech., Pantnagar, India

Sham S. Goyal, Ph.D., Professor Emeritus, Faculty of Agriculture and Environmental Sciences, University of California, Davis, U.S.A.

Umesh Varshney, Ph.D., Honorary Professor, Department of Microbiology and Cell Biology, Indian Institute of Science, Bangalore, India

V.D. Sharma, Ph.D., Dean Life Sciences, SAI Group of Institutions, Dehradun, India

V.K. Singh, Ph.D., Director, ICAR-Central Research Institute for Dryland Agriculture, Hyderabad, India

Vijay P. Singh, Ph.D., Distinguished Professor, Caroline and William N. Lehrer Distinguished Chair in Water Engineering, Department of Biological and Agricultural Engineering, Texas A & M University, U.S.A.

Editor-in-Chief

K.P. Raverkar, Professor, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Assistant Managing Editor

Jyotsna Yadav, Ph.D., Research Editor, Directorate of Research, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Technical Manager

S.D. Samantaray, Ph.D., Professor & Head, Department of Computer Engineering, G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, India

Development

Dr. S.D. Samantaray, Professor & Head

Brijesh Dumka, Developer & Programmer

CONTENTS

Frogeye leaf spot (<i>Cercospora sojina</i> K. Hara) in soybean: Emerging challenges, resistance genetics and sustainable management strategies	337
SANJEEV KUMAR, LAXMAN SINGH RAJPUT, HEMANT SINGH MAHESHWARI, VANGALA RAJESH, M. RAJENDAR REDDY, PAWAN SAINI, PALAK SOLANKI, JYOTI KAG, MANOJ KUMAR YADAV, JAYWANT KUMAR SINGH and SHIKHA SHARMA	
Impact of establishment methods and weed management practices on growth and yield attributes of rice (<i>Oryza sativa</i> L.)	350
HIMANSHU, S.K. YADAV, D.K. SINGH and PRATIMA ARYA	
Integrated weed management practices in wheat (<i>Triticum aestivum</i> L.) under the humid sub-tropical condition of Uttarakhand	355
SHRUTI SINGH, SHIV VENDRA SINGH and RASHMI SHARMA	
Foliar supplementation of micronutrients on Palash [<i>Butea monosperma</i> (Lam.) Taub.] for enhanced productivity of rangeenilac, <i>Kerria lacca</i> (Kerr, 1782) (Hemiptera: Kerridae)	361
PURNIMA KEKTI, P.K. NETAM, DAMINI NISHAD and SOURABH MAHESHWARI	
Lagged effects of weather variables on <i>Helicoverpa armigera</i> (Hübner) larval population during rabi season	367
RAJNNI DOGRA and MEENA AGNIHOTRI	
Influence of nutrients on the flowering attributes of the guava cv. Sardar	377
RAKHI GAUTAM, PRATIBHA and A.K. SINGH	
Sequential functional screening and trait-based association of chickpea rhizobacterial isolates using multiple correspondence analysis	384
DEEPANJALI GUPTA, KIRAN P. RAVERKAR, NAVNEET PAREEK, POONAM GAUTAM, SHRI RAM and AJAY VEER SINGH	
Evaluation of neutralizing post-vaccination antibody response against Peste des petits ruminants virus in Pantja goat breed of Uttarakhand, India	396
ANUJ TEWARI, AMISHA NETAM, RAJESH KUMAR, SAUMYA JOSHI, S.K. SINGH and R.K. SHARMA	
Arbuscular Mycorrhizal Fungi (AMF) Root Colonisation and Glomalin Variability Across Bamboo Species Integrating UV-Vis Spectral Characterisation	402
SHAMLI SHARMA, A.K. VERMA and ASHUTOSH DUBEY	
Comparative pyrolysis of agricultural biomass for bio-oil production and in vitro antifungal analysis of developed bio-oil based formulations	412
VAIBHAV BADONI, ASHUTOSH DUBEY, R. N. PATERIYA and A.K. VERMA	
Computational exploration of curcumin-p-coumaric acid bioconjugates as potential inhibitors of β-catenin in breast cancer stem cells	423
ANANYA BAHUGUNA and SHIV KUMAR DUBEY	

Molecular Docking Analysis of Curcumin–Glucose Conjugate as Potential Modulators of Breast Cancer Stemness via β-Catenin Inhibition	431
ROHIT PUJARI, MUMTESH SAXENA and SHIV KUMAR DUBEY	
Assessment of <i>Schizophyllum commune</i> and <i>Trametes hirsuta</i> as efficient laccase-producing white-rot fungi	438
RUKHSANA BANO, DIKSHA BHARTI and AJAY VEER SINGH	
Drought stress mitigation and enhancement of maize growth facilitated by the plant growth–promoting bacterium <i>Serratia</i> sp. SRK14	444
ASHISH KUMAR and AJAY VEER SINGH	
Effect of adding turmeric, ginger and black pepper on biochemical parameters of <i>Cyprinus carpio</i>	454
KIRTI SHARMA, DAISY RANI1, MADHU SHARMA and TARANG SHAH	
Design and Development of a Four-Wheel Remotely Controlled Weeding Machine	460
SANDEEP KUMAR SAROJ , JAYANT SINGH, SUMIT KUMAR and SACHIN CHAUDHARY	
Analyzing farmers perception towards climate change in Nainital district of Uttarakhand	466
ABHISHEK KUMAR and ARPITA SHARMA KANDPAL	
Study on information seeking behavior of female students of G.B. Pant University of Agriculture and Technology, Pantnagar, Uttarakhand related to menstruation	472
POOJA TAMTAand SUBODH PRASAD	

Foliar supplementation of micronutrients on Palash [*Butea monosperma* (Lam.) Taub.] for enhanced productivity of rangeeni lac, *Kerria lacca* (Kerr, 1782) (Hemiptera: Kerridae)

PURNIMA KEKTI^{1,2*}, P.K. NETAM¹, DAMINI NISHAD¹ and SOURABH MAHESHWARI²

¹Department of Entomology, College of Agriculture, Indira Gandhi Krishi Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur- 492012, Chhattisgarh, ²ICAR-National Institute of Biotic Stress Management, Raipur-493225, Chhattisgarh, India

*Corresponding author's email id: purnimakekti01@gmail.com

ABSTRACT: An experiment titled “Foliar supplementation of micronutrients on palash for enhanced productivity of rangeeni lac, *Kerria lacca*” was conducted during 2023–24 in the village of Gadpichhawadi, Kanker district, Chhattisgarh. The study was conducted to analyze the effect of various micronutrient treatments on lac productivity using Palash (*Butea monosperma*), the host plant for the *Katki* crop. Brood lac inoculation (BLI) was carried out, and multiple productivity parameters were assessed. Among the treatments, T₁ (Zinc) consistently outperformed others. The average number of stick lac per plant was greatest in T₁ (27.00) and lowest in the control, T₇ (15.33). Similarly, T₁ produced mean maximum stick lac length (55.00 cm), weight per 30 cm (42.33 g), scraped weight per 30 cm (20.33 g), The mean fresh weight of 100 lac cells was 6.73 g, while their dry weight was (3.98 g) were all significantly higher in T₁. The highest mean yield of stick lac per plant was recorded in T₁ (5.70 kg) and minimum in T₇ (2.40 kg). T₁ recorded the greatest sex ratio of lac insects, with a value of 3.08 per 2.5 cm², followed by T₄ (2.99), and lowest in T₇ (2.30). In economic terms, the highest net profit per tree was obtained in T₁ (Rs. 1769.39), followed by T₄ (Rs. 1730.39), and lowest in T₇ (Rs. 661.44). The cost-benefit ratio was also maximum in T₁ (1:7.84), indicating the superior efficiency of zinc application. The results clearly demonstrate that zinc treatment significantly enhances both productivity and profitability in lac cultivation on Palash trees.

Keywords: *Butea monosperma*, *Kerria lacca*, micronutrients, productivity, rangeeni lac, Zinc

India is the leading producer of lac in the world, and its cultivation serves as a crucial livelihood source for millions of tribal and forest-dependent communities Chandrakar *et al.* (2025). Beyond its economic value, the lac insect plays a vital role in ecological restoration and environmental sustainability through multiple ecological functions. It supports land reclamation by aiding regeneration and conservation of host trees, helps in combating desertification by reducing soil erosion and improving soil structure, and contributes to biodiversity conservation by creating a microhabitat for flora and fauna. Additionally, lac cultivation promotes habitat restoration in degraded and abandoned forest areas, maintains ecosystem balance through strengthening trophic interactions and nutrient cycling, and encourages community engagement and livelihood security by ensuring sustainable income opportunities (Sharma *et al.*, 2006; Sankarganesh, 2017; Maheshwari, 2024). Moreover, the lac insect serves as an ecological bio-

indicator species reflecting forest health and environmental changes (Chen *et al.*, 2011). Overall, lac production broadly contributes to environmental conservation while providing significant socio-economic support especially to economically weakest sections of the Indian population, People living in the forest and sub-forest areas of Jharkhand, Chhattisgarh, Madhya Pradesh, West Bengal, Maharashtra, Odisha, Andhra Pradesh, Gujarat, the North Eastern Hill region, and parts of Uttar Pradesh where the effects of globalization and industrialization are still limited cultivate this crop. It is known to be highly profitable, offering substantial economic benefits to farmers and contributing to the nation's foreign exchange earnings through exports Sarvade *et al.*, (2018). Chhattisgarh and Jharkhand are major lac-producing states of India. In Chhattisgarh, lac is produced on its natural host Palash (*Butea monosperma*), Ber (*Ziziphus mauritiana*), and Kusum (*Schleichera oleosa*) in forests, field bunds, and waste lands (Paul

et al., 2013; Meshram, 2018). Korba is the major lac-producing district in Chhattisgarh, followed by Kanker. In both these districts, 66 percent of cultivation relies on the *Jethwi* crop mainly because of the availability of host trees for *Kusumi* Lac, along with favorable weather conditions (Netam *et al.*, 2019).

Micronutrients play a crucial role in plant development, with zinc (Zn) and boron (B) being particularly important. Zinc is essential for the synthesis of the growth hormone indole acetic acid (IAA), which promotes protein synthesis, phosphorylation, and the activity of green plastid enzymes (Marschner, 1995; Pedler *et al.*, 2000). In the phloem sap, zinc forms complexes with organic acids, thereby enhancing the concentration of vital metabolites available to the plant (Kochian, 1991). It also contributes significantly to sugar transport, cell wall formation, lignification, metabolism, respiration, and membrane transport (Parr and Loughman, 1983).

Phloem sap serves as a key nutritional source for Hemipteran insects (Douglas, 2003) and contains proteins, sugars, hormones, minerals, and amino acids (Kehr, 2006). Amino acids are essential for the growth, survival, fecundity, and population density of lac insects. Changes in the concentration of available micro- or macronutrients through external supplementation can influence crop physiology and modify insect-plant interactions (Gurjar, 2016). Therefore, maintaining an optimal nutrient balance and adopting integrated nutrient management strategies are vital for enhancing insect

growth, density, and population on host plants (Bi *et al.*, 2001).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The experiment was conducted during the year 2023-24 at a farmer's field located in the village Gadpichhawadi, Kanker District, Chhattisgarh. A total of 21 Palash (*Butea monosperma*) trees with succulent branches were selected and marked for the study.

The experiment was laid out in a Randomized Block Design (RBD) with three replications. In each replication, seven plants were selected, making a total of 21 plants across all replications. Productivity parameters like number of stick lac/plant, length (cm) of stick lac per plant, mean weight (g) of 30 cm stick lac, mean weight (g) of scrapped lac (30cm) stick, fresh weight (g) of 100 lac cells and dry weight (g) of 100 lac cells and yield (kg) of lac/ tree recorded at the time of harvesting.

Yield potential = Total raw lac per tree (g) /Total inoculated brood lac per tree (g)

Sex ratio is an important factor in the lac production, because the female lac insect produces the lac. number of male and female lac were counted per 2.5 sq. cm stick lac counted at three places (upper, middle, lower). Male cell was counted at 48 -50 days after Brood lac inoculation (BLI), the 2.5 sq. cm lengths were measured by using the vernier calipers scale, and the sex ratio was calculated with the help of the following formula.

Table 1: Treatment details

S.No.	Micronutrients	Trade name	Dose/L
T ₁	Zinc (12%)	Zincamin	1g/L
T ₂	Boron (20%)	Grow bor	2g/L
T ₃	Zinc (12%) + Humic acid (18%)	Zincamin + Humic ash	1g+30ml/L
T ₄	Multi-micronutrient (Cu-1.00%, B-2.00%, MO- 0.30%, Mn- 3.00%, Fe- 4.00%, Zn – 5.00%)	Stanesmicrofood	2.5ml/L
T ₅	Boron (20%) +Humic acid (18%)	Grow bor+ Humic ash	2g+ 30ml/L
T ₆	Multi-micronutrient + Humic acid (18%)	Stanesmicrofood+Humic ash	2.5ml + 30ml/L
T ₇	Control		Water spray

Sex ratio = Number of female lac insects/ Number of male lac insect

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In the present study, several productivity parameters of lac production were evaluated at harvest, including the number of stick lac per plant, average length (cm) of stick lac per plant, mean weight (g) of 30 cm stick lac, mean weight (g) of scraped lac from a 30 cm stick, fresh and dry weights (g) of 100 lac cells, and yield (kg) of lac per tree recorded at the time of harvesting.

The average number of stick lac per plant ranged from 15.33 to 27.00 (Table 1). The highest mean was recorded in T₁ (27.00), followed by T₄ (24.67), T₆ (22.33), T₃ (20.67), T₅ (20.33), T₂ (19.00), and the lowest in T₇ (15.33). Statistical analysis indicated significant variation among treatments. These results agree with findings by Sahu (2016). The mean number of stick lac per tree at harvest varied from 16.44 T₅ (Humic acid + Multiplex) to 22.00 T₆ (Humic acid + Bolt). There was a significant difference in the mean number of stick lac in T₅ and T₃ (Multiplex) while it was at par with rest of the treatments. Netam (2019) who reported that the mean number of sticklac per tree at harvest. The mean stick lac length ranged from 47.67 to 55.00 cm, with the maximum length observed in T₁ (55.00 cm), followed by T₄ (53.00 cm), T₆ (50.67 cm), T₃ (49.67 cm), T₅ (48.33 cm), T₂ (49.00 cm), and T₇ (47.67 cm). Treatments T₁ and T₄ differed significantly. These findings are comparable with those of Sahu (2016). The mean length of sticklac per tree varied from 68.66 cm (T₁ Humic acid) to 77.56 cm. (T₂). Treatment T₂ (Auskelp super) had significantly longer sticklac over T₁ (Humic acid) and T₈ (Control). The mean weight of a 30 cm stick lac segment ranged between 31.33 g and 42.33 g, with the highest in T₁ (42.33 g), followed by T₄ (40.00 g), T₆ (36.00 g), T₃ (34.67 g), T₅ (33.67 g), T₂ (32.33 g), and T₇ (31.33 g). Significant differences were observed among treatments. These results align with Netam (2019), who reported an average fresh weight of 34.27 g for a 30 cm stick lac on palash. The mean weight of scraped lac from a 30 cm stick ranged

from 13.67 to 20.33 g, being highest in T₁ (20.33 g), followed by T₄ (18.33 g), T₆ (16.67 g), T₃ (16.00 g), T₅ (14.33 g), T₂ (14.00 g), and T₇ (13.67 g). Significant variations were recorded among treatments. The present findings are in accordance with Netam (2019), who reported raw lac weights of 7.54 to 22.37 g per 30 cm stick in the rangeeni strain. stick lac yield per plant varied from 3.40 to 5.70 kg, with the maximum in T₁ (5.70 kg), followed by T₄ (5.60 kg), T₆ (5.47 kg), T₃ (5.16 kg), T₅ (4.63 kg), T₂ (4.47 kg), and the minimum in T₇ (2.40 kg). These results are consistent with Patel *et al.* (2014), who recorded yields ranging from 4.00 to 5.70 kg in the kusumi strain and 3.20 to 4.55 kg in the rangeeni strain on *Z. mauritiana*. The mean yield of scraped raw lac ranged between 1.93 and 3.70 kg, with T₁ (3.70 kg) producing the maximum, followed by T₄ (3.64 kg), T₆ (3.50 kg), T₃ (3.01 kg), T₅ (2.56 kg), T₂ (2.50 kg), and T₇ (1.22 kg). The present finding is in agreement with Ghugal *et al.* (2015) The per cent increase in overall mean raw lac yield (kg) per plant was highest in case of T₄ (103.96%) over control followed by T₂ (78.21%), T₃ (67.32%), T₁ (20.29%) and T₅ (18.31%). There was a significant difference in the overall mean yield of raw lac per plant. The mean fresh weight of 100 lac insect cells ranged from 4.94 to 6.73 g, with T₁ (6.73 g) being the highest, followed by T₄ (6.51 g), T₆ (6.50 g), T₃ (5.72 g), T₅ (5.47 g), T₂ (5.19 g), and T₇ (4.94 g). The differences between T₁ and T₇ were significant. These observations agree with Ghugal *et al.* (2015). The mean dry weight of 100 lac cells varied between 3.63 and 3.98 g, with T₁ (3.98 g) recording the highest, followed by T₄ (3.95 g), T₆ (3.94 g), T₃ (3.94 g), T₅ (3.88 g), T₂ (3.78 g), and T₇ (3.63 g). Similar trends were reported by Kumar *et al.* (2017), who found 4.95 to 8.21 g in the rangeeni strain on palash. The yield potential across treatments ranged from 2.43 to 7.40, with the highest in T₁ (7.40), followed by T₄ (7.27), T₆ (6.99), T₃ (6.03), T₅ (5.11), T₂ (5.04), and T₇ (2.43). These findings are comparable to those of Meshram (2018), who reported a maximum yield potential of 11.01 on ber (*Z. mauritiana*) for the rangeeni strain during the Baisakhi (summer) crop, compared to 7.01 on kusum (*S. oleosa*) for the kusumi strain in the Aghani (winter) crop. The net profit per tree was highest in T₁: Zinc (Rs. 1769.39),

followed by T₄: Multi-micronutrients (Rs. 1730.39), T₆: Multi-micronutrient + Humic acid (Rs. 1576.39), T₃: Zinc + Humic acid (Rs. 1472.89), T₂: Boron (Rs. 1332.39), T₅: Boron + Humic acid (Rs. 1321.89), and lowest in control (Rs. 661.44). The cost–benefit ratio was also highest in Zinc (1:7.84) and lowest in control (1:3.70), corroborating the findings of Netam (2019). The cost benefit ratio per hectare varied from 1.45 to 4.00, it was maximum on kusum with (4.00) followed by semialata with (2.74) host plant of kusmi strain, while in rangeeni strain it was maximum on ber with (2.52) followed by palash with (1.45) host plant.

Sex ratio

The mean sex ratio of the lac insect varied from 2.30 to 3.08. The highest sex ratio was recorded in T₁ (3.08), which differed significantly from the lowest in T₇ (2.30). Treatments T₄ and T₆ recorded sex ratios of 2.99 and 2.86, respectively. These findings are consistent with Mohanta *et al.* (2014) and Netam (2019), who reported that male-to-female ratio in lac insects can vary depending on the host plant, crop type, strain, and season. Male insect emergence occurred 48-50 days after BLI. The mean number of male lac insects per *K. lacca* cell differed among treatments, ranging from 11.07 to 14.89. The highest male population was observed in T₁ (14.89), followed by T₄ (14.11), T₆ (13.55), T₃ (12.74), T₅

(12.34), T₂ (12.02), and the lowest in control (11.07), aligning with observations by Netam (2019). Across both kusumi and rangeeni strains, the mean male population per lac cell ranged from 13.20 to 19.08 insects. The mean number of female lac insects per cell varied from 25.33 to 45.67, with the maximum population recorded in T₁ (45.67), followed by T₄ (42.00), T₆ (38.67), T₃ (34.11), T₅ (32.08), T₂ (30.00), and the minimum in T₇ (25.33). Significant differences in female population densities were observed among treatments (Table 2).

CONCLUSION

The study confirms that micronutrient application significantly enhances the productivity and profitability of *Kerria lacca* on *Butea monosperma* (Palash). Among all treatments, zinc (T₁) was most effective, recording the highest sticklac yield (5.70 kg/plant), best quality parameters, highest sex ratio (3.08), and maximum net profit (Rs. 1769.39) with a cost-benefit ratio of 1:7.84. Thus, zinc application is recommended for improving lac yield and farmer income in the Katki crop under the agro-climatic conditions of Kanker, Chhattisgarh.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The Authors thank the Indira Gandhi Krishi

Table 2: Sex ratio of lac insect from different treatments

S. No.	Micronutrients	Number of male lac insects/2.5 sq cm stick lac	Number of female lac insects/2.5 sq cm stick lac	Sex ratio
T1	Zinc (12%)	14.89 (3.98)	45.67 (6.83)	3.08 (2.01)
T2	Boron (20%)	12.02 (3.6)	30.00 (5.56)	2.50 (1.87)
T3	Zinc (12%) Humic acid (18%)	12.74 (3.7)	34.11 (5.92)	2.69 (1.91)
T4	Multi micronutrient	14.11 (3.88)	42.00 (6.55)	2.99 (1.99)
T5	Boron (20%) Humic acid (18%)	12.34 (3.65)	32.08 (5.74)	2.60 (1.89)
T6	Multi-micronutrient + Humic acid (18)	13.55 (3.81)	38.67 (6.29)	2.86 (1.965)
T7	Control	11.07 (3.46)	25.33 (5.13)	2.30 (1.80)
	S.Em. ±	0.247	0.639	0.033
	C.D. at 5%	0.77	1.99	0.102

Figures in parentheses are root square transformed value

Vishwavidyalaya, Raipur, for financial support.

REFERENCES

- Bi j L., Ballmer G.R., Hendrix D.L., Henneberry T.J. and Toscano NC. (2001). Effect of cotton nitrogen fertilization on *Bemisia argentifolii* population and honeydew production. *Entomologia Experimentalis et Applicata*, 99 (1): 25–36.
- Chandrakar, S., Trivedi, A. and Dixit, A. (2025). Assessing Lac Production and Farmer Viability in India's Forest-based Economies: A Review. *Asian Journal of Current Research*, 10(3): 255-267.
- Chen, Y. Q., Li, Q., Chen, Y. L., Lu, Z. X. and Zhou, X. Y. (2011). Ant diversity and bio-indicators in land management of lac insect agroecosystem in Southwestern China. *Biodiversity and Conservation*, 20(13): 3017-3038.
- Douglas A. E. (2003). Nutritional physiology of aphids. *Advances in Insect Physiology*, 31(31): 73–140.
- Ghugal SG, Thomas, M. and Pachori R. (2015). Performance of Katki Lac on Nutrient Managed of *Butea monosperma* (Lam.) Taub. *Trends in Biosciences*, 8(24):6873-6877.
- Gurjar, R. (2016). Study on the Effect of Foliar Application of Nitrogen and PGR on *Butea monosperma* on Katki lac Crop Production.
- Kehr J. (2006). Phloem sap protein: their identities and potential role in the interaction between plant and phloem-feeding insects. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 57(4):767-774.
- Kochian, L.V. (1991). Mechanisms of micronutrient uptake and translocation in plants. *Micronutrients in Agriculture*, 4:229-296.
- Kumar, S., Thomas, M., Narayan Lal, Virendra and Markam V.K. (2017). Effect of nutrition in Palash (*Butea monosperma* Lam.) on the survivability of lac insect. *The Pharma Innovation Journal*, 6(8): 320-324.
- Maheshwari, S. (2024). Contribution of insects in eco-restoration against land degradation, desertification, and drought in the Himalayas, *ENVIS Newsletter on Himalayan Ecology*, 21(1): 20-21.
- Marschner H (1995). Mineral Nutrition of Higher Plants. 2nd eds. Academic Press, San Diego
- Meshram, Y.K., Gupta, R. and Katlam, B.P. (2018). Performance of Different host plants of lac (*Kerria lacca* Kerr.) Insect in Korba of Chhattisgarh. *International Journal of Recent Scientific Research*, 9(8):28529-28533.
- Mohanta, J., Dey, D.G. and Mohanty, N. (2014). Studies on lac insect (*Kerria lacca*) for conservation of biodiversity in Similipal Biosphere Reserve, Odisha, *India Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 2 (1): 1-5.
- Netam, P.K., Chandrakar, H.K. and Katlam, B.P. (2019). Comparative performance of different host plants of lac insect *Kerria lacca* (Kerr). At Kanker district of Chhattisgarh. *Journal of Entomology and Zoology Studies*, 7(6): 265-270.
- Parr, A. J. and Loughman, B.C. (1983). Boron and Membrane Function in Plants. In: Robb DA, Pierpoint WS (eds), *Metals and Micronutrients: Uptake and Utilization*, Plants Academic Press, London, 3 (1): 8-12.
- Paul, B., Kumar, S. and Das, A. (2013). Lac cultivation & their host trees found in the Bastar Forest Division. *Plant Science*, 3 (1): 8-12.
- Pedler, G.C., Almazan, L.P., and Pacia, J. (2000). Effect of nitrogen fertilizer on the intrinsic rate of the rusty plum aphid *Hysteroneura setaeiae* (Thomas) (Homoptera: Aphididae) on rice (*Oryza sativa* L.). *Environmental Entomology*, 34 (4): 938-943.
- Patel, B., Janghel, S., Thomas, M., Pachori, R., Nema, S. and Sharma, H.L. (2014). Comparative performance of kusmi and rangeeni lac on *Zyziphu smauritiana* under conditions of Madhya Pradesh. *JNKVV Research Journal*, 48 (3): 319-3283.
- Sahu, S. (2016). Survival and Yield of Rangeeni Lac insect on *Butea monosperma* (Lam) treated with different Micronutrients and Humic

- acid. M.sc. (Ag) Thesis, submitted, JNKVV, Jabalpur.
- Sankarganesh, E. (2017). Insect biodiversity: The teeming millions-A review. *Bull Environ Pharmacol Life Sci*, 6 (3):101-105.
- Sarvade, S., Panse, R. K., Rajak, S. K. and Upadhyay, V. B. (2018). Impact of biotic and abiotic factors on lac production and peoples' livelihood improvement in India-An overview. *Journal of Applied and Natural Science*, 10(3): 894.
- Sharma, K. K., Jaiswal, A. K. and Kumar, K. K. (2006). Role of lac culture in biodiversity conservation: issues at stake and conservation strategy. *Current Science*, 894-898.

Received: November 19, 2025

Accepted: December 10, 2025